```
1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
           FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2
                     EASTERN DIVISION
 3
 4
    IN RE: NATIONAL
    PRESCRIPTION
                                 )
                                   MDL No. 2804
 5
    OPIATE LITIGATION
                                ) Case No.
 6
                                 1:17-MD-2804
    THIS DOCUMENT RELATES
                                ) Hon. Dan A. Polster
    TO ALL CASES
8
9
               THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2018
10
        HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
                  CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
11
12
            Videotaped deposition of Mark Hartman,
13
    held at the offices of BakerHostetler, 200 Civic
14
    Center Drive, Suite 1200, Columbus, Ohio, commencing
15
    at 9:06 a.m., on the above date, before Carol A. Kirk,
16
17
    Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public.
18
19
20
21
22
23
                GOLKOW LITTGATION SERVICES
            877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
24
                     deps@golkow.com
```

```
APPEARANCES:
 1
 2
    On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
 3
           MCHUGH FULLER LAW GROUP
                 MICHAEL J. FULLER, JR., ESQUIRE
                 mike@mchughfuller.com
 4
                 AMY J. QUEZON, ESQUIRE
 5
                 amy@mchughfuller.com
            97 Elias Whiddon Road
            Hattiesburg, Mississippi 39402
 6
            601-261-2220
 7
                  and
 8
            GRAY & WHITE
 9
                MARK K. GRAY, ESQUIRE
            BY:
                 mgray@grayandwhitelaw.com
10
                 MATTHEW L. WHITE, ESQUIRE
                 mwhite@grayandwhitelaw.com
            713 East Market Street
11
            Louisville, Kentucky 40402
12
            502-805-1800
13
                 and
14
            LEVIN PAPANTONIO THOMAS MITCHELL
            RAFFERTY & PROCTOR PA
15
           BY: ARCHIE C. LAMB, JR., ESQUIRE
                 alamb@levinlaw.com
16
            316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600
            Pensacola, Florida 32591
17
            205-435-7000
18
                 and
            SIMMONS HANLY CONROY, LLC
19
            BY: RICK KROEGER, ESQUIRE
20
                 rkroeger@simmonsfirm.com
            One Court Street
21
            Alton, Illinois 62002
            618-259-2222
22
23
2.4
```

```
On behalf of the Cardinal Health, Inc.
 1
 2
            WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
                 STEVEN M. PYSER, ESQUIRE
            BY:
 3
                 spyser@wc.com
                 MATTHEW C. MONAHAN, ESQUIRE
 4
                 mmonahan@wc.com
            725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
            Washington, DC 20005
 5
            202-434-5331
 6
7
    On behalf of the AmerisourceBergen:
 8
            REED SMITH LLP
                 BRIAN T. HIMMEL, ESQUIRE
            BY:
 9
                 bhimmel@reedsmith.com
            Reed Smith Centre
10
            225 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1200
            Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222
11
            412-288-4058
12
    On behalf of Walmart:
13
            JONES DAY
14
            BY: CASTEEL BORSAY, ESQUIRE
                 cborsay@jonesday.com
15
            325 John H. McConnell Boulevard, Suite 600
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-2673
            614-469-3939
16
17
    On behalf of Prescription Supply, Inc.
18
            PELINI, CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS LLC
19
                 WILLIAM M. SHACKELFORD, ESQUIRE
                 wms@pelini-law.com
20
            8040 Cleveland Avenue NW, Suite 400
            North Canton, Ohio 44720
21
            330-305-6400
22
23
2.4
```

```
On behalf of McKesson:
 1
 2
            COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP
                 MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQUIRE
 3
                 mmonaghan@cov.com
            850 Tenth Street, NW
 4
            Washington, DC 20001
            202-662-5531
 5
 6
    On behalf of CVS Indiana, LLC and CVS RX Services,
     Inc.:
 7
            ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER LLP
 8
            BY: DANIEL P. MOYLAN, ESQUIRE
                 dmoylan@zuckerman.com
 9
            100 East Pratt Street, Suite 2440
            Baltimore, Maryland 21202
10
            410-949-1159
11
    On behalf of Mallinckrodt LLC, and SpecGX LLC:
12
            HAHN, LOESER & PARKS LLP
            BY: CHRIS GORDON, ESQUIRE
13
                 cgordon@hahnlaw.com
14
            65 East State Street, Suite 1400
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
15
            614-453-7137
16
17
    ALSO PRESENT:
        A.J. Elkins, McHugh Fuller
18
         Karla Shivers, McHugh Fuller
19
         Edna Jamison, McHugh Fuller
         Katelyn Adams, Cardinal Health
         Twila Hulett, Levin Papantonio
20
21
    VIDEOGRAPHER:
22
         Darnell Brown, Golkow Litigation Services
23
    TRIAL TECHNICIAN:
2.4
         Gina Veldman, Precision Technologies
```

1	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MARK HARTMAN	
2	INDEX TO EXAMINATION	
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	MARK HARTMAN	
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FULLER:	12
	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. QUEZON:	312
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PYSER	355
	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FULLER:	372
7		
8		
9	INDEX TO CERTIFIED QUESTIONS	
10	1. PAGE 37, LINE 21	
11	2. PAGE 213, LINE 13	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
1		

1	VIDEOTAPED DEPO	SITION OF MARK HARTMAN	
2	INDEX	TO EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Cardinal-Hartman 1	E-mail string ending with an e-mail to Mr. Sherman	16
5		from Mark, dated 12/20/07 Bates-stamped CAH_	
6		MDL2804_02881821 - 2881822	
7	Cardinal-Hartman 2	E-mail string ending with an e-mail to Mr. Dolch and	34
8		others from Mr. Kaufmann, dated 1/21/08, Bates-	
9		stamped CAH_MDL_PRIORPROD_ DEA07_00827893 and 827894	
10		2210,_0002,000 and 02,004	
11	Cardinal-Hartman 3	Settlement and Release Agreement and	61
12		Administrative Memorandum of Agreement, Bates-	
13		stamped CAH_MDL_ PRIORPROD_DEA12_00001571	
14		through 1618	
	Cardinal-Hartman 4	21 C.F.R. 1301.74	111
15	Cardinal-Hartman 5	United States Code	104
16	Cardinal-narchian 5	Annotated, Title 21. Food and Drugs; Chapter 13.	104
17		Drug Abuse Prevention and Control, P1.4916 through	
18		P1.4916.4	
19	Cardinal-Hartman 6	Handwritten document prepared by Attorney	126
20		Fuller	
21	Cardinal-Hartman 7	E-mail string ending with an e-mail to gatorxjd1210@	128
22		msn.com from Mark, dated 5/26/10, Bates-stamped	
23		CAH_ MDL2804_02881832	
24			

1	INDEX	то	EXHIBITS (CONT'D)	
2	EXHIBIT		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Cardinal Hartman	8	PowerPoint Bates-stamped	149
			CAH_MDL_PRIORPROD_DEA07_	
4			01181262 through 1181332	
5	Cardinal-Hartman	9	Document Bates-stamped	165
			CAH_MDL2804_02102331	
6			through 2102338	
7	Cardinal-Hartman	10	E-mail string ending with an e-mail to Ms. Conway	184
8			and Mr. Bonnell from	
			Mr. Hartman, dated	
9			1/11/08, Bates-stamped	
			CAH_MDL_PRIORPROD_DEA07_	
10			00109258 and 109259	
11	Cardinal-Hartman	11	E-mail string ending with an e-mail to Mr. Reardon	192
12			from Mr. Hartman, dated	
			1/28/08, Bates-stamped	
13			CAH_MDL_PRIORPROD_DEA07_	
			00875539 through 875541	
14	G 1' 1 TT 1	1.0	7	0.00
15	Cardinal-Hartman	12	Attachment 48 to	203
13			Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for	
16			Preliminary Injunction	
17	Cardinal-Hartman	13		220
	03.10.11.0.1		an e-mail to Ms. Justus	
18			from Mr. Harman, dated	
			8/24/09, with attachment,	
19			Bates-stamped CAH_MDL_	
			2804_00282303 - 282336	
20				
	Cardinal-Hartman	14		249
21			Mignette Strife, with	
2.2			attachment, dated 7/27/06,	
22			Bates-stamped CAH_MDL_	
23			PRIORPROD_DEA07_00828657 through 828674	
24			CIII Ougii 020074	

1	INDEX TO	EXHIBITS (CONT'D)	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Cardinal-Hartman 15	E-mail string ending with an e-mail to Mr. Varela	259
4		from Mr. Kurtz, dated	
5		12/5/07, Bates-stamped CAH_MDL_PRIORPROD_DEA07_	
6		00135433	
0	Cardinal-Hartman 16	(Not marked.)	
7			
	Cardinal-Hartman 17	Cardinal Health Job	263
8		Description of Cage and Vault Clerk, Bates-	
9		stamped CAH_MDL_	
1.0		PRIORPROD_DEA07_00138622	
10	Cardinal-Hartman 18	and 138623	
12	Cardinal-Hartman 19		
13	Cardinal-Hartman 20		
14	Cardinal-Hartman 21		313
		Abuse and Diversion and	
15		Efforts to Address the Problem"	
16			
1.0	Cardinal-Hartman 22	4	323
17		others from Mr. Reardon, dated 9/14/07, with	
18		attachment, Bates-stamped	
		CAH_MDL_PRIORPROD_DEA07_	
19	Garalina I III - CO	01198345 through 1198358	222
20	Cardinal-Hartman 23	ARCOS Summary for Cuyahoga County and Summit Counties	333
21		•	
	Cardinal-Hartman 24	Document titled "Process	341
22		to Establish SOM Threshold	
23		Limits," Bates-stamped	
43		CAH_MDL_PRIORPROD_AG_ 0004208 through 4211	
24		1101200 011104511 1211	

1	INDEX	ТО	EXHIBITS (CONT'D)	
2	EXHIBIT		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Cardinal-Hartman	25	Document titled "Cardinal Health SOM Program,"	341
4			Bates-stamped CAH_MDL_ 2804_00228327 through	
5			228329	
6	Cardinal-Hartman	26	Document titled "Cardinal Health Oxycodone Sales to Summa Health System -	341
8			Akron Campus"	
9	Cardinal-Hartman	27	Document titled "Cardinal Health Oxycodone Sales to CVS #03355"	342
10	Cardinal-Hartman	28		343
11	Caramar Hareman	20	Report, Bates-stamped CAH_MDL2804_00000196	313
12	Cardinal-Hartman	29	Document titled "Cardinal	345
13			Health Oxycodone Sales to CVS #03083"	
	Cardinal-Hartman	30	2	346
15			Shipped by Cardinal," two pages	
17	Cardinal-Hartman	31	E-mail to Mr. Dolch and others from Mr. Henderson,	382
18			others, dated 12/19/07, with attachment, four	
19			<pre>pages, Bates-stamped CAH_MDL_PRIORPROD_DEA07_ 00968835</pre>	
20	Condinal Harris	2.0		206
21	Cardinal-Hartman	5∠	Demonstrative drawing prepared by Attorney Fuller	396
22 23 24			1 41101	

1	
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.
5	We are now on the record. My name is
6	Darnell Brown, and I'm the videographer
7	with Golkow Litigation Services.
8	Today's date is November 15, 2018, and
9	the time is 9:06 a.m.
10	This video deposition is being
11	held in Columbus, Ohio, in the matter of
12	National Prescription Opioid Litigation
13	for the United States District Court for
14	the Northern District of Ohio. The
15	deponent is Mark Hartman.
16	Counsel, please identify
17	yourselves for the record.
18	MR. FULLER: Mike Fuller on behalf
19	of the Plaintiff.
20	MS. QUEZON: Amy Quezon on behalf
21	of the Plaintiff.
22	MR. KROEGER: Rick Kroeger on
23	behalf of the Plaintiff.
24	MR. ELKINS: A.J. Elkins on behalf

1	of the Plaintiff.
2	MR. GRAY: Mark Gray on behalf of
3	the Plaintiffs.
4	MR. WHITE: Matt White on behalf
5	of the Plaintiffs.
6	MR. SHACKELFORD: Bill Shackelford
7	on behalf of Prescription Supply
8	Company.
9	MR. GORDON: Chris Gordon on
10	behalf of Mallinckrodt, LLC, and
11	SpecGX LLC.
12	MS. BORSAY: Casteel Borsay on
13	behalf of Walmart.
14	MS. MONAGHAN: Meghan Monaghan on
15	behalf of McKesson.
16	MR. MOYLAN: Daniel Moylan on
17	behalf of CVS.
18	MR. HIMMEL: Brian Himmel on
19	behalf of AmerisourceBergen Corporation.
20	MS. ADAMS: Katelyn Adams on
21	behalf of Cardinal Health.
22	MR. MONAHAN: Matthew Monahan on
23	behalf of Cardinal Health.
24	MR. PYSER: Steven Pyser on behalf

```
of Cardinal Health and the witness.
 1
 2
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The deponent
             [sic] is Carol Kirk, who will now swear
 3
             in the witness.
 4
 5
                   (Witness sworn.)
 6
                   MR. FULLER: Can we get everybody
 7
             else on the phone to introduce
 8
             themselves, please, for the record.
 9
                   Is there anybody on the phone?
10
                   Mr. Hartman, they didn't find us
11
             very interesting today, apparently.
12
                   THE WITNESS: It's a shame. I was
13
             hoping for record numbers.
14
                   MR. FULLER: You know,
15
             pay-per-view, maybe. All right. Well,
16
             I'm guessing there is nobody on the
17
             phone.
18
19
                       MARK HARTMAN
20
    being by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter
21
    certified, deposes and says as follows:
22
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
    BY MR. FULLER:
24
                   Mr. Hartman, you currently have a
             0.
```

```
consulting company; is that right?
 1
 2
             Α.
                   Yes.
                   And how long has that consulting
 3
             0.
    company been in existence?
 4
 5
             Α.
                   Two years, maybe.
 6
                   And you sort of hesitated. Is it
             0.
 7
    because you're not doing very much work with it
 8
    or what's --
 9
             Α.
                   That's right. That's right.
                   Are you basically retired at this
10
             Q.
    point?
11
12
            Α.
                   Yes.
13
            Q.
                   Okay.
14
                   Yeah.
            A.
15
                   And did you retire after leaving
             0.
    Cardinal?
16
17
            Α.
                   No.
18
                   What work did you have after
             Q.
19
     leaving Cardinal? And that was approximately
20
     2000 and -- early 2010?
21
                 February 2010.
             Α.
22
             Q.
                   Okay.
23
                   I left Cardinal at that time
24
    period, and in March of 2010, I joined Rexel,
```

- 1 French-based company. I worked here in the
- 2 United States.
- Q. Mm-hmm.
- 4 A. I was at Rexel about 18 months,
- 5 left there. And then I joined a private
- 6 company, Guild Associates, here locally in
- 7 Dublin, Ohio, chemical engineering firm.
- Q. And what type of work did you do
- 9 for Rexel?
- 10 A. I was the VP of operations. So
- 11 for the United States, I had the operations
- 12 within the facilities, logistics, you know,
- 13 efficiency, processes, practices, things like
- 14 that.
- Q. And then why did you leave Rexel
- 16 and go to -- and I'm sorry -- the name?
- 17 A. Guild Associates.
- 18 Q. Guild Associates?
- 19 A. Rexel is a great company. I was
- 20 traveling 100 percent of the time. I was living
- 21 in Dublin, Ohio. My office was in Dallas.
- 22 Guild Associates was ten minutes from my house
- 23 here in Dublin. It was a nice opportunity to
- 24 step into another role as CEO there. So I -- I

- 1 took the option to move back home and then
- 2 finish out my career at Guild.
- Q. And how long were you with Guild?
- 4 A. Four years, eight months.
- 5 Q. And then did you retire from Guild
- 6 at that point?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And you've remained basically
- 9 unemployed since then?
- 10 A. Pretty much. I've got a partner
- 11 who has an enterprise he's starting up, and I've
- 12 invested with him. I'm pretty much a silent
- partner, but it's a leadership consulting
- 14 business, an event business. I -- we haven't
- done any work together in the consulting role.
- 16 I've just invested with him and maybe down the
- 17 road we'll do some work.
- 18 Q. And who is that partner?
- 19 A. Craig Lucas.
- Q. Okay. And what's the name of that
- 21 company?
- 22 A. Authenica, A-u-t-h-e-n-i-c-a.
- 23 Q. So after leaving Cardinal in
- 24 February of 2010 -- correct?

```
A. Yeah.
 1
 2
            0.
                  Okay. Did you do any consulting
    for Cardinal from that point forward?
 4
            A. Never.
 5
            Q.
                  Okay. And you worked for Cardinal
    for over a decade; is that right?
 6
 7
            A. Yes.
 8
            Q. Okay. Let's pull up P13900.
9
10
           (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 1 marked.)
11
12
                  MR. FULLER: And, Steve, I'm just
13
            going to hand you all the copies and let
14
            you pass them out; is that okay?
15
                  MR. PYSER: Yeah, that's great.
16
            Thank you.
17
                  MR. FULLER: Sure.
18
                   This will be marked Plaintiff's
19
            Number 1 or -- yeah, however y'all label
20
            them.
21
                   (Discussion held off the record.)
22
    BY MR. FULLER:
23
            0.
                  So, Mr. Hartman, sort of how this
24
    is going to work, is you have a screen in front
```

- 1 of you. You'll see the document that I'm
- 2 referring to on the screen, and wherever I'm
- 3 flowing or we're flowing on the document will be
- 4 highlighted for you, as well as potentially
- 5 blown up. So you'll have the hard copy in front
- of you as well as the screen to use. Okay?
- 7 A. Okay.
- Q. All right. Have you seen this
- 9 e-mail before?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. This is an e-mail that explains
- 12 back in December of 2007 you were taking
- 13 appointment as a senior vice president of Supply
- 14 Chain Integrity and regulatory operations for
- 15 HSCS; is that correct?
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 Q. And what is HSCS, for the jury?
- 18 Healthcare Supply Chain Services?
- 19 A. Thank you.
- Q. You're welcome.
- 21 A. I forgot the acronym.
- 22 Q. I understand. I understand.
- 23 And if you look down at the
- 24 announcement portion, and this was sent out, at

- 1 least according to the e-mail, when?
- 2 A. December 19, 2007.
- Q. Okay. And let me ask, had you
- 4 left Cardinal for a brief period at that point?
- 5 A. Hadn't left. We were going
- 6 through a reorganization. My position was being
- 7 eliminated, the one I was in previously, so we
- 8 were looking for roles inside of the company and
- 9 I was looking externally as well.
- This position became available,
- 11 and Cardinal offered it to me. So there was
- 12 about a month in there between that role ending
- that I was in and then this role coming up.
- 14 Q. So were you actually unemployed
- 15 during that time?
- 16 A. No, I was still a Cardinal
- 17 employee.
- 18 Q. You just didn't have a spot?
- 19 A. That's right.
- Q. Fair enough. Fair enough.
- 21 And if you will, read the first
- 22 sentence for us.
- A. Of the announcement?
- 24 Q. Yes, sir.

- 1 A. "We are pleased to announce the
- 2 appointment of Mark Hartman to the position of
- 3 Senior Vice President, Supply Chain Integrity
- 4 and Regulatory Operations for HSCS reporting to
- 5 both of us."
- 6 O. And "both of us" indicates who?
- 7 A. And -- let's see.
- Q. If you look at the front section.
- 9 A. So that's Jeff Henderson and
- 10 Gary Dolch.
- 11 Q. And who is Mr. Henderson; do you
- 12 know?
- 13 A. Yes. He's the -- he was the chief
- 14 financial officer at the time and the interim
- 15 CEO.
- Q. And the CEO for Healthcare Supply
- 17 Chain Services, correct?
- 18 A. HS -- yeah, yes, that's correct.
- 19 Q. The acronym that we were
- 20 struggling with earlier?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. And who is Mr. Dolch?
- A. EVP quality and regulatory
- 24 affairs.

- 1 Q. And just for the jury, EVP is
- 2 what?
- 3 A. Executive vice president.
- 4 Q. Fair enough.
- 5 And then go on, if you will, and
- 6 read your portion where it describes your role,
- 7 what role you'll be filling for Cardinal.
- 8 A. "Mark will be responsible for
- 9 leading HSCS' initiative to build and operate
- 10 state of the art diversion prevention, supply
- 11 chain integrity and regulatory compliance
- 12 processes and systems."
- Q. And you know when you were coming
- into this role that the supply chain integrity
- 15 was lacking, correct?
- 16 A. In what way?
- 17 Q. It wasn't sufficient?
- 18 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 19 Q. Or do you disagree with that?
- 20 A. Yeah. I'm not sure it was not
- 21 sufficient.
- Q. Let's continue. Read the next
- 23 sentence for us.
- A. "This position is a critical role

- 1 for the company as supply chain integrity
- 2 continues to become an area of increased focus
- 3 by regulatory agencies and customers alike."
- 4 Q. And you're aware during this time
- 5 that this was an issue of focus, particularly
- 6 for the DEA?
- 7 A. I'd become aware of it as the role
- 8 was offered to me.
- 9 Q. Okay. You also became aware that
- 10 Cardinal had three facilities get their license
- 11 suspended for the lack of supply chain
- 12 integrity, correct?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 14 A. As the role was offered to me,
- that's when I became aware of what had happened.
- 16 O. Okay. And that was that three
- 17 distribution centers, Lakeland, Swedesboro, and
- 18 Washington, had their license stripped from them
- 19 because of supply chain integrity issues. Is
- 20 that your understanding?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 22 A. Their licenses were suspended, as
- 23 I came to understand.
- Q. Did the DEA just come in and

- 1 suspend them for no reason?
- What were the allegations, if you
- 3 know, Mr. Hartman?
- A. I would need to review it, but I
- 5 believe it was due to the anti-diversion issues
- 6 that the company was facing with the DEA.
- 7 Q. And that's included in supply
- 8 chain integrity; isn't that right?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. Okay. So it was the supply chain
- 11 integrity issues that caused the license to be
- 12 suspended. We can agree on that, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Continue reading,
- 15 "Reporting to Mark ..."
- 16 A. "... will be Steve Reardon, Vice
- 17 President, Quality and Regulatory Affairs for
- 18 HSCS, and Michael Moné, Vice President of
- 19 Anti-Diversion."
- 20 O. So if we're looking at the
- 21 hierarchy now, when you come into this
- 22 position -- and this is a new position for you,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

- Had you worked in regulatory 1 Ο. 2 before? 3 A. No. 4 0. You're taking over both the QRA, 5 quality regulatory affairs, and the anti-diversion segment, correct? 6 7 Α. Correct. 8 And you have Mr. Reardon and 9 Mr. Moné reporting to you? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And you're aware that Mr. Reardon 12 had been with the company for quite some time; is that right? 13 14 A. Yes. 15 0. And Mr. Moné was a more recent hire? 16 17 He came from one of our divisions Α. into HSCS. 18 19 Okay. And at this time when you O. take the position, who are your direct reports? 20 21 Steve Reardon and Michael Moné. Α. 22 Q. Okay. No, no, no. I apologize.
- 24

Let me ask the question again.

23

to? 1 2 Α. Okay. 3 O. Sorry about that. Yeah. I was reporting directly to 4 Α. 5 Gary Dolch for my role. 6 And what was Mr. Henderson's role? 7 He, at the time, was a CFO. HSCS Α. 8 president had left the company earlier. So he 9 was in that interim role as CEO. But for my 10 time there, as I entered the role, Gary Dolch 11 was the person that I reported to directly, and 12 then later on that was just officially made as we agreed that reporting to Gary was the -- was 13 14 the best way for us to run the department. 15 Fair enough. Ο. 16 So let's move up to your 17 forwarding of this e-mail. 18 Α. Sure. 19 And who is it that you send this O. e-mail to and when do you do that? 20 21 Strat Sherman on December 20, Α. 22 2007. 23 Ο. Is that a Mr. or Ms. Sherman?

Α.

Mr.

24

- 1 Q. Mr. Who is Mr. Sherman?
- 2 A. He had done work at Cardinal
- 3 Health as a consultant. Continued to stay in
- 4 contact with him, so I was letting him know I
- 5 was back in the job.
- 6 O. And what's the name of that
- 7 company he works for?
- A. Accompli.
- 9 Q. Do you know what Accompli does?
- 10 A. A consulting firm.
- 11 Q. That Cardinal has used in the
- 12 past?
- 13 A. Used in the -- not in -- not in
- 14 anti-diversion but in the past had used them,
- 15 and that's where I met him.
- Q. And just out of curiosity, what
- 17 type of issues would they consult on?
- 18 A. We were in other roles. We were
- 19 running a transformation of the organization.
- 20 And Strat worked primarily on HR issues and just
- 21 the work of transformation because it's so big
- 22 and broad. We would work across lines.
- Q. What type of transformation?
- 24 A. Cardinal was involved with back --

- 1 particularly -- I mean, it was a pretty big
- operation, but back office consolidations. We
- 3 had many acquisitions, several divisions, and
- 4 like most corporations, you look at your back
- office operations and look for ways to become
- 6 more efficient. So that's what the
- 7 transformation was primarily about.
- Q. Got it. And in this e-mail, you
- 9 say that "Cardinal Corporate working for Gary D.
- 10 and Jeff H."
- 11 That's Mr. Dolch and
- 12 Mr. Henderson; is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And "Here is the news that was
- 15 sent out."
- Read the next sentence for us,
- 17 please.
- 18 A. "A real surprise to be asked back,
- 19 but the recent issues need lots of attention."
- Q. Why were you surprised to be asked
- 21 back?
- 22 A. I didn't expect that a job would
- pop up.
- Q. Was there any issues with your

```
departure when you left?
 1
 2
            Α.
                  No.
 3
                  No bad blood, from your opinion?
            0.
 4
            A.
                  None.
                  Okay. And it says "Hence, a new
 5
            Q.
    role for me."
 6
 7
                  Right?
 8
            A.
                  Correct.
 9
                  And then read the sentence, "I
10
    think I'm adding ..."
11
                ... "immediate help and will have
    the opportunity to effect the longer term."
12
            Q. Continue.
13
14
            Α.
                  "I like that as I have lots of
15
    sweat equity in this place and we're too good to
    be driven down."
16
17
                  And you'd been there for quite
            Q.
    some time?
18
19
            A.
                  Yes.
20
                  Almost a decade by this point,
            0.
21
    correct?
22
            Α.
                  Yes.
23
            0.
                  '98 was when you started or about
24
    there?
```

- 1 A. Probably about -- yeah, about ten
- 2 years.
- Q. Which, if I'm not wrong, is a
- 4 decade?
- 5 A. I think you're right on that.
- 6 O. I hope so.
- 7 The reason you were concerned
- 8 about the company being driven down is because
- 9 the company had a result-oriented culture; isn't
- 10 that true?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 12 Q. And bad decisions were being made
- 13 at the higher levels?
- 14 A. I don't know what you mean by
- 15 that.
- 16 Q. Do you know what -- have you ever
- 17 heard of a result-oriented culture?
- 18 A. Sure.
- 19 Q. Okay. So are you agreeing or
- 20 disagreeing that Cardinal operated in a
- 21 result-oriented culture, focused on the end
- 22 game?
- 23 A. Cardinal's a public company. We
- 24 drove for efficiencies and to drive the best

- 1 business for our shareholders and certainly to
- 2 be a profitable company.
- Q. That's what shareholders want to
- 4 see, right?
- 5 A. Sure.
- 6 Q. I mean, they want the returns?
- 7 People invest. I mean, it's a publicly traded
- 8 company, as you mentioned, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Stockholders, not around -- just
- 11 around the country, but around the world?
- 12 A. That's right.
- Q. And the leadership is responsible
- 14 to the board of directors who's elected and
- appointed by the shareholders; isn't that true?
- 16 A. Yep.
- 17 Q. And so the focus is going to be
- 18 making money, right?
- A. Well, I'm not sure that's the only
- 20 focus any company has. Our focus is to deliver
- 21 and service our customers, to service the
- industry we're in, and certainly to make money.
- 23 O. Sure.
- A. It's not the only purpose that

- 1 you're there as a corporation.
- Q. So let me ask you again. Based on
- 3 your decade of experience with Cardinal, did
- 4 they or did they not have a result-oriented
- 5 culture?
- 6 A. Certainly. We were results
- 7 oriented.
- 8 O. And there were times that bad
- 9 decisions were made, as we saw by the suspension
- of three licenses from distribution centers?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 12 O. Correct?
- 13 A. I think in this case, as I got
- 14 into it, or the situation -- what you have to
- understand is I hadn't worked in this space. It
- 16 was new to me. And what I found is that our
- 17 processes and systems were working, and what
- 18 happened was, the DEA changed on us
- 19 immediately -- then they put the immediate
- 20 suspensions in place, and that's when I stepped
- in and began to learn much more about it.
- 22 O. So --
- 23 A. So when you say "bad decisions," I
- 24 don't know that I agree with that.

- 1 Q. So your processes and systems were
- working, in your opinion?
- 3 A. It wasn't during my time frame.
- 4 Q. You weren't reporting -- excuse
- 5 me. You guys weren't -- you were reporting all
- 6 suspicious orders?
- 7 A. It wasn't during my time frame.
- 8 As I understand our processes, and this is after
- 9 I came into role, we were of the belief that our
- 10 processes and systems were working.
- 11 Q. And just to clarify, you believe
- the processes and systems that were in place
- 13 prior to you taking on that role in December of
- 14 '07, the systems and processes at Cardinal
- 15 Health were working related to anti-diversion?
- 16 A. I wasn't there at that time. I
- 17 wasn't in the role. I had no -- no part of
- 18 that. What I came to understand was, we
- 19 believed -- I wasn't in it. We believed that
- 20 our processes and systems were working.
- 21 O. I understand that. I understand
- 22 that.
- Now, would you agree or disagree,
- 24 when you come into this role, this new role as

- 1 head of regulatory, you need to see what systems
- were in place and what was being done before to
- 3 decide the right path to fix it or correct it or
- 4 do whatever it is you're going to do, correct?
- 5 A. When I came into role, of course,
- 6 to your point about the immediate suspensions,
- 7 that's pretty severe. I didn't spend time
- 8 looking back. We immediately jumped in to try
- 9 to figure out what it is we needed to do and
- 10 push forward.
- I didn't spend a lot of time going
- 12 back. I didn't look at a lot of the process. I
- 13 didn't spend time on them. We pushed forward so
- 14 that we could get the DEA's support and -- as
- 15 quickly as we could get our suspensions lifted,
- 16 get our DCs back online.
- 17 Q. So you've already testified that
- 18 you believe the systems that were in place prior
- 19 to were adequate.
- 20 A. I testified that --
- Q. Hold on. Let me finish, please.
- 22 A. -- we understand that our systems
- and processes worked at the time.
- Q. Mr. Hartman, you have to let me

```
finish my question before you start answering.
 1
 2
    Okay?
            A. I understand.
 3
 4
             Q. And I will let you finish your
 5
    answer before I start asking my next question.
 6
                  Very good.
            Α.
 7
                   MR. PYSER: For the record,
 8
            Counselor, the question seemed complete.
 9
                   MR. FULLER: No, it wasn't
10
             complete. I assure you I was still
11
            going.
12
                   MR. PYSER: Well, when you pause
13
            after a full sentence, it's
14
            understandable that the witness
15
             thought --
16
                   MR. FULLER: I did not --
17
                   MR. PYSER: -- you made a complete
18
             question. Please don't criticize him
19
             for trying to answer your question.
20
                   MR. FULLER: No. I'm not
21
            criticizing him at all. I just said not
22
            how the depo is to proceed.
23
                   THE WITNESS: I'm ready to listen.
24
```

```
BY MR. FULLER:
 1
 2
             O.
                   Thank you, Mr. Hartman.
 3
                   You've already testified that you
    believe that the systems in place were
 4
 5
    sufficient. I want to know, if you didn't look
    back, what is the basis for saying that the
 6
    systems were sufficient?
 7
 8
                  I said that we, my colleagues at
 9
    Cardinal that I joined in the department,
    believed that our processes and system worked.
10
11
                  All right. So those people who
             0.
12
    operated the system that caused the severe
    suspension of three licenses believed they were
13
14
    doing the right thing, right?
15
             Α.
                   I think that's correct.
16
             0.
                   Okay.
17
                   MR. FULLER: Let's go to 4391.
18
19
           (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 2 marked.)
20
21
    BY MR. FULLER:
22
                   This will be Plaintiff's Exhibit
23
    Number 2.
24
                   So, Mr. Hartman, if you'll look at
```

- 1 the second e-mail in the chain there from
- 2 Mr. Dolch, it includes you; is that correct, on
- 3 January 21, 2008?
- 4 A. It includes me. I can see that.
- 5 Q. Do you recall getting this e-mail?
- 6 A. I don't recall this. I have to
- 7 read it, so give me a minute.
- 8 Q. Have you seen this e-mail before,
- 9 Mr. Hartman?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. I mean, certainly you have. It
- 12 was sent to you, right?
- 13 A. Yeah. I recall -- I recall
- 14 getting -- seeing this.
- 15 Q. Now, since the time you got it --
- let me ask. You prepared for this deposition;
- 17 is that correct?
- 18 MR. PYSER: I caution you not to
- reveal the content of any communications
- with counsel.
- Q. Yeah. Don't tell me anything that
- 22 your lawyers told you.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And how much time did you

- 1 spend preparing for this depo, either the first
- 2 time it was set or this time, combined?
- A. Probably 20 hours, I'd say.
- Q. Okay. And counsel sitting next to
- 5 you, Mr. Pyser, represents you in this matter;
- 6 is that right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. At what point did you decide to go
- 9 out and hire a lawyer?
- 10 A. I didn't hire a lawyer.
- 11 Q. So Mr. Pyser doesn't represent you
- 12 at this time?
- 13 A. Sorry. I didn't understand your
- 14 question.
- O. So I want to know when you
- 16 decided, "Hey, I need a lawyer. I'm going to
- 17 call someone and hire a lawyer."
- When did you make that
- 19 determination?
- MR. PYSER: Just going to caution
- you again not to reveal the content of
- any communications we've had.
- A. I'm going to say September time
- 24 frame. I don't remember the exact date.

- 1 Q. So what caused you to decide you
- 2 needed a lawyer? Because I didn't serve you
- 3 with a subpoena.
- 4 A. I was notified that I was a
- 5 potential deposition candidate.
- 6 Q. And who were you notified by?
- 7 A. Initially a lawyer from
- 8 BakerHostetler.
- 9 Q. Do you know who that lawyer was?
- 10 A. I don't remember her name, because
- 11 I didn't talk to her again. Just once.
- 12 Q. Okay. And what did you guys
- 13 discuss?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 15 Excuse me. Objection.
- Don't answer that question on the
- 17 grounds of privilege.
- 18 BY MR. FULLER:
- 19 Q. Did you hire that lawyer?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. So she wasn't your attorney,
- 22 right?
- MR. PYSER: Objection. It's
- privileged. There's a common interest

between Cardinal Health and Mr. Hartman. 1 2 The communications he had with the 3 lawyer from BakerHostetler who called, who I believe is actually a Cardinal 4 5 Health employee in their legal 6 department, are privileged and are not 7 the subject of this deposition. 8 MR. FULLER: I'll certify the 9 issue, and we'll take it up with the 10 Judge. 11 BY MR. FULLER: 12 0. All right. So when after that point did you decide to hire 13 14 Williams & Connolly, or did they call you, too? 15 I -- we -- I think it was shared Α. 16 e-mail, phone numbers, and I don't recall if I called first or Steve called me first sometime 17 18 in September, I'm going to say. Again, I don't 19 remember the dates. But I'm going to say 20 sometime in September is probably around that 21 phone call. 22 0. So did you already have 23 Mr. Pyser's number or e-mail address, or did he 24 e-mail you first?

- 1 A. I believe it was provided from the
- 2 first contact that I had.
- 3 Q. The young lady with
- 4 BakerHostetler?
- 5 A. Whoever called me first is how
- 6 that contact occurred.
- 7 Q. Fair enough. Fair enough.
- 8 And -- strike that.
- 9 All right. So let's turn to
- 10 the -- let me back up. I apologize. I'm
- 11 jumping a bit too fast.
- The original e-mail, what's the
- 13 subject line?
- 14 A. It is "GLT Communication."
- 15 Q. "Subject" line, not the "to" line.
- A. Oh, excuse me.
- 17 Q. That's all right.
- 18 A. "In the penalty box."
- 19 Q. In the penalty box. Who is this
- 20 e-mail from?
- 21 A. Kerry Clark.
- Q. Who is Mr. Clark; do you know?
- 23 A. CEO.
- Q. CEO of what?

Α. Cardinal Health. 1 2 All of Cardinal or one of these 0. divisions? 3 4 A. Would be all of Cardinal. 5 Q. So he's the top dog? A. He's the CEO. 6 Q. The president, the top boss? 7 8 A. He's the CEO. 9 Ο. Is that a top boss? 10 MR. PYSER: Object to form. Asked 11 and answered. 12 MR. FULLER: Well, no. I asked if 13 he's the top boss. He said he's the 14 CEO. I don't know if they're one and 15 the same. 16 BY MR. FULLER: 17 Q. Is he the top boss? 18 MR. PYSER: Object to form. 19 A. He is the CEO. 20 Q. What does that mean? 21 A. He runs the company. 22 Q. So he is the top boss? He had the 23 ability to hire and fire you? 24 Α. Oh, yes.

Q. Mr. Dolch? 1 2 Α. Yes. O. Mr. Henderson? 3 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Just about anybody he wanted to that was employed with Cardinal? 6 7 Well, that's probably true. Α. 8 Q. Fair enough. 9 And let's go to the second page of 10 his e-mail. 11 Do you see there he says, 12 "Obviously, the biggest are the SEC issue, two consecutive Alaris" -- did I just pronounce that 13 14 right? 15 Α. I think so. Q. -- "Alaris recalls" and "a number 16 17 of MPT recalls." 18 What are "MPT"? 19 A. No clue. 20 0. Fair enough. 21 "And the DEA controlled substance 22 license suspensions in three locations." 23 If you'll read from there for me. 24 "Beyond these, Gary Dolch's Α.

- 1 quarterly QRA report lists a startling number of
- 2 issues, including six OSHA violations and a
- 3 significant fine at a Syracuse, New York
- 4 facility."
- 5 Q. Do you know what all the issues
- 6 were in Mr. Dolch's QRA report?
- 7 A. I don't.
- Q. Did you ever see the report?
- 9 A. I don't remember it.
- 10 Q. Now, I'm assuming if he's doing a
- 11 quarterly report, and this is January, it
- 12 probably would have been for the last quarter of
- 13 2007; is that fair?
- 14 A. More than likely, yeah, I would
- 15 agree with that. I think so.
- 16 Q. Do you know if you were ever
- 17 provided with that report?
- 18 A. I don't recall seeing it. I might
- 19 have. I mean, I was in Gary's -- obviously
- 20 reporting to Gary, but we were pretty focused on
- 21 anti-diversion, so I wasn't spending a lot of
- time in meetings other than what we had going on
- 23 there.
- Q. Well, and the QRA report would

- 1 cover anti-diversion, correct?
- 2 A. I would -- I would assume so. He
- 3 comments on it here.
- Q. Okay. And then read the next
- 5 sentence.
- 6 A. "Shocking, isn't it?"
- 7 Q. No. "If you added up ..."
- 8 A. Oh. "If you added up the -- if
- 9 you add up our fines, settlements, and lost
- 10 business over the last 18 months, the total
- 11 would come to close to 1 billion."
- Q. And clearly Mr. Clark is --
- 13 clearly Mr. Clark is not happy with this, is he?
- 14 A. Oh, yeah. I agree with that.
- 15 He's -- it's his company. He wants ideal
- 16 performance.
- 17 Q. A billion dollars to lose is a lot
- 18 of money, isn't it?
- 19 A. A billion dollars is definitely a
- 20 big sum.
- Q. No question about that, right?
- 22 A. It's a big sum.
- Q. Now, let's go down to the next
- 24 paragraph. Excuse me. Two. Can you start

- 1 where it says "As we begin" and read that aloud
- 2 for the jury, please.
- 3 A. Yes. "As we begin -- as we begin
- 4 to look at the Voice of the Employee results,
- 5 which are being compiled and analyzed as we
- 6 speak, it's clear we have vertical communication
- 7 issues and our employees do not fully trust
- 8 their leaders."
- 9 Q. Now, let me ask -- stop there.
- 10 Voice of the Employee, that was a survey that
- 11 was sent out to all the employees at Cardinal;
- 12 is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you got that when you were on
- the operational side as well; isn't that true?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And Mr. Clark here is telling
- 18 everybody that our employees do not fully trust
- 19 our leaders?
- 20 A. That's what he says.
- 21 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. That's a problem, isn't it?
- You're former military, correct?
- A. Yeah. That's always -- that's

- 1 always an issue.
- Q. I mean, it's not just an issue.
- 3 It's a problem. That's something you do not
- 4 want if you're operating a company to the best
- of its ability, correct?
- 6 A. Well, as -- you know, as I recall,
- 7 you know, during this time period, there was
- 8 lots going on besides what he writes here. We
- 9 were in a -- we were in severe economic
- 10 downturn. All companies were suffering at that
- 11 point. And if I recall, which I have nothing to
- 12 base it on other than other companies I worked
- 13 at -- at a time period like that, trust of
- leaders is always on the low end.
- Q. And clearly Cardinal was no
- 16 exception. Cardinal's employees did not trust
- 17 its leadership at this time --
- 18 A. I think his words are --
- 19 Q. -- according to Mr. Clark?
- 20 A. -- "do not fully trust." So
- 21 there's differences there between "do not trust"
- 22 and "do not fully trust."
- Q. So then partially trust.
- A. So then if you're taking them

- 1 and -- if you're taking the whole group, having
- 2 worked there, and my memory from when I was
- 3 there, and my own performance, I think I was
- 4 pretty highly trusted at all times.
- 5 Q. Even when you were in QRA?
- 6 A. Even when I was in QRA.
- 7 Q. Fair enough.
- 8 Read the next sentence aloud for
- 9 us.
- 10 A. "Perhaps our results-oriented
- 11 culture is leading to ill-advised or
- 12 short-sighted decisions."
- 13 Q. This is coming to the captain of
- 14 the ship, isn't it? Mr. Clark is the --
- 15 A. The CEO.
- 16 Q. -- the CEO, the top dog. There's
- 17 no one above him other than the board of
- 18 directors, right?
- 19 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 20 O. And he's saying this
- 21 results-oriented -- read that again.
- What does it say?
- 23 A. "Our results-oriented culture is
- leading to ill-advised or short-sighted

decisions." 1 2 And he's referring back to --Ο. 3 MR. PYSER: Object to form. Incomplete part of the sentence. 4 5 Q. And he's referring back to the issues that we just talked about, costing the 6 company a billion dollars, isn't he? 7 8 Well, he wrote it. I assume so. Α. 9 You have to ask him if he's referring to 10 anything else. I will be happy to do that. 11 Ο. 12 Read the next sentence for us. 13 "We need to work this out, and Α. 14 action planning around the Voice of the Employee results will be an important part of our March 15 16 GLT meeting." 17 And help us out. What's "GLT"? Q. That's an acronym I can't help you with. Sorry. 18 19 Global leadership team. Α. 20 Global leadership team? 0. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Do you know who was on the global Q. leadership team? 23

Don't ask me to name them.

Α.

- 1 can't remember the numbers. I'm going to -- I
- 2 would -- I would be guessing, but, you know,
- 3 the -- 50 of the senior people, 60, 100, I don't
- 4 know who he had on the global leadership team,
- 5 but that was -- that was a senior team of
- 6 managers.
- 7 Q. So the e-mail's originally
- 8 forwarded by Mike Kaufmann.
- 9 Do you see that there?
- 10 A. In the e-mail string you're
- 11 seeing -- saying?
- 12 Q. Yes, sir.
- 13 Mr. Clark sends it to
- 14 GLT Communication, right?
- 15 A. Give me a moment.
- 16 O. Sure.
- 17 A. Okay. I've read that, Mike's
- 18 e-mail.
- 19 O. So Mr. Clark sends this e-mail to
- 20 his GLT Communication group, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And then from there, Mr. Kaufmann
- 23 forwards it to Mr. Dolch; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

Ο. And who is Mike Kaufmann? 1 2 At this time, Mike is the Α. leader -- I want to say title was president. It might have been CEO of the medical side of the business. 5 6 And that's since changed, correct? Ο. 7 Yes, that changed. Α. 8 He's currently the CEO? Q. 9 Α. He is, yes. 10 Q. Over the entire company? 11 A. He -- yes. 12 He replaced Mr. Barrett? 0. 13 Who replaced --Α. 14 Mr. Clark. Q. 15 -- Kerry Clark. Α. 16 So going back to that second 0. page -- let's finish this out. 17 18 In the last paragraph there, do you see halfway down through the last paragraph, 19 it says, "The final DEA resolution may have 20 21 comparable outcome." 22 Α. I see that. 23 0. Read the next sentence for us.

"While the Legal and QRA functions

Α.

- 1 are responsible for helping us run our
- 2 businesses, the general managers are ultimately
- 3 responsible for the results."
- 4 Q. Continue on for the next sentence.
- 5 A. "General managers are accountable
- 6 for ensuring their units operate according to
- 7 quality, legal/regulatory standards. So general
- 8 managers need to proactively search for
- 9 potential quality, legal/regulatory issues. And
- 10 when they find them, they need to make them --
- 11 make the hard, right calls; not easy, wrong
- 12 calls."
- Q. And was that something that you
- 14 recognized was going on at Cardinal; they were
- 15 making the easy wrong calls?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 17 Vague.
- 18 A. In my time period at Cardinal and
- 19 the places that I worked, I would not agree with
- 20 that.
- Q. Fair enough.
- So here, according to Mr. Clark,
- the general managers are responsible for the
- operations, including legal and regulatory

- 1 compliance; is that right?
- 2 A. I'm sorry. I was lost in reading
- 3 this again.
- 4 Q. Sure.
- 5 A. I'll listen. Go ahead.
- 6 Q. The general managers are the ones
- 7 ultimately responsible, according to Mr. Clark,
- 8 for regulatory compliance?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You're coming into a role where
- 11 you're going to be over the regulatory
- 12 compliance department; is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Regulatory compliance affects a
- 15 lot of the issues Mr. Clark is talking about in
- 16 this e-mail; isn't that right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And he's talking repeatedly about
- 19 a result-oriented culture leading to wrong
- 20 decisions; isn't that true?
- 21 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 22 Misstates evidence.
- A. I think as a leader, he's talking
- 24 about -- when you have issues and problems at a

- 1 company, you call those out, you use words
- 2 around results orientation, certainly. I agree
- 3 with that. And to get better execution.
- 4 Q. All right. Let's go back to
- 5 Mr. Clark's words. "Perhaps our result-oriented
- 6 culture is leading to ill-advised or
- 7 short-sighted decisions."
- 8 He's not mincing words there, is
- 9 he?
- 10 A. I don't know. You'll have to ask
- 11 him. I don't --
- Q. Well, that's what it says, right?
- 13 A. Oh, sure. You read that all the
- 14 time in corporations.
- 15 Q. How many times --
- 16 A. We talk about those things all the
- 17 time. It's a -- it's a constant and considered
- 18 phrase to use whether it's in a big event or
- 19 some small event.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. So seeing this the first time in a
- lot of years, I understand what you're asking,
- 23 and I would say, yes, Kerry is definitely
- 24 calling out these issues and making the

- 1 statements that we need to get better.
- 2 Q. You say the statement's used all
- 3 the time, Mr. Hartman. How many other times did
- 4 you here it at Cardinal Health?
- 5 A. Oh, frequently we would talk about
- 6 it at meetings. You know, we're never happy
- 7 with our results.
- 8 Q. So you'd frequently talk about
- 9 that our result-oriented culture is leading to
- 10 ill-advised decisions?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 12 A. That is not correct.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 14 Misstates prior testimony.
- 15 Q. You just told the jury that you
- 16 hear that phrase all the time.
- 17 A. We talk about it, because as a
- 18 corporation -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Go ahead. You can answer the
- 21 question.
- 22 A. What I'm commenting on is that in
- 23 a corporation, in a public company, your role is
- 24 always to improve performance. You're trying to

- 1 provide the investment that our shareholders
- 2 have on us, that our employees have on us, and
- 3 you're constantly trying to improve.
- 4 So having been in roles like this
- 5 for much of my career, the conversations in any
- 6 meetings, no matter how good you are doing, no
- 7 matter how many great reports you got, you
- 8 always talk about better results, better
- 9 execution, better performance from the company
- 10 for our customers.
- 11 Q. And your testimony to the jury is
- that we heard this verbiage, "result-oriented"
- 13 culture is leading to ill-advised or
- 14 short-sighted decisions" regularly at Cardinal,
- 15 isn't it?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 17 A. That is not -- that is not what my
- 18 testimony is. My testimony was results
- 19 orientation is -- the comment of ill-advised is
- 20 a comment from Kerry Clark. You'll have to ask
- 21 him. I read the -- I probably read the e-mail
- 22 at the time and continued to do my job.
- Q. Your new job?
- 24 A. Correct.

- Q. Which was fixing the regulatory
- 2 department because it just had three
- 3 distribution centers' licenses suspended and got
- 4 fined \$13.5 million, right?
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 A. I'm unaware of the 13.5 you're
- 7 referring to.
- 8 Q. So you don't know about the
- 9 company also paying a fine on top of losing
- 10 their license?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 12 Q. Did anybody ever show you, when
- 13 you came into this position to fix this
- 14 department, the deal that Cardinal worked with
- 15 the Department of Justice and the immediate
- 16 suspension orders that were issued?
- 17 A. I might have. I don't recall
- 18 that.
- 19 Q. You don't ever recall reviewing
- 20 that?
- 21 A. The \$13.5 million settlement --
- 22 but to answer your question --
- Q. Well, hold on.
- A. -- when I came into the

- 1 department, as I began to understand where we
- 2 were -- and, yes, I was brand new into it, and I
- 3 am a leader of the organization that's well
- 4 trusted. What I came to understand is that we
- 5 felt we were doing the right things, according
- 6 to anti-diversion.
- 7 And my role, when I came in, was
- 8 to look at what now had happened with the
- 9 immediate suspensions because the DEA had
- 10 changed course on us.
- 11 Q. Changed course when, Mr. Hartman?
- 12 A. I -- you know, I -- the e-mails
- 13 that I've seen is at some point in 2007, it
- 14 seems there was some e-mails that came about how
- 15 suspicious order monitoring was supposed to take
- 16 place, and that is what I came in to do, is to
- then understand that, to expand our department,
- 18 to improve our processes from where we were, and
- 19 to get our licenses back.
- 20 O. We'll get to expanding the
- 21 department in just a moment. You're saying in
- 22 2007, this -- there was some sort of change.
- 23 Did you look at anybody else's deposition in
- 24 this matter?

Α. 1 No. 2 Ο. Do you know -- so do you know who Ms. Norris is, Jennifer Norris? 3 Yes. Yeah, I know Jennifer. 4 Α. 5 Q. She's a lawyer, right? 6 Α. Yes. 7 With Cardinal Health? 0. 8 Yes, that's correct. Α. 9 Do you know how she testified as 0. 10 to what the statutory obligation was? She was 11 put up as a 30(b) --12 I think you're going to tell me. Α. 13 Q. Hold on. 14 She was put up as a 30(b) 15 designee, meaning to speak on behalf of the 16 company, and do you know what Cardinal said what 17 their statutory -- or their regulatory obligation was? 18 19 MR. PYSER: Hold on before you 20 answer. Do not reveal any of the 21 content of communications between you 22 and counsel. If you know otherwise, you 23 can answer the question.

I'm sorry. The question -- I --

Α.

- 1 you asked me if I know what she said.
- Q. I want to know if you know what
- 3 Cardinal's position was, what the regulatory
- 4 requirements were, as it related to them.
- 5 A. I don't know what they testified
- 6 to.
- 7 Q. They never shared that with you?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Even back then in 2007 when you
- 10 took on this position in regulatory?
- 11 A. Oh, I don't know. They might
- 12 have. It's ten years ago. I don't recall what
- those conversations were. My mind was single
- 14 focused on, we have immediate suspensions. We
- 15 have three DCs offline. What do we need to do
- 16 to work with the DEA to get our processes and
- 17 systems to where it's agreeable to them to get
- 18 our -- to get our licenses lifted so we could
- 19 get our DCs back online and service our
- 20 customers. And that's what my -- that's what my
- 21 focus was.
- Q. And certainly you then took on the
- 23 duty to look at those three DCs -- when we say
- 24 "DCs," for the jury's benefit we're talking

- 1 about distribution centers, right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. You took the opportunity to look
- 4 what was going on at those three DCs to
- 5 determine what needed to be fixed, right? How
- 6 are you going to fix a problem if you don't know
- 7 what the problem is, Mr. Hartman?
- 8 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 9 A. To your point, I'm certain we
- 10 looked hard at that. What we spent our time on
- 11 was what was the DEA demanding of us to meet
- 12 their criteria today at that time, for -- at
- 13 that time -- anti-diversion, and that's what we
- 14 spent all of our time to bring those processes
- 15 and systems up.
- Q. But Mr. Hartman, what I want to
- 17 know --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. I think what the jury would want
- 20 to know, is when Mr. Hartman come into this new
- 21 role, did Mr. Hartman take the time, not we, not
- 22 this royal "we." Did Mr. Hartman take the time
- 23 to look at what the issues were going on at
- 24 those three distribution centers that had just

- 1 received a humongous fine and had their license
- 2 stripped from them?
- 3 A. I'm sure I --
- 4 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 5 A. I don't recall the time I spent on
- 6 that, on each DC. I'm sure I did. It was
- 7 necessary to know who the players were, what
- 8 impact it was to us, what operational concerns
- 9 we had to adjust in order to deliver and service
- 10 customers in that area from other distribution
- 11 centers. And that's what we did.
- And when we say "we," yeah, I'm
- 13 the leader, and my role is to understand what's
- 14 the strategy to go forward for us to get these
- license suspensions lifted appropriately by the
- 16 DEA and we meet the conditions that they -- that
- 17 they have. So that -- that's what I did.
- And so the "we," to me, is always
- 19 going to be there for you, sir. It's the -- you
- 20 know, I had subject matter experts that I relied
- 21 on to work with me on this.
- Q. Who is your supply chain integrity
- 23 subject matter expert?
- A. Well, it would be -- the two

```
leaders were Michael Moné and Steve Reardon.
 1
 2
                   So they were the subject matter
    experts in this area; is that your testimony?
 4
            Α.
                  Yes.
 5
            Q.
                  Okay.
 6
            Α.
                  As the leaders of those groups.
 7
            Q. Well, you were the ultimate leader
    of the group, right?
 8
 9
            A. As I came into role, yes.
            Q. Okay. All right. Let's go to
10
11
    P14230.
12
13
           (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 3 marked.)
14
15
    BY MR. FULLER:
            Q. Let's take a look, Mr. Hartman,
16
    and see what actually was going on at those
17
    three distribution centers. And you have no
18
19
    recollection specifically of what was
    transpiring at those distribution centers at the
20
21
    time you came in; is that right?
22
            Α.
                   I didn't say no recollection. You
23
    asked me how much time I had spent on them, I
24
    thought.
```

- 1 Q. No, sir. I asked if you had dug
- 2 down and looked at what was going on.
- 3 A. Certainly I looked at what was
- 4 going on, but ...
- 5 Q. Then tell the jury what was going
- on at the three distribution centers that got
- 7 their licenses suspended.
- 8 A. Again, this was after -- this was
- 9 before my time of stepping into role, and I did
- 10 not have input or any involvement in the
- 11 regulatory affairs as I believe this happens.
- 12 So with what you put in front of me, this -- is
- 13 this the immediate suspension orders? What are
- 14 we looking at?
- Q. Sir, this is the -- not only the
- 16 immediate suspension orders, but also the
- 17 settlement and release agreement that was
- 18 entered by your company, Cardinal, during the
- 19 time you were filling this role, during the time
- 20 that you were over the regulatory division.
- 21 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 22 Q. And these immediate suspension
- orders that are attached, one is from November,
- 24 right before you got there. Two are from

- 1 December. Another one from January. And you
- were there in January, right? You were in the
- 3 role?
- 4 A. That -- that was Houston, yes.
- 5 Q. And were you involved in the
- 6 decision by the Houston distribution center to
- 7 voluntarily give up its license and stop
- 8 shipping controlled substances? Because by the
- 9 time -- you're there in the driver's seat,
- 10 right?
- 11 A. Working on our anti-diversion
- 12 processes and practices. So that decision, I
- 13 might have been in the room to listen in. I
- 14 don't know that I was in any way -- that I -- I
- 15 certainly wasn't the one that made that decision
- 16 around Houston.
- 17 Q. Were you involved in that decision
- 18 around Houston?
- 19 A. I don't recall.
- 20 O. Okay.
- 21 A. I mean, I recall certainly Houston
- 22 and the suspension. I'm sure I was in a meeting
- 23 or two, but ...
- Q. And you know that ultimately they

voluntarily gave up --1 2 Α. I do know that. -- their license to deliver and 3 0. distribute controlled substances based on the 4 5 conduct that the government found going on there, right? 6 7 MR. PYSER: Object to form. 8 Misstates evidence. 9 Α. Yes. Okay. So let's turn to page 16, 10 Q. 11 and I'll tell you, Mr. Hartman, there may be 12 page numbers on the bottom, but if you look at the upper left-hand -- or excuse me -- upper 13 14 right-hand corner, as you will recollect, I 15 called out 4230? 16 Α. Yes. 17 So that's the number we go by. Q. And then it will be point whatever page number 18 19 it is. 20 Okay. Α. 21 So if you go to .16, that will be 22 page 16 that I'm referring to. Okay? 23 And all the documents will be

marked the same way, just for ease for -- you

- 1 and I can communicate back and forth. Okay?
- 2 A. Okay.
- Q. All right. This is going to be
- 4 Plaintiff's Number 3, I believe, right? Yeah.
- 5 So this is Auburn, Washington.
- 6 That is one of the facilities that when you came
- 7 in, was having its license suspended; is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. This is one of the facilities that
- 11 you were tasked with getting its license back?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know when you obtained the
- license back, when the DEA issues were resolved?
- 15 A. My recollection is November of
- 16 2008, but I'm not sure if that's accurate.
- 17 Q. This is an Order to Show Cause and
- 18 Immediate Suspension of Registration.
- 19 Do you see that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- O. And it was issued when?
- 22 A. November 28, 2007.
- Q. And by that time, you were already
- 24 in talks with Cardinal to come back into this

- 1 position, correct?
- 2 A. I don't think so. I think it was
- 3 more December before I got a call from Cardinal
- 4 that they wanted to talk to me about this role.
- 5 I don't think it was in November.
- Q. Now, we know that the date of your
- 7 announcement was the 19th of December?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. So it would have to have been
- 10 before then; is that fair?
- 11 A. It was, yes.
- 12 Q. Because I'm assuming they didn't
- announce you took the job before they talked to
- 14 you about taking the job?
- 15 A. It was pretty -- it's pretty close
- 16 to the 19th. I don't recall the exact dates,
- 17 but it wasn't -- you know, it was in the
- 18 December time frame, and I think it was closer
- 19 to my actual announcement date. Again, I don't
- 20 recall the exact dates.
- Q. If you go to, actually, page 17
- 22 now, paragraph B.
- Do you see that there?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And a little over halfway through
- the paragraph, do you see "Specifically,
- 3 Respondent distributed ..."?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Can you read that all the way to
- 6 the end of that paragraph.
- 7 A. "Specifically, Respondent
- 8 distributed in excess of 600,000 dosage units of
- 9 hydrocodone to Horen's Drugstore from March 2007
- through September 2007, including over 116,000
- dosage units in July, over 129,000 dosage units
- in August, and over 122,000 dosage units in
- 13 September."
- Q. That's excessive numbers, isn't
- 15 it? Over 100,000 units to a single drugstore
- 16 per month?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 18 A. Yes, I think that's -- that's the
- 19 issue, as I see it, isn't it? We're looking at
- 20 one raw set of data, and the issue here is that
- 21 we've got a system that's now been developed
- with approval of drugs to DEA providing the
- increase of ingredients for the quotas to go up
- 24 and up, and then us fulfilling prescriptions to

- 1 licensed pharmacies with prescriptions from
- 2 licensed doctors.
- 3 So when you ask me that, as we
- 4 looked at this, there's lots of data that goes
- 5 into it, and I don't have the answers to it. I
- 6 relied on my experts to help me understand it
- 7 better as we built systems out and put our
- 8 strategies in place.
- 9 Q. And that's Mr. Moné and
- 10 Mr. Reardon? Those are the experts you relied
- 11 on?
- 12 A. In the anti-diversion space,
- 13 particularly in this question, would be
- 14 Michael Moné.
- 15 Q. Okay. And you talk about licensed
- 16 doctors and pharmacies just filling
- 17 prescriptions. You're aware that your company
- 18 was filling a ton of Internet pharmacies that
- 19 didn't have legitimate doctor prescriptions,
- 20 correct?
- 21 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 22 Misstates testimony and misstates
- evidence.
- 24 A. Yes. There were some Internet

- 1 pharmacies that we had to deal with.
- Q. And the problem was, they weren't
- 3 legitimate doctor prescriptions, correct?
- 4 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- A. I don't know that.
- 6 O. And you also know that the DEA
- 7 came to Cardinal and met with Cardinal in 2005
- 8 and explained the issue and the concern with the
- 9 Internet pharmacies?
- 10 A. No knowledge of that.
- 11 Q. No one ever shared that with you?
- 12 A. Not that I recall.
- 13 Q. How about the meetings that
- 14 Cardinal had with the DEA and the presentations
- that were given to Cardinal in 2006 related to
- 16 this issue?
- 17 A. Again, prior to my time. I wasn't
- 18 involved.
- 19 Q. No one ever shared that with you?
- 20 A. Not that I recall.
- 21 Q. So when you came into this
- 22 position, no one ever shared all the information
- 23 the DEA had provided to Cardinal related to
- 24 anti-diversion; is that your testimony?

- 1 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 2 A. My testimony is that I don't
- 3 recall, and in meetings that I was at corporate
- 4 headquarters in my transformation role, I was
- 5 not directly involved in the day-to-day business
- 6 of our operating units.
- 7 Q. I'm asking if you were involved in
- 8 the day-to-day operation of anti-diversion.
- 9 That was your new department, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And they didn't provide you any of
- 12 the information, that you can recall, the DEA
- 13 had provided to Cardinal for years related to
- 14 Internet pharmacies and their obligations, did
- 15 they?
- 16 A. I don't recall what we -- we
- 17 probably -- we could have talked about it. I
- 18 don't -- I just don't recall it. It's ten years
- 19 ago.
- 20 Q. This is not -- it's nothing that
- 21 sticks out in your mind, right?
- 22 A. It's not where I spent my time. I
- 23 didn't look back. I spent my time looking
- 24 forward to solve our problem.

- 1 Q. And the problem was Washington,
- 2 Auburn, at least one of the problems, correct?
- 3 A. One of them, yes.
- Q. And we see right here that over
- 5 600,000 dosage units are going to -- how did you
- 6 pronounce it -- Horon's Drugstore?
- 7 A. Horen's, I believe.
- Q. Do you know today if that was an
- 9 Internet pharmacy?
- 10 A. I don't recall.
- 11 Q. Is 600,000 dosage units for that
- time frame reasonable, March through September?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- A. Again, there's lots of factors
- 15 that have to be taken into place during my time
- 16 period that we looked at as we built our system
- and determined what were problems and what were
- 18 issues.
- 19 Q. And that's the problem, right? Is
- 20 that the earlier system didn't bring this to
- 21 anyone's attention, because certainly you would
- 22 agree if this was -- had been brought to
- 23 someone's attention that Cardinal wouldn't have
- 24 stood for this?

```
1
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form. Pure
 2
             speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.
 3
                   MR. FULLER: Okay. Counsel, just
             "object to form" is fine. That's what
 4
 5
             the deposition protocol requires.
                                                Ιf
             you are speaking objections, the Court
 6
 7
             says that's completely inappropriate.
 8
                   Thank you.
 9
    BY MR. FULLER:
10
             Q.
                   You can answer the question.
11
             A.
                   Would you give it to me again?
12
                   Yes, sir.
             0.
13
                   So we know that the DEA found,
14
     from Cardinal's own documents, that they were
    delivering over 600,000 dosage units of
15
16
    hydrocodone to this one drugstore in Washington,
    right, through March -- through September of
17
18
     '07.
19
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
20
                   Certainly if that had been called
             Ο.
21
    to Cardinal's attention, they would not have
22
    allowed that to continue, correct?
23
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
24
                   I think one of the points here is
             Α.
```

- 1 that if I understood some of the prior system,
- these were being reported to the DEA through the
- 3 reporting that they did, and the DEA had the
- 4 information. In the new world with DEA as to
- 5 how they were coming at anti-diversion with the
- 6 distributors, we put in a process to look at any
- 7 of these types of volumes in consideration of
- 8 other criteria that we used under Michael's
- 9 team.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. So to answer your question, I
- 12 would need more information about them.
- Q. And you say "about them." Are you
- 14 talking about Horen's drugstore?
- 15 A. That's the one you asked me about,
- 16 right?
- 17 Q. Yes, sir.
- 18 So you need more information to
- 19 justify whether 600,000 dosage units, over
- 20 100,000 for each month, is reasonable or not?
- 21 Is that what you're telling the jury?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- A. It's prior to my time.
- Q. No, no, no. You said you needed

```
more information. You can't determine --
 1
 2
    again --
 3
             A.
                   I'm saying that within our
    process, once I stepped into role, we put into
 4
 5
    practice our anti-diversion practices, which the
    DEA approved of.
 6
 7
                   The DEA gave approval, a stamp of
             Ο.
 8
     approval to your anti-diversion processes --
 9
             Α.
                   We --
                   -- is that what you're telling the
10
             Q.
11
     jury?
12
             Α.
                   In -- well, in my understanding of
    what happened, we got our licenses, the
13
14
     suspended licenses, back so that we could
15
     operate those distribution centers. They
16
     investigated our system, and we continued to
    operate that same system that we had shown them,
17
     everything that we were doing since I came into
18
19
     the role and the practices and procedures that
    we put into place.
20
21
                   And that system ended up getting
22
     them another suspension in 2013 down in Florida,
23
     correct?
24
                   MR. PYSER: Object.
```

- 1 A. My understanding.
- Q. And I know that was after you
- 3 left, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 9. You don't know how that system
- 6 that you put in place was being operated after
- 7 you left, do you?
- 8 A. I don't.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now, you said earlier DEA
- 10 changed its course in 2007, but then you also
- just testified that you weren't necessarily
- 12 aware of the meetings the DEA had and the
- information they provided to Cardinal?
- 14 A. I was not aware of those meetings
- in the earlier dates that you stated.
- 16 Q. So what meetings were you aware
- 17 of?
- 18 A. When I stepped into the role going
- 19 forward -- and if I was aware of it, I've
- 20 forgotten. It's ten years later, and I suspect,
- 21 to your point, you know, somebody probably
- 22 talked to me about it. We didn't -- I didn't
- 23 spend a whole lot of time looking back. I spent
- 24 all of our time going forward to solve our

- 1 issue, to get our licenses back, and to serve
- 2 our customers.
- 3 Q. But you looked at Washington,
- 4 Florida, when they got the immediate suspension
- 5 orders, right?
- A. After I -- after I got into role,
- 7 at some point, I'm sure I did.
- Q. Well, let's turn to page 20.
- 9 Does this appear to be another
- 10 immediate suspension order?
- 11 A. It does.
- 12 O. And for which Cardinal
- 13 distribution center; can you tell? Cardinal
- 14 Health of Lakeland, Florida?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And it was issued December 5,
- 17 2007; is that right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, are you aware of the extreme
- 20 amount of pills being distributed in Florida
- 21 during this time frame related to the Internet
- 22 pharmacies?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- A. Again, it was prior to me stepping

- 1 into role.
- Q. I got that. You made that clear.
- But you're in there to fix this
- 4 problem, right?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 O. And so you got to look and see
- 7 what the problem is you're trying to fix, don't
- 8 you?
- 9 A. Yes, we did.
- 10 Q. Okay. So do you know if today is
- 11 the first day you're seeing this immediate
- 12 suspension order or not?
- A. Oh, I'm sure it's not. I'm
- 14 certain I looked at it. Again, we didn't -- I
- 15 didn't spend a lot of time on it.
- Q. You say you're sure you're not,
- 17 but you don't have any independent recollection
- 18 of ever seeing this until I showed it to you
- 19 today, do you?
- 20 A. I don't remember dates and times
- in December as I came into role. They probably
- 22 put this in front of me, and as I said, I didn't
- 23 spend time on this. I spent time on trying to
- 24 understand, what do we need to do and how do we

- 1 move forward.
- Q. Right. Because the system that
- 3 was in place obviously was broke, and you got
- 4 sucked in to fix it, correct?
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 Q. That was your job, to fix their
- 7 system; isn't it?
- 8 A. As I got into role --
- 9 Q. Was your job to fix their system
- 10 or not?
- MR. PYSER: Counsel, let him
- 12 finish his answers after you ask the
- 13 question.
- 14 A. My job was to take our system,
- 15 expand it and improve in light of these
- 16 suspensions and knowledge of what do we need to
- 17 do to get our licenses back.
- 18 Q. Fair enough.
- 19 So explain to the jury what that
- 20 system was back in 2007.
- 21 A. I wasn't aware of -- I did not
- 22 participate in regulatory affairs.
- Q. Sir, you just told the jury that
- 24 your job was to expand the system you had. How

- 1 can you expand the system if you don't know what
- 2 it is?
- 3 A. Because I had two team members on
- 4 board. They had teams now. Michael had another
- 5 team with anti-diversion, which was pretty new,
- 6 and Steve Reardon had the original --
- 7 Q. That was just created, right?
- 8 MR. PYSER: Counsel, let him
- 9 finish his answer before you ask another
- 10 question.
- 11 Q. It was just created, wasn't it?
- 12 A. I think Michael came into role
- 13 just prior to myself.
- Q. And you're the leader of this
- 15 division?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And your testimony to the jury is
- 18 that you didn't bother to look back at the prior
- 19 systems in place, correct?
- 20 MR. PYSER: Objection. Misstates
- 21 testimony.
- Q. You didn't look back. You only
- looked forward. We're going to expand those
- 24 systems. We're not going to look at them to see

- 1 what they were. At least I'm not, as
- 2 Mr. Hartman?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 4 Misstates testimony.
- 5 A. I didn't -- I think my comment
- 6 was, I didn't spend a lot of time looking back.
- 7 I literally jumped into role. Steve Reardon had
- 8 the original anti-diversion practices, the
- 9 reporting that took place under him.
- 10 Michael Moné came in for us to build out and
- 11 respond to this criteria of the DEA now.
- So as I got into role, we spent
- 13 all of our time there while Steve Reardon
- 14 continued to operate our practices at that time.
- 15 So to tell you I'm some expert on it, I'm not.
- 16 I didn't -- I didn't try to be an expert on it.
- 17 I looked at, what do we need to do to go
- 18 forward.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. In the course of all that, I'm
- 21 sure the folks talked to me. We had meetings,
- 22 consultations around it, discussions, but our
- 23 focus was solely on, what are we going to do and
- 24 how do we immediately get after this?

So let's first look at -- well, 1 Ο. now let's move on from Washington to Florida. 2 3 And if you look at Number 2 there on the immediate suspension order. 4 5 Do you see that? 6 Α. I see it. 7 Do you see where it says "From 0. August 2005 to October 2007 ..." 8 9 Can you continue. "... Respondent distributed over 10 Α. 11 8,000,000 dosage units of combination 12 hydrocodone products to customers that it knew or should have known were diverting hydrocodone 13 14 into the other -- into other than legitimate 15 medical, scientific, and industrial channels." 16 Did you do anything to determine whether that was an accurate statement or not? 17 Did you do anything to verify 18 19 whether the DEA was wrong? 20 MR. PYSER: Object to form. 21 I spent no time on that. Α. 22 You didn't worry about that? Q. 23 I worried about what we had been Α.

suspended for and what we needed to do to have

24

- 1 the system in place that Cardinal would be able
- 2 to serve our customers and we would be able to
- 3 meet the requirements of DEA.
- 4 Q. So this is what you were suspended
- 5 for --
- 6 A. I understand you.
- 7 Q. -- dumping 8 million dosage units
- 8 to drugstores and pharmacies that Cardinal knew
- 9 or should have known were being diverted?
- 10 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 11 Q. Right? That's what it says.
- 12 A. That's the accusation.
- 0. Okay. Let's go to page 30 of the
- 14 document. I want to take a quick look at the
- 15 Texas distribution, the Texas -- Stafford,
- 16 Texas, show cause order.
- Did you get there, Mr. Hartman?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 0. Okay. And this is a show cause
- order that we mentioned earlier for Texas, isn't
- 21 that right? The Stafford distribution center?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And when was it issued?
- 24 A. January 30, 2008.

- 1 Q. This is during your time frame
- 2 heading up this division; you're in this role
- 3 full time now, right?
- 4 A. I had been there six weeks.
- 5 Q. Exactly. So let's go down --
- 6 let's see. Let's go down to page 31. On
- 7 paragraph 7, this is from January of 2007
- 8 through September 11, 2007. "Registrant
- 9 distributed approximately 1.385" -- well, excuse
- me. "1,381,500 dosage units of hydrocodone to
- 11 Richmond Pharmacy, or approximately 160 [sic]
- 12 dosage units each month."
- Does that cause you any concern,
- 14 that a distribution center under your watch was
- 15 making those type of distributions to a single
- 16 pharmacy?
- 17 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 18 A. What I spent my time on was not
- 19 trying to refute or change or fight with the DEA
- 20 about these accusations.
- 21 Q. Yes, sir.
- A. I spent all of my time on, what do
- we need to do to improve our system and
- 24 practices and training and anything else we

- 1 needed to do in order to get our licenses back,
- 2 serve our customers, and do what the DEA said we
- 3 needed to do.
- 4 Q. And you made that abundantly
- 5 clear. But my question is, does this type of
- 6 distribution pattern cause you any concern as
- 7 the head of the regulatory department?
- 8 A. I didn't spend time looking at it.
- 9 I had a team that was now investigating. With
- 10 our new practices, we were getting ready to
- 11 put -- as we got them in place, and we -- it
- 12 took some time. It wasn't that we had it day
- one. To look at these kind of issues and what
- 14 were the practices, what are the issues, what
- 15 are -- what is being said here, what is our
- 16 performance around this.
- I didn't spend time on trying to
- 18 figure out what's right or what went wrong. I
- 19 spent my time on the process and the -- and the
- 20 system, using my subject matter experts to look
- 21 at these issues.
- Q. Again, my question, you're in this
- 23 role for approximately three years, right? End
- 24 of 2007 to 2010?

- 1 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 2 O. You were the head of
- 3 anti-diversion and supply chain integrity,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. I want to know as the leader of
- 7 that group, does this type of distribution cause
- 8 you any concern? I'm asking whether dumping
- 9 1.3 million dosage units in a short period of
- 10 time into one pharmacy is problematic?
- 11 A. I see. I take exception to your
- 12 word "dumping." We are --
- Q. Shipping, we'll call it.
- 14 A. We are a distributor responding to
- 15 legitimate orders at a pharmacy who is serving
- 16 prescriptions written by licensed DEA doctors.
- 17 So when you say "dumping," I don't agree with
- 18 that.
- Does it cause me concern? Once we
- 20 built our system, as I got there, in my time
- 21 period, and we looked at facilities, these kind
- of issues could be problematic, or they might
- 23 not be given the data that we used.
- MR. PYSER: Register an objection

to form on there. 1 2 Just give me a chance to interject 3 objections before you answer. Q. So, again, my question is, does 4 5 this type of distribution cause you concern or not? Either it does or it doesn't. 6 7 MR. PYSER: Object to form. Asked 8 and answered. 9 That's a yes-or-no question. Ο. 10 Α. It's not a yes-or-no answer. 11 Q. Clearly -- and I'm thinking that 12 the answer may be written on the wall behind me because you keep giving the same one. 13 14 MR. PYSER: Object to form. 15 Argumentative. 16 So let's go on. O. 17 Let's roll down this paragraph. It says, "Registrant distributed 66,000 dosage 18 19 units of hydrocodone to Richmond on September 4, 20 2007." 21 Do you see that there? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Continue from there for me, 24 please.

- Α. "6,000 dosage units on 1 2 September 5, 2007; 12,000 dosage units on September 6, 2007; 18,000 dosage units on 3 September 7, 2007; 48,000 dosage units on 4 September 10, 2007." 5 6 That's good enough. Ο. 7 Does that not cause you any 8 concern, tens of thousand dosage units day after 9 day? 10 We have to -- we have to look at Α. the pharmacies, their practices, where they're 11 located. 12 Absolutely. And do you think 13 Q. 14 there's any legitimate medical need for tens of 15 thousands of pills day after day to be dumped 16 into Texas? 17 Α. I wasn't --18 MR. PYSER: Counsel, again, let
- the witness finish his answer. I don't 19
- 20 think he was done before you interjected
- 21 with another question.
- 22 Q. Again, do you think that there's
- 23 any medical legitimate need to dump tens of
- 24 thousands of pills on the 4th, 5th, 6th, and 7th

- 1 and 10th of September? I mean a period of five
- 2 days.
- A. Again, "dump" is not a word that I
- 4 would use.
- 5 Q. Distribute.
- 6 A. Distributing to licensed
- 7 pharmacies where they're serving licensed
- 8 doctors, writing prescriptions, is what we
- 9 responded to. And as I -- and, again, as I came
- into role, I didn't spend my time trying to
- 11 figure out each of these particular instances.
- 12 I spent my entire time on the strategy and how
- 13 we respond to DEA and solve our issues there and
- 14 improve our system with DEA.
- 15 Q. So once you put your system in
- 16 place, if this type of distribution happened,
- 17 that would be okay with Mr. Hartman?
- 18 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 19 Q. Right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
- 21 for speculation.
- 22 A. What would have been okay is if
- our system said that those -- that the
- 24 distribution of those products served the

- 1 purpose without being called as an order of
- interest, then, yes, I would have been okay.
- Q. And for that, we would need to see
- 4 a due diligence file to substantiate the medical
- 5 need for this type of dosage, correct?
- 6 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 7 A. We would have had our processes
- 8 working to look at every order that comes in and
- 9 determining where it fits within our processes
- 10 and practices and then making a call on that
- 11 order and that pharmacy.
- 12 Q. So these processes that you're
- 13 talking about, you don't know what they are back
- in 2007, right? It was before your time?
- 15 A. Vaguely -- I'm vaguely familiar
- 16 because, obviously, I -- you know, we've talked
- 17 about it. I recall certainly at the time we
- 18 must -- we talked about them. Steve Reardon was
- 19 still operating them, but I was not intimately
- involved with them. I'm not an expert on them.
- Q. When did you become intimately
- 22 involved with them? Ever?
- A. Not the prior ones.
- Q. What about the new ones?

- 1 A. The new ones, I would say I was
- 2 involved with. I think I knew them well. I
- 3 never tried to be the subject matter expert. I
- 4 relied on my team of people that we put in place
- for the DEA conditions that had been placed on
- 6 us in order to meet those needs and to be within
- 7 their purview operating the way they felt we
- 8 should. And we did that.
- 9 Q. And when you came in to Cardinal
- 10 after being there over a decade, you knew that
- 11 the regulatory department was understaffed and
- 12 underfunded, didn't you?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 14 A. I don't recall how I felt about
- 15 it. You know, we operated with them in our --
- in our space, they gave us good advice and
- 17 counsel, and it was a department that I would --
- 18 I've certainly worked with from time to time,
- 19 but I don't recall any specific instances of
- 20 what we were doing there -- I -- and any issues
- 21 that we had.
- Q. Well, when you came into the
- 23 division, is it not true that you created a
- 24 supply chain integrity department that

- 1 Michael Moné headed up and had to push to get
- 2 additional staff for him?
- A. Oh, sure. Yes.
- 4 Q. You actually over-doubled the
- 5 staff working on regulatory issues, didn't you?
- 6 A. Oh, we -- in response to the DEA
- 7 conditions, which were now placed upon us and
- 8 then they put the immediate suspension orders
- 9 and closed our DCs or suspended the licenses,
- 10 yes, absolutely. Sure I did.
- 11 Q. The DEA conditions that were put
- 12 upon us, what DEA conditions are you referring
- 13 to?
- 14 A. The suspicious order monitoring,
- 15 their conditions of how you report those now.
- 16 That changed.
- 17 Q. That changed?
- 18 So it's your belief that the
- 19 controlled substance suspicious order monitoring
- 20 requirement and reporting it to the DEA wasn't
- 21 always there?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- That's not what he said. Misstates
- 24 prior testimony.

- 1 A. Not in the --
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. Thank you.
- 3 A. Not in the form of when I got into
- 4 role as to what we were now expected to do.
- 5 That's what I understood, and that's what we
- 6 worked diligently on.
- 7 Q. Well, what was the difference?
- 8 Tell the jury. Explain to the jury what the
- 9 difference was, if you know.
- 10 A. I -- well, I'm no expert, as I
- 11 told you. I didn't spend any time going back.
- 12 But what I am aware of is that we filled out
- 13 forms and reports every month that went to the
- 14 DEA for years. Those went to the DEA. They
- 15 accumulated them. And then they were the
- 16 holders of that information.
- 17 That's prior to my time. So,
- 18 again, I'm not an expert on it. I then moved
- into my role, and we set off to make sure that
- 20 our suspicious order monitoring system was
- 21 developed with more IT and analytics involved,
- 22 that our suspicious order reporting then
- 23 responded to that system, and we built out our
- 24 Know Your Customer training to involve our --

- 1 and give advice to our field teams as to the
- 2 help and support that we needed now.
- 3 Q. So, admittedly, you're no expert
- 4 on diversion or anti-diversion or supply chain
- 5 integrity, right?
- 6 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 7 A. I think I'm good at it, but I
- 8 claim to be no expert.
- 9 MR. PYSER: Counsel, we've been
- 10 going about an hour and 15 minutes.
- 11 Let's take a break.
- MR. FULLER: Fair enough.
- 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
- 14 10:25. Going off the record.
- 15 (Recess taken.)
- 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The time
- is now 10:53. Back on the record.
- 18 BY MR. FULLER:
- 19 Q. Mr. Hartman, we were talking when
- we took the break about the regulatory
- 21 compliance and what was required of Cardinal
- 22 Health.
- Do you recall that?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. And are you familiar with
- what the regulations require for Cardinal Health
- 3 as it relates to anti-diversion under the
- 4 Controlled Substances Act?
- 5 A. I think so.
- 6 O. Well, explain to the jury what the
- 7 statutory obligations are of Cardinal under the
- 8 Controlled Substances Act, as you knew them,
- 9 during your time frame at Cardinal?
- 10 MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
- 11 for a legal conclusion.
- MR. FULLER: Well, hold on. Let's
- address that. Counsel's saying it calls
- for a legal conclusion.
- 15 BY MR. FULLER:
- 16 Q. You were -- your job was to head
- 17 up anti-diversion and regulatory compliance,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So in order for you to do your
- job, you had to know what the Controlled
- 22 Substances Act and the relevant regulations
- 23 require, didn't you?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. I mean, you can't do your job if
- 2 you don't know what you have to comply with, can
- 3 you?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. Not very well at least, right?
- 6 A. I would say that's right.
- 7 Q. Okay. So explain to the jury what
- 8 your understanding was of Cardinal's obligations
- 9 under the Controlled Substances Act?
- 10 MR. PYSER: Same objection.
- 11 A. We were required to have a
- 12 suspicious order monitoring system, and we were
- to have reported suspicious orders to the DEA.
- 14 I don't think that's a quote of the regulation.
- Q. All right. So you're saying your
- 16 obligations under the Controlled Substances Act
- 17 was suspicious order monitoring system, that one
- 18 had to be created, implemented, and utilized by
- 19 Cardinal during this time frame, correct?
- 20 A. Cardinal was to have -- as a
- 21 distributor, to be licensed by the DEA, had to
- 22 have a suspicious order monitoring system.
- Q. Now, do you know when you came
- into your position in the end of 2007, December,

- 1 correct?
- 2 A. That's right.
- 3 Q. Whether that requirement was
- 4 already in existence for Cardinal?
- 5 A. We took what Cardinal had and
- 6 continued to expand and build upon it.
- 7 Q. That's not my question. So I want
- 8 you to listen to my question.
- I want to know, and I want the
- 10 jury to hear, whether you believe this
- 11 obligation was already in existence for Cardinal
- 12 prior to you taking over in December of 2007.
- 13 Either it was in existence or it wasn't in
- 14 existence.
- 15 A. When I stepped into role, Cardinal
- 16 had a suspicious order monitoring system which
- we built on and expanded under my -- under my
- 18 tenure.
- 19 Q. Mr. Hartman, I'll ask the question
- 20 again, and I ask that you listen to my question.
- 21 Okay?
- I'm asking you, was the suspicious
- order monitoring requirement already in place by
- 24 the government upon Cardinal. I didn't ask you

- 1 if Cardinal had a suspicious order monitoring
- 2 system. We can agree those are two separate
- 3 questions, right?
- 4 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 5 Q. One, was it a regulation in place
- 6 that Cardinal had to comply with; and, two, the
- 7 answer you're giving is, did Cardinal have a
- 8 suspicious order monitoring system. We'll get
- 9 to that point, I promise.
- So, again, my question is, do you
- 11 understand whether this obligation to have and
- 12 operate a suspicious order monitoring system was
- in place when you came into the job in December
- 14 of 2007?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 16 A. As I stepped into role, my
- 17 colleagues felt that we had that system in place
- 18 that met the obligation, and then I worked to
- 19 build on and expand it based on the DEA's
- 20 criteria, new criteria of how we needed to
- 21 improve it.
- Q. So Mr. Hartman, again, maybe my
- 23 question wasn't clear. I didn't ask you what
- 24 Cardinal had in place and didn't have in place.

- 1 Okay? Are you understanding me right now?
- 2 A. Understanding.
- Q. Okay. I'm asking you, was this
- 4 regulation actually in place and required of
- 5 Cardinal when you came in in December of 2007,
- or was this something enacted by the government
- 7 after that point?
- A. Is that the same question you've
- 9 been asking me?
- 10 Q. Yes, sir.
- 11 A. So you're asking me if the
- 12 Controlled Substances Act was in place?
- 13 Q. I'm asking you if that
- 14 regulation -- if that requirement, which you
- 15 testified to just now, was already in place when
- 16 you came into the position in December of 2007,
- or was it a new requirement that was passed
- 18 sometime after you came into that position?
- 19 A. The Controlled Substances Act had
- 20 been in place for a long time.
- Q. Do you know how long? Because you
- 22 testified earlier things had changed, and I want
- 23 to try to sort this out.
- A. Back in the '70s, I believe, is

- 1 when it was first passed.
- 2 Q. So you believe this requirement
- 3 was in place since the 1970s?
- 4 A. I think so.
- 5 Q. Now, you mentioned operating a
- 6 system and then you mentioned another
- 7 requirement. What was that other requirement
- 8 that you believe was in place when you came into
- 9 this role?
- 10 A. To report suspicious orders to the
- 11 DEA.
- 12 Q. And you and I can agree, can we
- 13 not, those are two different requirements, one
- is to have a system in place and operate that
- 15 system; the other is actually to report things,
- 16 suspicious orders, to the DEA, right?
- 17 A. I agree with that.
- 18 Q. Okay. Now, this report suspicious
- 19 orders to the DEA, when did -- was this
- 20 requirement already in place when you came into
- 21 the role, or was this something new after you
- 22 came into the role?
- A. I'm not clear on whether you're
- 24 asking me if the Controlled Substances Act was

- in place, or if you're asking me specifically
- 2 about Cardinal. Which are you asking me?
- Q. I'm asking whether the regulation
- 4 was in place.
- 5 A. The regulation was in place.
- 6 O. And do you know how long that
- 7 regulation had been in place?
- A. I believe it's all part of the
- 9 original Controlled Substances Act, so I presume
- 10 from its inception.
- 11 Q. So 1970s again, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So you believe Cardinal had the
- 14 obligation to have a suspicious order monitoring
- 15 system and, therefore, to report suspicious
- orders to the DEA since the 1970s, right?
- 17 MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
- for a legal conclusion.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now -- sorry.
- Do you know whether there was
- 22 any -- well, let me ask, just to make sure we're
- 23 complete. Were there any other requirements
- that you're aware of related to the Controlled

- 1 Substances Act or anything else related to
- 2 anti-diversion?
- 3 A. Related to the Controlled
- 4 Substances Act, the regulation. Those were the
- 5 two elements of it --
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. -- as I understand it.
- 8 Q. Now, do you agree or disagree that
- 9 there was a U.S. Code that required Cardinal to
- 10 maintain effective controls against diversion of
- 11 particular controlled substances into other than
- 12 legitimate medical, scientific, and industrial
- 13 channels?
- 14 A. It sounds right. I'd need to see
- the document, but I think you're reading from
- 16 it.
- Q. Well, we're going to show you the
- 18 document. Don't worry about that.
- 19 4916, please.
- Have you ever looked at the
- 21 Controlled Substances Act, Mr. Hartman?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. When did you do that?
- A. I don't recall when, but as I

- 1 stepped into the role, I probably would have
- 2 looked at this act so -- to see what it was that
- 3 we were supposed to abide by.
- 4 Q. So when you say "I probably would
- 5 have, "that's different than yes.
- 6 A. I don't recall. It's ten years
- 7 ago when I did --
- Q. Okay.
- 9 A. -- or didn't. My -- I answered
- 10 your question in that I did, certainly looked at
- 11 it.
- 12 Q. Okay. And so this is portions of
- the Controlled Substances Act. As you know,
- 14 it's multiple pages and a little lengthy, right?
- 15 Is that correct, or do you
- 16 remember?
- 17 A. No. I remember the act to be this
- 18 regulation in front of me or the pieces that we
- 19 focused on.
- 20 O. Okay. So the portion in front of
- 21 you is from the United States Congress.
- Do you see that seal there?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. Do you see that?

- 1 A. Let me take a look at the document
- for a minute. You're asking me some questions
- 3 about it from a long time ago.
- 4 Q. And you hadn't reviewed this in
- 5 preparation for your deposition; is that what
- 6 you're telling us?
- 7 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. Did you review the regulations
- 9 that apply to the controlled substances in your
- 10 regulatory department?
- 11 A. I --
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Don't answer questions about what
- 14 you did and didn't review in
- preparation. If he wants to ask you if
- 16 you reviewed this particular document,
- we'll allow you to answer that question.
- 18 BY MR. FULLER:
- 19 Q. Did you review the U.S.C., the
- 20 United States Code, the Controlled Substances
- 21 Act document?
- MR. PYSER: If you recall.
- A. In preparation, you're asking me?
- 24 Q. Yes, sir.

```
A. I don't recall looking at that
 1
    document in detail if we did.
 2
 3
            O. Fair enough.
 4
                  Go ahead and take a look at what
 5
    we've provided you.
 6
                  Have you had a chance to look at
 7
    it, Mr. Hartman?
 8
            A. One second.
 9
            O. Sure.
10
            A. Okay.
            Q. All right. So this is a document
11
12
    that's being marked as Plaintiff's 5 -- being
13
    marked as Plaintiff's 5 is portions of the
14
    United States Code.
15
          (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 5 marked.)
16
17
18
    BY MR. FULLER:
19
            Q. Do you see that in front of you
    where it says "Chapter 13. Drug Abuse and
20
21
    Prevention Control. Subchapter, Control and
22
    Enforcement"?
23
            A. Yes.
24
            Q. It says, "Introductory
```

```
Provisions."
 1
 2
                   Do you see that there?
             Α.
 3
                   Yes.
 4
             Q.
                   And then it says: "Section 801.
     Congressional findings and declarations;
 5
     controlled substances." And these are findings
 6
 7
    and declarations by the United States
 8
     government.
 9
                   You're aware of that, right?
10
             Α.
                   That's what it says.
11
                   And it says, "Congress makes the
             Ο.
12
     following declarations." I want you to read
13
    Number 2 to us.
14
                   "The illegal importation,
             Α.
15
    manufacture, distribution, and possession of
16
     improper use of controlled substances have a
     substantial and detrimental effect on the health
17
     and general welfare of American people."
18
19
                   And do you agree or disagree with
             O.
     that, that the illegal importation and
20
21
    distribution of controlled substances can have a
22
     substantial and detrimental effect on the health
23
     and general welfare of the American people?
```

MR. PYSER: Object to form.

24

- 1 A. It's a regulation for the United
- 2 States. Of course I agree with it.
- Q. Well, I'm asking if you agree with
- 4 the declaration.
- 5 A. Of course I do.
- 6 Q. Okay. Let's go to page 4 of the
- 7 document.
- 8 MR. PYSER: Counsel, for the
- 9 record, that we're clear, this is an
- 10 excerpt of pieces of the United States
- 11 Code that you've created, correct?
- MR. FULLER: As I stated earlier,
- yes, sir, it is.
- 14 BY MR. FULLER:
- Q. Do you see subsection 823,
- "Registration requirements"?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And read Number 1 aloud to
- 19 us -- which is one of the registration
- 20 requirements for a distributor, right?
- 21 A. "Maintenance of effective control
- 22 against diversion of particular controlled
- 23 substances into other than legitimate medical,
- 24 scientific, and industrial channels."

- 1 Q. Do you know what that means?
- 2 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- A. I believe I do.
- Q. Well, explain to the jury what
- 5 that requirement requires for Cardinal Health.
- A. It requires a distributor to have
- 7 a system in place to monitor controlled
- 8 substances and to report.
- 9 Q. Well, it says "effective controls
- 10 against diversion." So not only do you have to
- 11 report, you have to try to prevent diversion,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. I think preventing diversion is
- 14 always the right thing to do.
- 0. Well, I'm wanting to know if
- 16 that's what the regulation, this United States
- 17 Code that our U.S. Congress enacted -- I want to
- 18 know if that's what the U.S. Congress is
- 19 requiring from Cardinal?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 21 Misstates evidence. This Code requires
- the Attorney General to take actions.
- MR. FULLER: Okay. A.J., where's
- that deposition protocol?

1	I've asked you, Mr. Pyser, now a
2	couple times to not do talking
3	objections, and then according to the
4	deposition protocol on subsection C,
5	Objections and Directions Not to Answer.
6	"All objections, except those to form
7	and privilege, are reserved until
8	reserved until trial or other use.
9	Counsel shall refrain from engaging in
10	colloquy during the depositions. No
11	speaking objections are allowed."
12	I would ask you to please refrain
13	and follow the deposition protocol,
14	which you guys have agreed to.
15	MR. PYSER: I will follow the
16	deposition protocol. I have been
17	following the deposition protocol, and
18	I'm sure all your colleagues on the
19	Plaintiff side will never make another
20	speaking objection.
21	So we can proceed, Counsel.
22	MR. FULLER: Okay. I just want
23	assurance from you that you're not going
24	to continue the speaking objections.

```
1
                   MR. PYSER: That was not a
 2
             speaking objection. I was explaining
             the basis for my objection when you
 3
             misstate evidence.
 4
 5
                   MR. FULLER: Okay.
 6
                   MR. PYSER: Continue, and I will
 7
             abide by the deposition protocol.
 8
                   MR. FULLER: The order of the
             Court is only form objections, and if I
 9
10
             want a clarification as to what the form
             objection is, I will certainly ask.
11
12
                   MR. PYSER: Continue your
13
             examination.
14
                   MR. FULLER: But until I do so, I
15
             would ask you to refrain from the
16
             speaking objections. I appreciate it.
17
             Thank you.
18
    BY MR. FULLER:
19
             Q. Again, Mr. Hartman, I apologize
    for that.
20
21
                   This U.S. Code requires that the
22
    registrant maintain effective controls against
23
    diversion, right?
24
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
```

- 1 A. That's what it says.
- Q. We have to try to prevent
- 3 diversion. Isn't that the idea that you get
- 4 from the code?
- 5 A. That's what it says.
- 6 O. That should be what everyone tries
- 7 to do, isn't it, or shouldn't it be?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 9 A. I agree with preventing diversion.
- 10 Q. Okay. So not only do we --
- 11 according to what you said, we have to report,
- 12 we also have to try to prevent diversion,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And if we are shipping orders that
- 16 we know are suspicious or are likely to be
- diverted, you would agree that we'd be breaking
- 18 this law?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
- for a legal conclusion.
- 21 A. I'm sorry, the question one more
- 22 time. If we're --
- 23 Q. Sure.
- If we're shipping orders that we

```
know are suspicious or are likely to be
 1
    diverted, then we're not complying with this
 2
    regulation?
 3
 4
                  MR. FULLER: Object to form. Same
 5
            objection.
 6
            O. Correct?
 7
            A. I agree with that.
 8
            Q. Okay. So that's the U.S. Code.
 9
    We've talked about that. That's the Controlled
    Substances Act. So let's move to 4916 -- or,
10
11
    no, 4915.
12
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, your
13
           mic fell off.
14
15
          (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 4 marked.)
16
17
    BY MR. FULLER:
18
            Q. So you understand the difference,
19
    Mr. Hartman, this is a C.F.R., Code of Federal
    Regulations, not enacted by Congress, but
20
21
    enacted by an agency given the authority to
22
    institute regulations. Okay?
23
            A. Yes.
24
            Q. Okay.
```

- 1 A. Thank you.
- Q. And this is 21 -- this is going to
- 3 be Plaintiff's 4. This is 21 C.F.R. 1301.74.
- 4 Do you see that there?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 O. And the title of the section is
- 7 "Other security controls for non-practitioners,
- 8 narcotic treatment programs, and compounders for
- 9 narcotic treatment programs."
- 10 And read section b for us, if you
- 11 would.
- 12 A. "The registrant shall design and
- operate a system to disclose to the registrant
- 14 suspicious orders of controlled substances. The
- 15 registrant shall inform the Field Division
- 16 Office of the Administration in his area of
- 17 suspicious orders when discovered by the
- 18 registrant. Suspicious orders include orders of
- 19 unusual size, orders deviating substantially
- from normal pattern, and orders of unusual
- 21 frequency."
- Q. Okay. And is this what you were
- referring to when you gave us Rules 1 and 2?
- Let's go to the overhead, please.

- 1 Is this what you were referring to
- when you gave us the CSA Rules 1 and 2 that we
- 3 wrote down earlier?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. So in addition to that,
- 6 based on 4916 and the U.S. Code, we also have a
- 7 third obligation; is that right?
- 8 MR. PYSER: Object to form. Legal
- 9 conclusion.
- 10 Q. And that's to maintain effective
- 11 controls against diversion of particular
- 12 controlled substances. We have to try to
- 13 prevent them.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 15 Q. Is that right? I mean, that's
- 16 what you testified to earlier.
- 17 A. I'm sorry. What are you saying
- 18 the third requirement is?
- 19 O. We have to maintain effective
- 20 controls against diversion. We have to try to
- 21 prevent diversion, according to the United
- 22 States Congress.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 24 Misstates evidence.

- 1 A. You're back -- you're saying the
- 2 third component from the U.S. --
- Q. From the code.
- 4 A. From the code?
- 5 Q. Yes, sir. We have our
- 6 regulation --
- 7 A. I follow what you're saying.
- 8 Q. So we have our regulation, right,
- 9 that deals with suspicious orders and reporting
- 10 suspicious orders; is that fair?
- 11 A. Yep.
- 12 Q. Okay. We also have our U.S. Code
- 13 that requires us to maintain effective controls
- 14 against diversion. We have to try to prevent
- 15 diversion.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 18 Misstates evidence.
- 19 Q. And I will represent to you that
- 20 the U.S. -- the CSA, the Controlled Substances
- 21 Act, was passed in 1970.
- Does that coincide with your
- 23 recollection?
- 24 A. Yes. That ...

- 1 Q. All right. And you mentioned
- 2 earlier that something had changed with the DEA,
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now, let's talk about it for a
- 6 second. Because we have these three
- 7 obligations. If we spot somebody that's getting
- 8 a suspicious order, that's an order that we
- 9 think may be diverted, right?
- 10 A. If we -- if we determine it's a
- 11 suspicious order versus an order of interest, a
- 12 suspicious order.
- 13 Q. I understand the industry likes to
- 14 make that distinction, and that's fine. But if
- it's determined to be a suspicious order, that
- 16 means we have a concern about it being diverted,
- 17 right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 19 Q. Well, let me ask, do you know what
- 20 a suspicious order is, Mr. Hartman?
- 21 A. Certainly. We put in our
- 22 practices in order to try to identify any
- 23 suspicious orders which, in our system, we
- 24 looked at thresholds that we had established for

- 1 our customers, and we used a expert team to
- 2 review those orders.
- 3 And then we looked at the specific
- 4 customers and any information that we had on
- 5 them, and then gathered more if we had a
- 6 threshold event to determine if we felt that was
- 7 a suspicious order.
- 8 O. So what is the definition of a
- 9 suspicious order, Mr. Hartman? Can you tell the
- 10 jury what that is.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 12 A. What we put in place was to try to
- 13 respond to and make sure that we were within the
- 14 confines of this specific code of suspicious
- orders, include orders of unusual size, orders
- 16 deviating from the normal pattern and orders of
- 17 unusual frequency. That was the principal we
- 18 used when we established -- when I got into
- 19 place the enhancements to our system.
- 20 O. And the idea behind that is that
- 21 we want to prevent suspicious orders or orders
- 22 that may be diverted, right?
- 23 A. That's correct. I agree with
- 24 that.

- 1 Q. So if we have an order that we
- think may be diverted, if we're going to
- 3 maintain or try to prevent diversion, we're not
- 4 going to ship it, are we?
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 O. So we think --
- 7 A. If it was a suspicious order, we
- 8 would not ship it.
- 9 Q. And as far as you know, that's
- 10 been the requirement of these code sections
- 11 we've looked at?
- 12 A. No. That's not the -- that's --
- when I came into role, that is the change I've
- 14 been talking about.
- 15 Q. That's the change. Well --
- 16 A. The "not ship."
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. That changed through the DEA as
- 19 they -- they evidently evolved in how they were
- 20 going to address anti-diversion. As I got into
- 21 role, that's how I understood it.
- Q. Fair enough. But let's talk about
- 23 that.
- A. Okay.

- 1 Q. You already testified that the
- 2 Controlled Substances Act, the Congressional
- 3 Record, requires us to try to prevent diversion,
- 4 right?
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 0. Is that correct?
- 7 A. That's what it says.
- Q. And that goes all the way back to
- 9 1970, doesn't it?
- 10 A. That's what you stated to me was
- 11 the passing of the law, I believe.
- 12 Q. I think the records will show
- 13 that. And it will show that that has not
- 14 substantially changed since that time.
- So if that's the case, why would
- 16 we ever ship a suspicious order?
- 17 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 18 Q. If we got -- if we have an
- 19 obligation to try to prevent diversion, we need
- 20 not to ship to those who we think may be
- 21 diverting. You would agree with that, don't
- 22 you?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. Legal
- conclusion.

- 1 Q. And you're free to disagree.
- 2 Listen, Mr. Hartman, you can testify that we
- 3 should ship suspicious orders.
- 4 A. I would never testify that way.
- 5 Q. Because you know that the law
- 6 doesn't require it and it's not the right thing
- 7 to do, correct?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 9 A. But the -- but the conditions with
- 10 which we had been operating for years, and the
- 11 reports that we had filed, changed in process
- when I came into role, and that's the piece that
- 13 I understood. And as I told you before, I
- 14 didn't spend any time on that. We then went to
- 15 enact the systems that met these new conditions.
- Q. But let's just talk about common
- 17 sense. Okay? Let's forget about roles. Let's
- 18 forget about things that people told you
- 19 changed, because you weren't there then and you
- 20 don't know, right?
- 21 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. You weren't in this department
- 23 during this time of this supposed change?
- A. I was not, and I didn't spend a

- 1 lot of time on the past practices.
- 2 Q. You testified earlier you didn't
- 3 look back. You were only looking forward,
- 4 right? Isn't that what you testified to?
- 5 A. I think what I said was, we spent
- 6 time talking about the past. I didn't spend a
- 7 lot of my time on it.
- 8 Q. Sure. Okay.
- 9 A. I spent all of my time on the
- 10 conditions that we were under with the immediate
- 11 suspension orders, how we could meet those
- 12 conditions that were upon us and have the system
- 13 that would allow the DEA to come in and say to
- 14 us, we got our licenses back and we could
- 15 operate it -- our normal supply chain. That's
- 16 what I did.
- 17 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 18 A. So when you say I didn't -- I
- 19 didn't look back, I looked back, but not to any
- 20 substantial degree. I focused on the future.
- Q. And you knew when you came in that
- these three requirements were set out by the
- 23 Controlled Substances Act and the relevant
- 24 regulations, right?

```
MR. PYSER: Object to form.
 1
 2
            Α.
                   To my -- sorry.
                   MR. PYSER: Go ahead and answer.
 3
 4
                   To my recollection, what I was
    focused on were those first two elements of the
 5
    Controlled Substances Act. I -- that's what I
 6
 7
    was focused on.
 8
            O. I understand that.
 9
                  The -- the --
                   But Cardinal also had to comply
10
            Q.
    with United States Code, the Controlled
11
12
    Substances Act.
13
            A.
                   I --
14
                   MR. PYSER: Counsel, please let
            him finish his answers. You cut him off
15
16
            again.
17
            Q. Right?
                   What I was focused --
18
            Α.
19
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
20
                   THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
21
                   MR. PYSER: Go ahead.
22
            Α.
                   What I was focused on was the two
23
    elements that I originally spoke to you about.
24
    That's what I spent my time on. I didn't spend
```

- 1 any time on this -- the United States Code
- 2 annotated. It was inherent in the job that you
- 3 weren't going to do diversion. But if you're
- 4 asking about was I, you know, educated in and
- 5 working through this code itself, no, I was not.
- 6 Q. So, listen, we -- let's back up
- 7 for a second. Okay? I mean, I think the record
- 8 is clear what you already testified to.
- 9 Cardinal had to comply with the Controlled
- 10 Substances Act, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 O. The Controlled Substances Act
- 13 requires that you try to prevent diversion.
- 14 You've already testified to that, right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. Legal
- 16 conclusion.
- 17 Q. Do you -- hold on. Let me --
- 18 strike that question.
- Do you not have an understanding
- 20 that the whole premise behind the Controlled
- 21 Substances Act is to prevent diversion?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. Yes or no?
- A. Well, I -- you know, for me it was

- 1 about the suspicious orders, how we identified
- them to the DEA requirements and how we reported
- 3 those to the DEA per their requirements under
- 4 the immediate suspensions that Cardinal had
- 5 received prior to me coming in the role, and
- 6 that's where I spent my time.
- 7 Q. So you didn't worry about this
- 8 trying to prevent diversion aspect of it?
- 9 A. That's incorrect.
- 10 Q. So you did worry about it?
- 11 A. Any system would worry about that.
- 12 Q. Okay. So you would agree, as you
- did just a moment ago, that you're not going to
- 14 ship suspicious orders because of the fear of it
- 15 being diverted, correct?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 17 A. We're not -- we wouldn't ship
- 18 suspicious orders, specifically trying to
- 19 address this call-out of unusual size, deviating
- 20 substantially from normal pattern, orders of
- 21 frequency, because diversion was not coming from
- 22 us. We were trying to stop -- if -- we were
- 23 trying to stop those orders, suspicious orders,
- 24 under these conditions.

- 1 Q. Okay. And those conditions had
- been in place since 1970s, as you've testified,
- 3 right?
- 4 A. That's what I understand.
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 O. And whether -- this whole DEA
- 7 change in position, let's set that aside.
- From a common sense perspective,
- 9 therefore, we're not going to ship any orders
- 10 that we identify as suspicious, correct?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 12 Vague.
- 13 A. Right.
- Q. Because if we have the fear of
- 15 them being diverted, we're not living up --
- 16 forget our legal obligation. We're not living
- 17 up to our moral obligation as a responsible
- 18 corporation, are we?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 20 A. I don't agree with your premise
- 21 here of what was being done.
- Q. I'm not saying it was being done.
- 23 I didn't say -- listen to my question,
- 24 Mr. Hartman. I didn't say it was being done.

- I said, if we do ship suspicious
- orders, we wouldn't be living up to that moral
- 3 obligation that we have to all those people we
- 4 serve out there?
- 5 A. We built out and expanded our
- 6 program based on the DEA criteria in order to
- 7 find those suspicious orders and then to not
- 8 ship them.
- 9 Q. That wasn't my question,
- 10 Mr. Hartman. My question is, if we were
- 11 shipping suspicious orders at any time, orders
- 12 that we identified that could be diverted, we're
- 13 not holding up our end of the moral spectrum as
- 14 a responsible corporate entity, are we?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 16 A. I don't -- I don't agree with your
- 17 premise.
- 18 Q. Okay. So you believe if we ship
- 19 suspicious orders, that's okay?
- A. I've already told you I don't.
- Q. So which is it? You can't have it
- 22 both ways.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. So let me try it differently, see

```
if we connect.
 1
 2
                   From the enactment of the
    requirement to identify suspicious orders, you
    would agree that we should not -- we should not
 5
     ship any orders that we deem suspicious,
    correct?
 6
 7
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
 8
            Vague.
 9
            A.
                  Yes.
10
            Q.
                   Okay.
11
                   MR. FULLER: We'll mark that as
12
            Plaintiff's 6.
13
14
           (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 6 marked.)
15
16
    BY MR. FULLER:
17
                  All right. Mr. Hartman, when you
             Q.
    were filling this regulatory role and when --
18
19
    the little look back you did, you're aware that
    there were budgetary battles, correct, with
20
21
    trying to secure sufficient resources for the
22
    regulatory department, right?
23
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
24
             Α.
                   Boy, there were -- there's always
```

- 1 budgetary battles.
- 2 Q. Particularly with regulatory,
- 3 right?
- 4 A. That -- I don't know that. I
- 5 wasn't in role. I was in a -- in corporate
- 6 functions. So I -- I didn't spend time there.
- 7 That could be true.
- 8 Q. So did you ever hear of budgetary
- 9 battles going on with regulatory and them not
- 10 getting what they believe the resources they
- 11 needed to do their job with anti-diversion?
- 12 A. In my time period, I not only got
- everything I wanted, I had the support of the
- 14 CEO on that.
- Q. What about outside your time
- 16 period? Are you aware of budgetary battles and
- 17 regulatory not getting what they needed to do
- 18 their job?
- 19 A. I was not aware of regulatory. I
- 20 was certainly aware of --
- Q. What were you aware of?
- 22 A. Well, I was on the corporate
- 23 functions. It's a corporate -- it's a
- 24 corporate -- budget battles happen all the time.

I want to know with QRA --1 0. 2 I was not knowledgeable of that. 3 Q. -- or supply chain integrity, if you were ever made aware of budget battles 5 occurring before, after, at any time? 6 Any time? Yes. After I left 7 Cardinal, Michael had communicated with me. 8 That's Mr. Moné, correct? Q. 9 Yes, Michael Moné. Had 10 communicated with me that they were at budget 11 time. I was not at Cardinal. And I responded 12 to him, basically to "Stay tough. Stick with 13 it." 14 Because it's a budgetary battle? Q. 15 Budgetary battle. Α. 16 Let's look at that. It's 3904. 0. 17 18 (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 7 marked.) 19 BY MR. FULLER: 20 21 Q. And who was the -- you said the 22 CEO even approved your budgets. Who were you 23 referring to? Or you had the support. 24 Α. When I went into role, the -- one

- 1 of the meetings I had prior to taking the role
- 2 was with Kerry Clark, and we talked about the
- 3 role, and I talked about the fact that I would
- 4 need his support before I accepted the role.
- 5 Q. Right.
- 6 A. I would need his support to get
- 7 whatever I needed to do whatever I needed to do.
- 8 O. Because from the information that
- 9 you already knew, you knew it was going to
- 10 require additional resources and additional
- 11 staff to be able to do the job the way you
- 12 wanted it to be done, correct?
- 13 A. For my time period, that's
- 14 correct.
- 0. Okay. So let's look at --
- 16 MR. FULLER: Is this Number 7?
- MS. QUEZON: Yes.
- 18 BY MR. FULLER:
- 19 Q. All right. So let's look at
- 20 Exhibit Number 7. It's 3904 in the upper right;
- 21 is that correct, Mr. Hartman?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And this is that e-mail
- 24 between you and Mr. Moné, isn't it?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 O. And it was sent back and forth
- 3 between your personal accounts. That's not your
- 4 Cardinal e-mail account, is it?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 O. And Mr. Moné, what's his e-mail
- 7 address there?
- 8 A. J-a-t-r-o --
- 9 Q. I think it's a G.
- 10 A. I'm sorry.
- 11 G-a-t-o-r-x-j-d1210@msn.com.
- 12 Q. Is he a Gator fan?
- 13 A. He is a big Gator fan.
- Q. Well, me and him may get along
- 15 because I went to the University of Florida.
- 16 A. I hope you do. He's a good guy.
- 17 Q. Good. It seems like you guys got
- along even outside of work; is that right?
- 19 A. Oh, yes.
- Q. And is he still with the company?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And what is his position
- 23 currently, if you know?
- 24 A. I don't know the title. I believe

- 1 he's in regulatory, the regulatory office.
- Q. Okay. And this is sent to you
- 3 shortly after you leave; isn't that true?
- A. May 26, 2010. I had been gone
- 5 about a quarter.
- 6 O. So -- because you left in
- 7 February --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- right?
- So March, April, and then May?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And so we lay the
- 13 groundwork here, the budgetary time frame runs
- 14 from July 1 to June 30, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. That's the fiscal year?
- 17 A. That's the fiscal year, yes. At
- 18 that time, it was. I suppose it still is.
- 19 Q. Sure.
- 20 And the budgetary process,
- therefore, takes part in probably the first and
- 22 second quarter of the year, right?
- 23 A. Yeah. Budgetary -- yeah, they go
- 24 all year long.

- 1 Q. I can imagine so. I can imagine
- 2 so.
- 3 All right. So let's take a look
- 4 at this. Mr. Hartman says, "Hey, Mark" -- or
- 5 excuse me. Mr. Moné says, "Hey Mark." And then
- 6 read the second sentence there.
- 7 A. "We lost in the budget defense big
- 8 time and Giacomin is mounting an attack on QRA
- 9 and we don't seem to have a strategy or at least
- 10 we are not being kept informed."
- 11 Q. Who is Giacomin? Tell the jury
- 12 who Giacomin is.
- 13 A. John, I believe at that time, was
- 14 the president of the pharma division at
- 15 Cardinal.
- Q. And I'll represent to you that
- documents are a little unclear, but it indicates
- 18 from 2008 to sometime in 2010 he was executive
- 19 vice president of operations.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And then in 2010, he became
- 22 president of U.S. pharmaceutical.
- Does that seem to coincide with
- 24 your recollection?

- 1 A. When in 2010 did he --
- Q. That's the part that's unclear.
- 3 I'm not sure.
- 4 A. Yeah, so I'm not sure what role
- 5 John was in here. But to your point, I do
- 6 recall he was executive vice president of
- 7 operations later on somewhere in 2010 time
- 8 frame.
- 9 Q. Right. So he's either executive
- 10 VP of ops or he was president of pharmaceutical,
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- Q. Fair enough.
- 14 And he apparently -- or at least
- 15 according to Mo- -- and did you actually speak
- 16 to Mr. Moné about this?
- 17 A. I don't recall any live
- 18 conversations. I think --
- 19 O. Just the e-mail?
- 20 A. Just the e-mail.
- Q. And he indicates that "We lost the
- 22 budget defense big time." Which indicates his
- 23 budget got cut, right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.

- 1 A. Yes. So --
- 2 Q. They cut his budget.
- 3 A. -- I think you have to put it in
- 4 context of "big time." What Michael was
- fighting for, as you'll see in a later e-mail,
- 6 was one position that they were fighting for,
- 7 which was an administrative position. And the
- 8 argument was, I believe at the time -- again, my
- 9 recollection is that the system was now doing
- 10 that work and they would use that head count,
- 11 that person, in another role someplace else.
- 12 Q. And he goes on to say, that
- "Giacomin is mounting an attack on QRA."
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. I mean, the guy in operations --
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. -- right?
- 18 And you had a little bit of a
- 19 concern about reporting to people in operations
- 20 at one time, too, didn't you?
- A. Well, I made sure, based on my
- 22 role, that I stayed independent from anybody
- 23 else to influence me.
- Q. Particularly operations, because

- 1 what are they going to want to do? Just what
- 2 they did here --
- 3 A. Well, they didn't --
- 4 0. -- is attack?
- 5 A. I think in the later e-mail you'll
- 6 find that didn't happen.
- 7 Q. We'll see. We'll see. Let's keep
- 8 going.
- 9 A. You have all my documents, right?
- 10 Q. I -- we have them all. Your
- 11 counsel has them all, right?
- 12 A. Yes. Everything I -- I gave him
- 13 everything that I have.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. So -- and later on he addresses
- 16 that he kept the head count. And that was the
- whole commentary there about this.
- 18 Q. Let's keep going.
- "Giacomin is mounting an attack on
- 20 QRA. We don't have a strategy or at least we
- 21 are not being kept informed. And it's because
- 22 we have no presence on the fourth."
- What's "the fourth"? Tell the
- 24 jury what "the fourth" is.

- 1 A. The fourth -- the fourth floor was
- where the leadership team for pharma was
- 3 officed. I was there. In my tenure, I moved us
- 4 off of the fourth to the third floor where the
- 5 regulatory group had been. So they remained --
- 6 evidently they remained on the third floor. So
- 7 when he says "on the fourth floor," he's talking
- 8 about physical proximity, I believe.
- 9 Q. Right. To decision-makers?
- 10 A. I suppose.
- 11 Q. And it's the lack of visibility,
- 12 just what you mentioned, down on the third --
- 13 listen. Maybe you've forgotten.
- A. You're in the -- you're in the
- 15 budget battle -- you know, got to have what you
- 16 need, and we had gotten everything we needed.
- 17 And he was fighting for one head count. To him,
- 18 that was big. We had talked about it before I
- 19 left. You know, keep that position.
- 20 O. And this is Moné. So this is the
- 21 QRA side, right, quality regulatory affairs?
- 22 A. Well, and he was specifically the
- 23 anti-diversion side.
- 24 O. The anti-diversion?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And how many people did he
- 3 actually have?
- 4 A. At that time, I don't know, but --
- 5 I'd have to see his org chart. I mean, when we
- 6 built it out, we had six, seven, eight in his
- 7 group, between the pharmacists --
- Q. Right.
- 9 A. -- the investigators and the
- 10 administrative staff that supported that whole
- 11 group on his side.
- 12 O. Sure.
- 13 A. And then there was Steve Reardon's
- 14 side.
- Q. Which is -- what do you call that
- 16 side?
- 17 A. He was -- he was regulatory
- 18 affairs.
- 19 Q. Regulatory affairs.
- So on Moné's side, if you take
- 21 away one of seven, that's a pretty big cut.
- 22 Greater than 10 percent at least, right?
- A. Well, just for perspective, what
- 24 we had talked about is that our systems had

- 1 begun to do lots of the administrative work as
- 2 opposed to human beings. So in my view, as you
- 3 can -- I think you can see through my e-mail,
- 4 you know, it's a budget battle. You could lose,
- 5 but stay after it. Don't lose it. Which he
- 6 didn't.
- 7 Q. Well, you're telling him, "Keep up
- 8 the good fight."
- 9 A. Which he didn't. And he retained
- 10 his positions, and he retained what he needed.
- 11 Q. So we'll talk about that. But you
- 12 also are aware that prior to your taking this
- 13 position, there are also budget battles and
- 14 deficiencies in what the regulatory department
- 15 had as well, aren't you?
- 16 A. When you said -- before my time, I
- was not intimately involved with regulatory
- 18 affairs, so I -- I'm not aware of the budget
- 19 battles, if you will, that they had. I wasn't
- 20 aware. I wasn't with them. I didn't
- 21 participate in their parts of the meetings where
- 22 we'd go through the budget, you know, the budget
- 23 needs, the budget requirements, the things we
- were going to do for the next year.

- 1 Q. Let me ask, when you came into the
- department, clearly needed to make changes,
- 3 didn't you?
- 4 A. Again, when I was just coming into
- 5 the department, I didn't understand what was
- 6 happening. I didn't know much about it.
- 7 Q. But didn't you already know that
- 9 you needed more resources?
- 9 A. But I -- well, when I talked to
- 10 Kerry, that's exactly what any good executive
- 11 would do. The first thing they do is --
- Q. Absolutely.
- 13 A. -- ask for the money, ask for the
- 14 support, and that's what I got during my time
- 15 frame.
- Q. And you weren't going to do it to
- 17 be wasteful, were you?
- 18 A. You don't do that.
- 19 Q. Fair enough.
- 20 Keep reading on -- "after the lack
- of visibility." What does Mr. Moné says -- say?
- 22 A. "We are about to find out that
- 23 some of our recent unpopular decisions were
- 24 correct, though the yelling continues. Issues

- 1 at borschow have created another firestorm on
- 2 the price diversion side."
- Q. It says "our unpopular decisions
- 4 were correct." Do you know what he's referring
- 5 to?
- 6 A. I have -- I have no knowledge what
- 7 he's referring to. I can surmise that. In our
- 8 role as an anti-diversion, we made plenty of
- 9 calls, and we certainly discussed it. And the
- 10 calls that we made were debated, at the end of
- 11 the day. What Michael said we were going to do,
- 12 and I supported, or if I was going to be the
- 13 decision-maker on it, which a few of them I
- 14 was -- I don't recall which ones -- we called
- 15 the shot.
- Q. And it was the unpopular call
- 17 related to anti-diversion in not shipping
- 18 controlled substances, right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 20 Speculation.
- Q. Those were the tough calls?
- A. Well, the tough calls are anytime
- 23 you have a -- certainly anytime you have a
- 24 customer and they hit a threshold and then

- 1 you're making -- doing the review around, is
- 2 that a suspicious order, what other information
- 3 is there? And do -- and then does it -- do we
- 4 need to deem it a suspicious order? They're all
- 5 tough calls.
- 6 O. Absolutely. And that was y'all's
- 7 obligation -- I say y'all, regulatory's
- 8 obligation was to make those tough decisions,
- 9 those unpopular-by-everybody-else decisions,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. And we did.
- 12 Q. And that's why you wanted to work
- out from underneath operations or anybody else
- 14 who you mentioned may have influence upon you,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Because you didn't want that to
- 18 happen because you saw and you know that it
- 19 wouldn't be the best way to run the regulatory
- 20 department; isn't that true?
- 21 A. Being separate, have a completely
- independent voice around what actions we took
- was how I saw to get the job done, and during my
- 24 time frame, that's what we did.

- 1 Q. And when you came into the
- 2 department and made those changes, that's not
- 3 the way it was being done. It was oversaw by
- 4 those who didn't like unpopular decisions,
- 5 correct?
- 6 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 7 A. You know, I don't know where the
- 8 reporting structure was. Again, I was heads
- 9 down in a corporate function and some pretty big
- 10 things we had going on. I was not operating in
- 11 the division at that time. So I -- you know,
- 12 Steve Reardon will certainly be able to respond
- to those questions as to where we were, what we
- 14 were doing.
- 15 Q. Now, let's go up to your response.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. You say, "Wow, an accident" --
- 18 now, we didn't read the part where Mr. Moné got
- 19 into a car accident, right?
- 20 A. Don't want to read that?
- 21 Q. No, no.
- 22 A. That's --
- O. That's important?
- A. Well, he takes care of people

- 1 there.
- 2 Q. I understand. I understand.
- And then you mentioned the budget
- 4 battle that we've already talked about, right?
- 5 That's what you called it?
- A. Oh, yeah. Well, there isn't --
- 7 there isn't a -- if you want to define it any
- 8 different way, any discussion you have around
- 9 budgets are always battles around what you need
- 10 and then what you want. And what you try to
- 11 decipher as a corporation is, the needs, what
- 12 are the needs to help our business and to move
- it forward, support our customers?
- 14 The wants oftentimes get layered
- in there, and they're difficult to discern. You
- 16 know, big companies, big budgets. And so I
- 17 always refer to it as the budget battles.
- 18 Q. And it clearly was to Mr. Moné.
- 19 Read what you go on to say.
- 20 A. "You know there is a likelihood
- 21 you will not get the head count backfill."
- Q. Keep going.
- A. "I would not cave on that, but
- 24 privately prepare for the worst."

- 1 Q. Finish out.
- 2 A. "I'll certainly see if I can get
- 3 those guys a note to support you and thusly
- 4 Shirlene."
- 5 Q. And Shirlene is Ms. Justus, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And she actually came to
- 8 that division or that department with you when
- 9 you took on that role?
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. And she had been working with you
- 12 previously in your other roles at Cardinal?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And is she still there, as far as
- 15 you know?
- A. As far as I know.
- 17 Q. Okay. And it sounds like -- it
- 18 next goes on to say, "It sounds like the
- 19 situation is unfolding a bit like we projected."
- 20 Right?
- 21 A. That's what I said.
- Q. Continue reading for us.
- 23 A. "It's a shame if the department is
- 24 relegated to less-than-needed authority or

- 1 investment. It will haunt the organization at
- 2 some point."
- 3 Q. Now, let me stop you there. Is
- 4 this the haunting you're referring to?
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 A. The --
- 7 Q. The haunting you're referring to.
- 8 These bad decisions related to regulatory and
- 9 these lawsuits that have now been brought upon
- 10 the company for creating this epidemic. Is that
- 11 the haunting you're referring to?
- 12 A. No, that's not what I'm referring
- 13 to.
- Q. What haunting are you referring
- 15 to?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. And
- objection on the last one too. Same
- objection.
- 19 A. I'm talking about the fact that
- 20 the haunting is taking away from the
- 21 organization a head count that we felt we needed
- 22 badly. Didn't want. We felt we needed. Other
- people in the organization could think it's a
- 24 want or a need that we -- excuse me. A want

- 1 that we were just putting forward.
- We're saying here the haunting
- 3 part of this is to lose that head count. So --
- 4 and if any -- and, of course, it should say -- I
- 5 wrote that, authority or investment. The whole
- 6 point is, while I was there, I had everything
- 7 that I wanted, and the investment was behind me.
- 8 And that was -- what I didn't want Michael to
- 9 lose was that position of investment that he
- 10 felt he needed.
- 11 Q. From others in the organization,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. Sure, yes.
- 14 O. And now he feels like Giacomin is
- 15 attacking him, and the haunting that's coming,
- 16 you're right, is the lack of support, the lack
- 17 of investment. And the result is what we see
- 18 here today, an opioid epidemic going on in our
- 19 country; isn't that true?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 21 A. That was not my inference here.
- 22 My inference was around the budget and what
- 23 Michael needed in order to do the job for
- 24 Cardinal Health. And if you know from further

```
e-mails, he retained his position, and budget
 1
 2
    was good.
 3
            0.
                  And you know we're now facing an
    opioid epidemic in this country, don't you?
 5
                  MR. PYSER: Object to form.
 6
                  I sure do.
            A.
 7
            Q. And we have been for a long time,
 8
    haven't we?
9
                  MR. PYSER: Object to form.
                  I'd agree with "long time."
10
            Α.
11
                  MR. FULLER: Let's take another
12
            break.
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
13
14
            11:47.
15
                   (Recess taken.)
16
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
17
            12:00 p.m. Back on the record.
18
    BY MR. FULLER:
19
                  All right, Mr. Hartman. We were
            0.
    talking about the budget battles that were
20
21
    occurring. How many people were in regulatory
```

when you came into that position in December of

Mr. Reardon was there, correct?

Golkow Litigation Services

22

23

24

2007?

- 1 A. Oh, yeah.
- I don't recall. I'm guessing, but
- 3 I'm going to assume in the seven, eight, nine,
- 4 ten range. I'm not sure.
- 5 Q. And now -- and Mr. Moné's side,
- 6 supply chain integrity, was just being created,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And that hadn't been fully
- 10 staffed yet, correct?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. No, I'm right, it hadn't been
- 13 fully staffed, or no, I'm wrong and it had been
- 14 fully staffed?
- A. Ask me the question, and I'll give
- 16 you that answer.
- 17 Q. Fair enough.
- Mr. Hartman, when you came into
- 19 the regulatory department, Mr. Moné had already
- 20 been hired, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And his section was called what
- 23 again?
- 24 A. Anti-diversion.

```
O. Anti-diversion.
 1
 2
                   The staffing for his department
    had not been completed as of that point in time,
 4
    correct?
 5
             Α.
                   Correct.
 6
                   Okay. So let's go -- and you just
    testified you're not sure about the staffing
 7
8
    when you came in.
 9
                   Did you look at anything to
     indicate what the staff had been in the past for
10
11
     anti-diversion, the regulatory departments?
12
             Α.
                  Like all things, I'm sure we
     looked back. We looked at it. And we just put
13
14
    stakes in the ground and moved forward and what
15
    do we need and how do we get those resources.
16
    So ...
17
            Q. Let's go to 4765.
18
19
           (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 8 marked.)
20
21
    BY MR. FULLER:
22
                  Mr. Hartman, as you can see, this
     is an Operation 1 Cardinal Health quality
23
24
    management meeting document, correct?
```

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And it's dated January 13, 14 of
- 3 2005; is that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. This would have been when
- 6 you were with the company, just not in
- 7 regulatory; is that fair?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So if you turn all the way back to
- 10 page 64. We want to take a quick look at just a
- 11 couple things related to the regulatory
- 12 department at the time.
- During that time it was still
- 14 called QRA or quality regulatory affairs; is
- 15 that correct?
- 16 A. I agree with that. I -- probably.
- 17 Q. Okay. It says here the QRA model.
- Do you see that?
- 19 A. Where -- are you on the top side
- 20 or --
- Q. Yes, sir. If you look on the
- 22 screen, because those printouts are hard to
- 23 read.
- 24 A. Yeah.

- 1 Q. The screen in front of you may
- 2 help.
- A. Oh. Gotcha.
- 4 Q. She blows it up so our eyesights
- 5 can work.
- So, Mr. Hartman, this indicates,
- 7 on page 64 here, that it's internal client
- 8 perspective of QRA; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And it says, "The QRA
- 11 model." Read the first bullet point to us.
- 12 A. "Quality is not a mindset at
- 13 Cardinal Health. We are not proactive. This is
- 14 not a high enough priority today."
- 15 Q. Then it goes on to say, "When
- 16 financials are tight, quality suffers, "doesn't
- 17 it?
- 18 A. It says that.
- 19 Q. Now, during this time, you weren't
- 20 in this department; is that fair?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, do you know from your
- 23 experience in the department that having a
- 24 regulatory mindset, having a compliance mindset,

- 1 is very important to the operations of the
- business, isn't it?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Having sufficient resources so
- 5 that people can do their jobs is also
- 6 detrimental for the compliance department, isn't
- 7 it?
- A. It's important to have the right
- 9 resources to do the regulatory job.
- 10 Q. And not just the right resources
- 11 and number of people, but also the right
- 12 support, like you said? You specifically went
- 13 to Clark and got his assurance that he would
- 14 back you on what you needed to be able to do the
- job the way you saw fit, didn't you?
- 16 A. I did that.
- 17 Q. If we go on, it says "Need to
- 18 understand" -- or excuse me. "Corporate quality
- 19 organization not sure what their role should
- 20 be."
- 21 And our screen went blank. There
- 22 we go. Let's try that again.
- The next line, Mr. Hartman, reads,
- 24 "Corporate quality organization not sure what

- 1 the role should be."
- 2 Corporate quality should have an
- 3 absolute laser beam on what their role should be
- 4 in the organization, shouldn't they? They've
- 5 got to know what their focus is to be able to do
- 6 their jobs?
- 7 A. In 2005, I -- again, we were -- we
- 8 were organizing ourselves differently. I don't
- 9 know what this document is, so I'd need to take
- 10 some time on it so that I could -- I won't be
- 11 able to answer your question because I -- that
- 12 might be the new organization of a department.
- Q. And that's fair. But you would
- 14 agree, would you not, that corporate quality
- 15 should know what their role is?
- 16 A. Corporate quality should know what
- 17 their role is given a new department has time to
- 18 establish what they're about and the resources
- 19 that they have and how it was reorganized
- 20 potentially.
- Q. Absolutely.
- Then it says, "Need to understand
- 23 roles and what will be at Business or Segment
- 24 level."

- 1 Do you see that? Do you see that
- 2 there? Did I read it correctly?
- 3 A. Yeah, yeah, I see it.
- Q. Okay. And then, "Corporate
- 5 Centers of Excellence would be of value."
- 6 You would agree with that,
- 7 wouldn't you?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And then, "Would like to see
- 10 stronger regulatory affairs" --
- 11 A. Let me come back on that.
- 12 Corporate Centers of Excellence. Okay. If I'm
- 13 going to respond to this, I need to look at this
- 14 document. I think I'm aware of the time period
- 15 we're talking about. And that time period would
- 16 be the genesis of responses that I can give you
- 17 as opposed to looking at one segment on here.
- 18 Can I do that?
- 19 Q. Well, here's the thing. Your
- 20 counsel wants to finish by 12:30 to take a lunch
- 21 break, and that's fine, but I want to finish
- 22 with this document. And I have a limited amount
- 23 of time.
- 24 A. Yes.

And I'm not going to waste my 1 Ο. seven hours that we have letting you go through 2 a big old document that somebody hadn't bothered to show you before. 4 5 A. I -- can I just take a minute? 6 Absolutely. 0. 7 MR. PYSER: You can take as much 8 time as you want. If he wants to show 9 you a document --10 MR. FULLER: And we'll go off the 11 record and you'll review it, and then 12 we'll come back on the record. 13 MR. PYSER: Wait till there's a 14 question. 15 A. Yeah. 16 Q. You were the one that said you wanted to take a look at it, Mr. Hartman. Go 17 18 ahead. 19 I'm vaguely in the time frame. I Α. wasn't in quality. I'll take your questions. 20 21 Okay. So the next section is 22 "People." 23 Do you see that there?

Α.

Yes.

24

- 1 Q. And read the first bullet point.
- 2 A. "Under-resourced today."
- 3 Q. Not enough people, not enough
- 4 resources. That's what it's saying, correct?
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 A. Yeah. This is a document in
- 7 response to the reorganization at Cardinal, and
- 8 I oversaw and was a part of watching all of
- 9 these departments. It's a big, big
- 10 transformation. Big. Lots of involvement.
- 11 Huge changes. I'll just tell you that I didn't
- 12 have a function that didn't come in with
- anything different than this kind of lineup of
- 14 under-resourced or underfunded or needs money.
- 0. Okay. We'll -- and we'll deal
- 16 with that.
- 17 A. And everybody had -- and that gets
- 18 back to the budget battle comment where I talked
- 19 to you about wants -- or needs versus wants.
- 20 And what we found in all of this, as we moved
- 21 forward to reorganize and make ourselves more
- 22 efficient, there were a tremendous number of
- wants. What we had to get at were the needs,
- 24 and I don't know how this resulted.

- Q. We'll see. And here's the thing,
- 2 Mr. Hartman, because Cardinal's entrusted with
- 3 dealing with what has been labeled and
- 4 legislatively enacted as dangerous drugs.
- 5 You're aware of that, right?
- 6 Control IIs are --
- 7 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 8 Q. -- by definition dangerous drugs.
- 9 You're aware of that, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. This is not a place to skimp. You
- 12 would agree with that? Regulatory needs to be
- beefed up so they can do the job they need to do
- in compliance with the regulations we talked
- 15 about earlier.
- We can both agree on that as well,
- 17 correct?
- 18 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 19 A. Well, the only -- the only thing I
- 20 agree with is this is a document that came out
- in a major transformation from one of the
- functions about, we need more money, we need
- 23 more resources. And the way to do that is to
- 24 highlight things like you're seeing right here,

- 1 and I saw that in every single department that
- 2 came forward.
- Q. Fair enough.
- 4 A. And it doesn't mean that they were
- 5 underfunded or they were under-resourced. That
- 6 does not say that here, when you get to the
- 7 corporate level of looking at the functions.
- 8 Q. Hold on. So you're the doc --
- 9 saying this document doesn't say they're not
- 10 under-resourced?
- 11 A. No. I'm saying that what's said
- 12 here is not necessarily a corporate position or
- where we were at on quality as to how Cardinal
- 14 saw it. This is what the department is saying.
- Q. Exactly, Exactly, Mr. Hartman.
- 16 It is the QRA department saying we're
- 17 under-resourced.
- 18 A. But it doesn't say it's -- it
- doesn't mean it's necessarily right or that they
- 20 are under-resourced as we develop the
- 21 departments in this new organization.
- 22 O. Sure. It doesn't mean it's true.
- 23 It's just what they're saying?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Fair enough.
- The next bullet point says,
- 3 "People we have are good. Don't have enough
- 4 bench strength."
- 5 And you would agree again, that in
- 6 regulatory you have to have the depth. You have
- 7 to have the good people up and down the ladder,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. You have to have good people.
- 10 Q. It says then, "Need to upgrade and
- 11 deepen talent."
- 12 You don't disagree with that
- 13 either, do you?
- 14 A. There isn't a budget discussion, a
- department I've run, a place I've been, a thing
- 16 I've actually tried to execute and get done
- where "need to upgrade" and "deepen talent"
- 18 doesn't exist. I agree with it.
- 19 Q. And then, "Not enough people"
- 20 again, right? That's what it says?
- 21 A. It says that, yes.
- Q. That's what the QRA department is
- 23 saying during this time frame?
- A. That's what they said.

- 1 Q. And then go to processes.
- 2 "The process" -- and you're aware
- of this. This is the regulatory department,
- 4 bullet point 1: "Keeps us out of trouble but
- 5 not very proactive or innovative."
- You would agree, would you not,
- 7 that you need to be proactive in regulatory?
- A. Prior to my time, the "keep us out
- 9 of trouble," I agree with. The "not very
- 10 proactive or interactive, " I don't know to agree
- 11 with you in the matter that we're talking about.
- 12 Cardinal was a big company. This is referring
- 13 to broad specter regulatory groups.
- Q. Let's talk about it.
- 15 Are you aware of the New York AG
- 16 action for selling and diverting related to
- 17 price fixing?
- 18 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 19 A. I'm aware of it -- or I'm sorry.
- MR. PYSER: Misstates evidence.
- Q. Go ahead.
- 22 A. Ask me again.
- Q. Are you aware of the New York AG
- 24 action related to diversion and price diversion?

- 1 MR. PYSER: Same objection.
- 2 A. I'm aware of it.
- Q. Okay. And Cardinal then -- after
- 4 that action happened, then took steps to put
- 5 policies and procedures into place to react to
- 6 it, correct?
- 7 A. I -- again, it's before my time.
- 8 Q. So you don't know?
- 9 A. I don't know in that case what was
- 10 done.
- 11 Q. Fair enough.
- 12 In the 2000- --
- 13 A. But understand that the New York
- 14 Attorney General's action of price diversion is
- 15 completely separate and different from the
- 16 matter we're talking about in anti-diversion.
- 17 Completely separate. The two do not intermix.
- There is nothing there that puts
- 19 the two of those two things together, other
- than, while I was in role, Michael Moné did have
- 21 that action put under him for some period of
- 22 time. I didn't work on it. I didn't spend any
- time on it. And I think we were downstream on
- 24 it, if you will.

- 1 Q. So your understanding is that the
- 2 New York AG action didn't involve diversion?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 4 Misstates testimony.
- 5 A. Price diversion.
- 6 Q. Right.
- 7 And also divert -- the New York AG
- 8 agreement indicates that they are selling to
- 9 individuals that they know are diverting
- 10 controlled substances. They're selling to
- 11 closed-door pharmacies in Arizona that are
- 12 turning around and shipping to Kentucky, right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 14 A. I don't know. I was -- I wasn't
- 15 involved in the matter.
- Q. And then in 2007, you get pulled
- 17 into this new position?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. In reaction to what?
- 20 A. The immediate suspension orders.
- Q. The immediate suspension orders.
- The lack of being proactive,
- 23 because there was meetings going on with the DEA
- 24 all the way back in 2005 warning them about

- 1 Internet pharmacies, which was the main issue in
- the immediate suspension orders in 2007, wasn't
- 3 it?
- 4 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 5 Q. Do you know?
- 6 A. That's a long statement you just
- 7 made. What -- I need to hear your question so I
- 8 can answer you.
- 9 O. Sure.
- 10 You said that you didn't agree
- 11 that regulatory wasn't proactive. Proactive
- would entail taking steps before being forced
- 13 to, right? Reacting, acting in advance of,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. If you're asking me if we were not
- 16 reactive, this was prior to my time, and what
- 17 I've stated to you is that this is a budget
- 18 discussion, and as you look at it, this might be
- 19 the appropriate -- this might be a -- Gary
- 20 Dolch's prep meeting. I have no idea what was
- 21 originally -- which was finally presented, if
- 22 what I'm looking at here is a document.
- So I can't answer you on it. I
- 24 don't have knowledge of where they were or where

- 1 they weren't.
- Q. Okay. So what we can agree to is
- 3 that QRA indicates on this slide that it keeps
- 4 us, Cardinal, out of trouble, but is not very
- 5 proactive or innovative. That's what it says,
- 6 isn't it?
- 7 A. That's what it says.
- 8 Q. Okay. The next point -- let's go
- 9 down to -- yeah, the next one, "Site level
- 10 measurements and incentives can hinder
- 11 investment and quality."
- 12 That's also a concern that -- at
- 13 least they were having at this point in time,
- 14 right?
- 15 A. That's what they said.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now, you made a good point,
- 17 Mr. Hartman. You said, look, this may have just
- been at this one time, this big reorganization.
- Were you ever shown anything to
- indicate this same problem between 2005 and the
- 21 time you came into the position? Did anybody
- 22 ever share anything with you that would indicate
- this is a systemic problem, a problem with the
- 24 entire system?

```
A. In my roles, I don't recall
 1
    conversation -- a conversation around -- or
    conversations around regulatory in my time
    period.
 5
            Q. So let's go to 36- -- or excuse
    me. 3868.
 6
 7
            A. Oh, another document?
8
            Q. Yes, sir.
9
10
          (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 9 marked.)
11
12
                  MR. FULLER: What number am I up
13
            to?
14
                  MS. SHIVERS: This is 9.
15
                  MR. FULLER: This is going to be
16
            Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9.
17
                  MR. PYSER: Counsel, do you have
18
            another copy of Exhibit 9?
19
                  MR. FULLER: I'm sure we do.
20
                  MS. SHIVERS: No.
21
                  MR. FULLER: That's the only one
22
            we have, I think. See if we have
23
            another one. We'll check.
24
                  MR. PYSER: Well, as you were
```

```
pointing out earlier, the depo protocol
 1
             requires certain things. One of them is
 2
             that you have a copy for counsel.
 3
 4
                   MR. FULLER: Sure. Why don't we
             go ahead and take our lunch break now,
 5
 6
             and we'll go make copies.
 7
                   MR. PYSER: That's fine.
 8
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
 9
             12:18. Going off the record.
10
11
            Thereupon, at 12:18 p.m. a lunch
12
             recess was taken until 1:23 p.m.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
Thursday Afternoon Session
 1
                                 November 15, 2018
 2
                                 1:23 p.m.
 3
 4
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
             1:23. Back on the record.
 5
 6
               CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONT'D.)
 7
    BY MR. FULLER:
                   All right, Mr. Hartman. Before we
 8
             0.
 9
    took the lunch break, we were talking a little
    bit about the staffing issues and the regulatory
10
    department. I think, just so it's clear, we
11
12
     looked previously at the 2005 time frame; is
13
    that correct?
14
             Α.
                   Yes.
15
                   Okay. I'll provide you with 3868.
16
     I'll provide it to your counsel, and he can pass
17
     it out.
18
                   Had you seen this document before
19
    today?
20
                   I don't recall seeing it, and --
             Α.
21
                   So you --
             0.
22
                   I don't recall seeing this
             Α.
23
    document. Yeah, this is prior to my time, I
24
     think.
```

```
Ο.
                   Fair enough.
 1
 2
                   So you have no recollection and no
 3
    one showed you this document before today,
 4
    correct?
 5
             A.
                   No.
 6
             0.
                   Fair enough.
                   So this is --
 7
 8
                   MR. FULLER: What number is it?
 9
            Did we give it a number yet?
10
                   MS. SHIVERS: It's 9.
11
    BY MR. FULLER:
12
             O. Okay. This is Plaintiff's 3868,
    but, Mr. Hartman, for the purposes of the
13
14
    deposition, it's going to be Exhibit 9. Now, at
    the top of this document, it indicates that it's
15
16
    the Drug Distribution Compliance Budget Review,
17
    Fiscal Year 2007.
18
                   Do you see that there?
19
             Α.
                   Yes.
20
                   And so this is a fiscal year 2007,
             0.
21
    which is going to start in July of 2006,
22
    correct?
23
             Α.
                   I think we can assume that, right.
24
                   Okay. So this may be sometime,
             Q.
```

- 1 like we talked about earlier, the budgetary
- 2 process in the first part of 2006 before the
- 3 budget becomes active in July?
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. Okay. And this talks about it's
- 6 the Department Budget Highlights/Assumptions -
- 7 Bullet Point, budgetary variance from forecast,
- 8 new hires, organizational chart, expenses, '07
- 9 budget trend, and the actual/forecast trend from
- 10 2006; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. So, for example, this would
- 13 be part of the process you would have gone
- 14 through after you arrived in December of 2007.
- 15 You would have went through that process
- sometime in the first part of 2008; is that
- 17 fair?
- 18 A. Yes, I would have --
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. -- in some regard.
- Q. So let's turn to the next page.
- 22 You see the budgetary -- or excuse me --
- 23 "Compliance Budget Review, Fiscal Budget
- 24 Highlights and Assumptions."

- 1 Do you see that there?
- 2 A. I see it, yes.
- Q. And it has "Director,
- 4 Quality & Regulatory Affairs."
- 5 Can you read that first sentence
- 6 in that section for us aloud, please.
- 7 A. "Current department staff work --
- 8 current department staff workloads are at full
- 9 capacity."
- 10 Q. Full capacity. That would lead
- one to believe that the staff that it is there
- is being used to the fullest extent possible,
- 13 correct?
- Is that what that generally means?
- 15 A. Full capacity means you're working
- 16 just like we always work in every job we've got.
- 17 Q. Fair enough.
- 18 Read the next sentence for us.
- 19 A. "Effective management" -- okay.
- 20 "Effective management of current projects and
- 21 initiatives is difficult."
- Q. Difficult.
- 23 And then let's go on. So, so far
- 24 compliance department has told us that their

- 1 full capacity and effective management for
- 2 current projects is -- and initiatives is
- 3 difficult. But then read the next sentence.
- 4 A. "Resources to take on new
- 5 initiatives and the ability to improve and
- 6 enhance existing programs are lacking."
- 7 Q. Lacking. Lacking resources.
- Now, let's back up for a second,
- 9 because we saw similar concerns voiced in 2005,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. We saw that.
- 12 Q. By the regulatory department?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And there may have been some
- 15 reorganization that you pointed on going on back
- 16 then.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Now we're 2006 looking for the
- 19 2007 budget, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And we're seeing the same kind of
- lack of resource concerns, are we not, being
- voiced by the compliance department?
- 24 A. I wasn't there. I don't --

- 1 Q. That's what it says, isn't it?
- 2 A. Again, I can't -- I can't tie
- 3 those two documents together as to what the
- 4 issues are and what they're doing.
- 5 Q. I'm not asking you to tie them
- 6 together. I'm just asking you what compliance
- 7 department is telling the budgetary people is
- 8 that they need more resources. The resources
- 9 they have for existing programs is lacking;
- isn't that what they're saying?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
- 12 for speculation.
- 13 A. The only way I can respond to you
- 14 is that in every budget meeting and budget
- 15 review, every department made the same kind of
- 16 comments looking for not only the needs but
- 17 their wants.
- Q. And at this point in time, my
- 19 question is, Mr. Hartman, isn't the compliance
- department saying they're lacking resources to
- 21 do the existing programs?
- 22 A. In this document, that's what this
- 23 says.
- Q. And then they say specifically, "A

```
resource is needed to manage EH&S."
 1
 2
                  Do you know what that is?
 3
            Α.
                  Environmental health and safety.
                  The program build-out.
 4
            Q.
                  Did I get that right?
 5
            Α.
 6
                  I believe so.
            0.
 7
                  And let's continue to all the
    areas that they need resources in.
 8
 9
                   "Standard operating procedure
10
    development, "right?
11
            Α.
                  Yes.
12
            O. "Maintenance and document
    control, " correct?
13
14
            A.
                  Yes.
15
                   "NABP, wholesaler accreditation
            0.
16
    process, " right?
17
            Α.
                  Yes.
                   "New complex state licensing
18
            Q.
    requirements and to assist in ongoing
19
    initiatives, such as pedigree, " correct?
20
21
            A. That's what it says.
22
            Q.
                  And specifically related to us,
    "supply chain integrity," right?
23
24
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
```

Α. 1 I --2 0. Is that what it says? I see that, but I --3 Α. "Web-based regulatory training and 4 Q. 5 day-to-day management of field activities." 6 That's what they're listing that 7 they need assistance with as far as additional 8 resources, at least according to this document; 9 is that correct? 10 MR. PYSER: Object to form. 11 please let the witness finish his 12 answer. 13 The only comment I wanted to give Α. you, when it says "pedigree" and then "supply 14 15 chain integrity," at that time those were tied 16 together because that was another fundamental concern, was pharmaceuticals moving over road. 17 18 And so when we talked about, if I recall correctly, supply chain integrity, that's 19 20 what that was being referred to, was pedigree, 21 and then how those products would be tracked and 22 traced on the road. It wasn't a requirement at 23 that time, but it appeared to be coming. 24 Q. Fair enough.

- 1 A. Just to clarify. I think that's
- 2 what that meant there.
- Q. And, therefore, regulatory is
- 4 asking for additional resources to help manage
- 5 these initiatives and projects, correct?
- A. I see the comment. Is there
- 7 another page that's -- of their ask? Because in
- 8 the budget they then would ask, I presume; the
- 9 numbers, the head count.
- 10 Q. Right. But this says specifically
- 11 a resource is needed, and they list all those
- 12 topic areas, correct?
- 13 A. I see what you're saying. Yeah,
- 14 they're saying the director of quality and
- 15 regulatory affairs as the new head count.
- 16 Agreed.
- 17 Q. Okay. So let's go to page 5.
- This is, "Organizational Chart,
- 19 Quality & Regulatory Affairs." Remember I asked
- you about the makeup of the department when you
- 21 came in, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You weren't sure how many people
- 24 were there. Now, here we can see, Mark Parrish

- 1 is the president and CEO of PDPS, right?
- 2 A. Yeah, that's right.
- Q. What is "PDPS," for the jury?
- 4 A. Pharmaceutical -- I don't remember
- 5 the acronym.
- 6 O. Distribution and Prescription
- 7 Supply or something like that?
- 8 A. You know, I think Mark -- it
- 9 actually meant something a little different,
- 10 because he actually was in charge of
- 11 pharmaceutical and medical, I think.
- 12 Q. Fair enough.
- 13 A. The two divisions, so yes. I
- 14 don't know what it means. I can't remember the
- 15 acronym.
- 16 O. So he's the head honcho of
- 17 whatever this division is, PDPS, correct?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. So under him we have Mr. Reardon,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And he was in regulatory when you
- 23 arrived in December of '07; isn't that fair?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. So we know we have Mr. Reardon,
- 2 and then we have Kristeen Nicholson-Miller is
- 3 another person in this organizational structure,
- 4 but she's an administrative assistant, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 O. And by "administrative
- 7 assistant" -- I don't mean to demean the role,
- 8 because it's a very important role, but we're
- 9 not talking about someone that goes out and
- 10 performs regulatory functions, correct?
- 11 A. Generally that's not how I use
- 12 mine, but, yes, I -- that may be true in this
- 13 case. You'll have to ask Mr. Reardon about it.
- Q. Fair enough.
- And then the third employee we
- 16 have is Mr. Brantley, correct, as a manager?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And we have two more, Don Bennett
- 19 and Elaine Trautman --
- A. Yeah.
- Q. -- both as managers. And it
- 22 appears they're searching or asking for at least
- 23 positions.
- A. I see that.

- Q. So at least at this time, in '06,
- in our QRA department we have -- and we'll give
- 3 credit for administrative assistant. We have
- 4 five people, at least according to this
- 5 corporate structure, don't we?
- 6 MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
- 7 for speculation.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. I mean, do you have any
- 10 information to disagree with this? Do you know
- 11 something else?
- 12 A. The only -- I don't think -- I
- don't think I do, but I know there were field
- 14 compliance people. I don't know where they
- 15 reported. If they didn't report to Steve, then
- 16 they would probably work hand-in-hand with his
- 17 department.
- 18 Q. Fair enough.
- 19 A. I don't know that's fact. I'm
- 20 just saying as I recall.
- Q. But we can at least say within the
- 22 corporate offices, this is the QRA team --
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. -- during this time frame at

- least? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 0. And this QRA team is responsible for the 27 distribution centers in overseeing compliance with all 27 distribution centers, 5 correct? 6
  - 7 If that's the number at the time, Α.
  - 8 then that would be right.
  - 9 Ο. Okay.
- I don't remember the exact number 10
- 11 of distribution centers we had.
- And these distribution centers are 12 0.
- spread across the country, aren't they? 13
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 From Washington state -- I think 0.
- it's Auburn in Washington state, correct? 16
- 17 Α. Yes.
- All the way down to Lakeland, 18
- 19 Florida?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Let's go to the next page. And
- 22 let's start at the top again.
- 23 This appears to be a budget for
- 24 the compliance department; is that right?

- 1 says, "Region/Department: Compliance."
- 2 A. Yep, that's what it looks like.
- Q. It says, "Forecast '06" -- excuse
- 4 me. "Fiscal year '06 forecast (actuals through
- 5 March 31, '06)."
- Do you see that there?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And that would be consistent with
- 9 what we talked about earlier as to when they
- 10 would be preparing for the '07 budget, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Sometime in the first half of
- 13 2006?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- Q. And let's go through and look at
- 16 this for just a moment. If we go down to the
- 17 fiscal year unusuals for '07.
- Do you see that section?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And we drop down to the first
- 21 segment under there, "Additional travel for new
- 22 FTEs."
- What are "FTEs"?
- A. Full-time employees.

- 1 Q. We also have -- let's see.
- 2 "Relocation for new director."
- 3 Do you see that second one?
- 4 A. I do.
- 5 Q. So this budget includes adding
- 6 those new employees in that they're requesting,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes, looks like that.
- 9 Q. What -- according to this, what is
- 10 the ask from compliance for 2007 fiscal year?
- 11 A. Are you asking me what this budget
- 12 was asking for?
- 13 Q. Yes, sir.
- 14 A. It appears to be \$997,000.
- 15 O. So less than a million dollars is
- 16 what compliance is asking for for their entire
- 17 corporate department, correct?
- 18 A. Well, I mean, we tend to --
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 20 A. We tend to round. I mean, a
- 21 million dollars would probably be --
- Q. We'll say a million dollars. Fair
- 23 enough?
- 24 A. Okay. Let's say that.

- 1 Q. Okay. A million dollars.
- Now, you know that shortly after
- 3 this, you prepared a PowerPoint presentation
- 4 which represents that Cardinal was making,
- 5 generating revenue of \$87 billion, correct?
- A. Ask me that again. Did you say I?
- 7 Q. Yes. Let's back up.
- 8 You know that Cardinal generates
- 9 revenue in the billions of dollars, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 O. And if documents indicated that
- 12 revenue was around \$87 billion, you wouldn't
- have any reason to dispute that, would you?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Do you know what percentage a
- 16 million dollars makes of 87 billion?
- 17 A. Well, I'd need to do the math, but
- 18 it's a -- it's a small percentage.
- 19 Q. A very small fraction of a
- 20 percentage point, right?
- 21 A. That's what it is.
- Q. How does that -- and let me ask.
- Do you have any idea what the
- 24 sales team's budget was?

- 1 A. I have no idea.
- 2 Q. So Mr. Lanctot -- do you know
- 3 Mr. Lanctot?
- 4 A. It doesn't ring a bell.
- 5 Q. Regional sales manager for the
- 6 central United States?
- 7 A. I don't recall him. I might know
- 8 him. I might have met him. I don't recall him.
- 9 Q. So you -- he testified that he had
- 10 a sales force of over 100 persons.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. For the center of the United
- 13 States.
- 14 A. Okay.
- Q. And that there was an east and a
- 16 west.
- 17 Fair to say sales budget is going
- 18 to be far in excess of a million dollars, isn't
- 19 it?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. Just based on the number of
- 22 employees alone.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Without question, right?

```
Α.
                  Yes.
 1
 2
                  This budget, if you were coming in
            0.
    during this time frame, wouldn't be sufficient
    for you, would it?
 5
                  MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
 6
            for speculation.
 7
                  I don't know. I wasn't there. I
            Α.
 8
    wasn't in the department.
 9
            Q. Well, you --
10
            Α.
                  When I took it over, I've already
   told you what I did.
11
12
            0.
                  And let's back up.
13
                  You were there at Cardinal during
14
    this time?
15
                  I was, yes.
            Α.
16
                  You just weren't in the regulatory
    or compliance department?
17
18
                  That's right.
            A.
19
                  So let's go to what you did do.
            Q.
    Let's go to 3874.
20
21
22
         (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 10 marked.)
23
24
```

- 1 BY MR. FULLER:
- Q. And, again, you'll have the hard
- 3 copy, and it will be in front of you.
- 4 The initial e-mail here is
- 5 "Anti-Diversion."
- Do you see that, the subject line?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And it's from a Michele Conway?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. January 11, 2008, shortly after
- 11 you joined the division, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And who is Michele Conway?
- 14 A. Michele was a financial manager, I
- 15 believe, at the time.
- 16 O. And who is Brian -- is it Bonnell?
- 17 A. Yes, Brian Bonnell.
- Q. Who is he?
- 19 A. I believe he was Michele's
- 20 superior, finance department.
- Q. Okay. And Michele -- or excuse
- 22 me. Yeah, Michele is writing to Brian in the
- 23 initial e-mail, indicating that you guys had
- 24 met?

- 1 A. Okay.
- Q. Is that correct?
- A. I don't recall it, but I'm sure we
- 4 did.
- 5 Q. She says, "I met with Mark." I'm
- 6 assuming it's you because you're the only one --
- 7 other one on the e-mail chain, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And it says, "I -- and gained
- 10 greater understanding of increased CAH staffing
- and supply chain integrity and anti-diversion
- 12 department, " right?
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. And if we go down to the parts
- 15 that she's clarifying. She says, "Two points,"
- and read the first point to us, Mr. Hartman,
- 17 where it starts "Field QRA."
- 18 A. "Field QRA realignment to ensure a
- 19 full-time QRA person in every DC (22 DCs, NLC,
- 20 and ParMed). Currently there are 12 full-time,
- 21 FT, positions already filled and 12 areas where
- there are people partially filling those roles
- 23 which will need to be converted to full-time
- 24 roles."

- Q. And that's something that you set
- 2 out to do when you came into this division; is
- 3 that correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You wanted full-time people at
- 6 each one of the distribution centers?
- 7 A. Correct.
- Q. You felt that that was important
- 9 and that's what needed to be done to effectively
- 10 manage the compliance role, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And this is where I think we get
- 13 to Mr. Moné. Read the next bullet for us.
- 14 A. "Creation of anti-diversion
- department that will be headed by Michael Moné,
- 16 VP, currently staffed with one director,
- 17 E. Brantley, and two investigators. The future
- 18 state of this department in the very near term
- 19 is to have two directors and six investigators.
- 20 Eric Brantley will not assume one of the
- 21 director roles but will move into a role
- 22 supporting NYIG-related items. Mark and his
- 23 admin will be part of this group. The total
- 24 projected head count for anti-diversion

- 1 department is 12."
- Q. So you're taking it from three to
- 3 twelve in the anti-diversion department, at
- 4 least according to this e-mail?
- 5 A. Yeah. I think the number -- I
- 6 think those numbers are right.
- 7 Q. Because prior to you stepping in
- 8 and getting involved, it appears, for however
- 9 long anti-diversion was around, that there was
- one director, Mr. Brantley, correct?
- It's right on that e-mail.
- 12 A. What was -- was that his role --
- 13 you showed me the prior document. Is that from
- 14 where you saw Eric's name?
- 15 Q. No, sir. If you look at the
- 16 e-mail you just read.
- 17 A. Yeah. Okay.
- 18 Q. It says, "Currently staffed with
- one director, E. Brantley."
- 20 A. Okay. I gotcha.
- 21 Yes.
- Q. And two investigators?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. So you increased it by fourfold

- 1 coming into this department, right?
- 2 A. It looks like it.
- Q. And is, again, that because you
- 4 felt that was what was necessary to be able to
- 5 monitor compliance through all these
- 6 distribution centers?
- 7 A. Yeah. As I came into role, I
- 8 was -- and understanding as I got into role
- 9 these immediate suspensions, what we needed to
- 10 do, the changes that had come from the DEA and
- 11 what we needed to do in order to accommodate
- 12 those changes, yes, I was out to staff this with
- more people.
- 14 Q. Now, we talked about the situation
- on changes, and we're not going to revisit that
- 16 because I think we made that clear. But you
- were envisioning, when you came into this
- department, fixing it to the point that you
- 19 could feel comfortable that proper compliance
- 20 was being maintained; is that right?
- 21 A. I came into the role under some
- 22 pretty tenuous circumstances that Cardinal had
- 23 been issued the suspensions.
- Q. Three suspensions, right?

- 1 A. It was three suspensions.
- 2 O. And another Order to Show Cause?
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. And it was voluntarily given up?
- 5 A. In January of 2008 --
- 6 Q. And then a fine as well?
- 7 A. Houston --
- 8 MR. PYSER: Counsel, let him
- 9 finish his answer before you ask another
- 10 question.
- 11 MR. FULLER: Sure. I apologize.
- 12 BY MR. FULLER:
- 0. Go ahead.
- 14 A. Okay. What -- the fine you're
- 15 talking about is from when? What fine were you
- 16 mentioning just now?
- 17 Q. The DEA investigation related to
- 18 the suspensions.
- A. So later in 2008, when we had a
- 20 settlement? Okay. Let me come back to -- I'm
- 21 sorry. What was I commenting on? You threw me
- 22 on the fine.
- Q. No, no, no. You're fine. I
- 24 apologize. It's my fault.

- 1 So in any case, you basically
- 2 supersized the compliance department when you
- 3 came into it?
- 4 A. I increased it to what I wanted,
- 5 not only needed.
- 6 O. Okay. We talked earlier -- I
- 7 believe the testimony is clear -- that you
- 8 didn't go in there just asking for stuff that
- 9 you didn't need?
- 10 A. Anything I asked for, we had
- 11 looked at, figured out what was necessary for us
- 12 to do, and anything that -- as I've commented to
- 13 you on budgeting, if I wanted it, I got it.
- 14 Q. In actuality, you needed more than
- 15 what was actually given to you.
- 16 Let's go to 3896.
- Do you remember about your
- 18 employees complaining about having to work
- 19 nights, weekends, as well as days?
- 20 A. In what time period? Because
- 21 every group I've ever had, we would complain
- 22 about those things, including myself.
- Q. This time period. This time
- 24 period.

```
A. Sure. Yeah.
 1
 2
         (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 11 marked.)
 4
 5
    BY MR. FULLER:
 6
            O. Who's Steven Lawrence?
 7
            A. Let's see.
 8
                  So this is January 28th of 2008.
 9
            Q. I think it's actually -- the
    e-mail from Mr. Lawrence is January 26th. Then
10
11
   at the bottom of that first page.
12
                  You've got a different document.
13
                  MS. QUEZON: What exhibit are we
14
            on, Mike? Is that 11? 3896?
15
                  MR. FULLER: Yeah.
                  Can I get that back? That's the
16
17
            number I have. Is that the same one?
18
                  (Discussion held off the record.)
19
                  MR. FULLER: Oh, you got the
20
            invoice and stuff too. I'm not worried
21
            about that. There you go. Sorry about
22
            that.
23
                  MS. QUEZON: What exhibit are we
24
            on? Is it 11?
```

```
1
                  MS. VELDMAN: It is 11, yeah.
 2
                  MR. FULLER: Thank you.
 3
                  So this would be Plaintiff's
            Exhibit Number 11. Is it still bottom
 4
 5
            of the first page? It is, right? Yeah.
 6
                  MS. VELDMAN: That's what you
 7
            want?
 8
                  MR. FULLER: Yeah.
 9
    BY MR. FULLER:
10
            Q.
                  I'm sorry, Mr. Hartman.
11
                  Bottom of the first page, I think,
12
    begins the e-mail chain; is that right?
13
            A. Yes, it looks like that. Yes.
14
                  And that's from Steven Lawrence?
            Q.
15
            A. Okay. Yes.
16
                  And I think you said January 28th,
    but I want to make sure the record is clear.
17
    It's Saturday, January 26th --
18
19
            A. I see that.
20
            O. -- 2008.
21
            A. I see that.
22
            Q.
                  And this is after you've come into
23
    this department. Like you mentioned earlier,
24
    you've been there six weeks, correct?
```

- 1 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. And Mr. Lawrence. Who is
- 3 Steven Lawrence?
- A. At this time -- well, you know,
- 5 Steve was on the pharmaceutical side of
- 6 business, and I -- I'm recalling at this time
- 7 period, he was the -- I don't know title. He
- 8 was the marketing -- marketing guy. Probably
- 9 SVP. Might have been VP, SVP. I don't -- I
- 10 don't recall his role during this time period.
- 11 Q. All right. And this e-mail is
- 12 going to a whole bunch of different groups,
- 13 correct? GNSA retail?
- 14 A. Yes. A lot of people.
- Q. Managers, sales managers, so forth
- 16 and so on?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And cc'd to yourself, Mr. Moné?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Todd Cameron, Thomas -- how do you
- 21 pronounce it?
- 22 A. Todd Cameron, Mark Hartman,
- 23 Tom DeGemmis.
- Q. DeGemmis.

- 1 And read the subject for us.
- 2 A. "Threshold system and customer
- 3 issues Detailed summary, so I apologize for
- 4 the lengthy e-mail."
- 5 Q. So if you turn to the second page.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. And the second paragraph there,
- 8 Mr. Lawrence says, "We currently are working
- 9 very hard to staff up our QRA group. Please
- 10 understand that we are working day, night,
- 11 weekends, but they have been understaffed, and
- 12 Mark Hartman is working hard to get the
- 13 situation rectified."
- 14 Right?
- 15 A. That's what it says.
- Q. Do you remember the staff having
- 17 to work day, night, and weekends to try to keep
- 18 up at this point in time?
- 19 A. We were all working -- we worked a
- 20 lot of hours. I don't remember the --
- Q. You don't recall this
- 22 specifically?
- 23 A. Right.
- Q. But you recall this time being

- 1 very challenging?
- 2 A. Yes, we -- well, with three
- 3 immediate suspension orders, I quess we're still
- 4 yet to see the Houston situation. Yeah, we were
- 5 working very, very hard in a lot of fronts.
- 6 O. And your focus at that point was
- 7 compliance; am I right?
- A. Yes. Compliance with the DEA
- 9 guidelines that we were now operating under.
- 10 Q. Now, you say "compliance with the
- 11 new DEA guidelines." We saw the regulations.
- 12 The regulations hadn't changed in over 30 years,
- 13 40 years, right?
- 14 A. That's what we talked about.
- 15 O. We looked at the CSA?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And we looked at the regulation as
- 18 a subpart of the Controlled Substances Act,
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. Those have been consistent through
- 22 time and are still in place today, as far as you
- 23 know?
- A. Those regulations were consistent.

- 1 Which wasn't consistent was the DEA conditions,
- because as I had commented to you -- you're
- drawing on your chart there. What changed in
- 4 that is I came on board. The number one thing
- 5 that we would talk about was that we could not
- 6 ship a suspicious order, and that was one of the
- 7 biggest changes that came out of the DEA prior
- 8 to my time.
- 9 Because Cardinal had been meeting
- 10 the guidelines, requirements of the DEA in the
- 11 reports that they had put in, and that's what I
- 12 came to understand. And one of the elements of
- a big world that we had to work on and fix that
- 14 happened was in that time period, with those
- 15 same regulations, to your point, that I
- 16 understand didn't change. The guidelines
- 17 changed.
- 18 Q. So -- well, we can agree to
- 19 disagree on some things, Mr. Hartman.
- But you're saying that when you
- 21 came in, you had to design a system that halted
- 22 suspicious orders. Is that what you're telling
- 23 the jury?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.

- 1 Q. That would not allow a suspicious
- 2 order to be shipped?
- A. I improved a system to identify
- 4 suspicious orders, and now -- and now, as the
- 5 team explained it to me -- and now we could not
- 6 ship that order.
- 7 Q. And "now" as of when? As of
- 8 December?
- 9 A. December of 2007 when I stepped
- 10 into role.
- 11 Q. So that was a new --
- 12 A. That's how I understood it.
- 13 Q. That's -- and is it your
- 14 understanding that prior to that point in time,
- 15 Cardinal was shipping suspicious orders?
- 16 A. I -- well, what I know -- again --
- 17 Q. I'm just asking you, yes or no,
- 18 prior to that point in time, was Cardinal
- 19 shipping suspicious orders, if you know?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 21 A. What limited amount I know is that
- 22 Cardinal was responding to the DEA with specific
- reports that were at the end of month, and I do
- 24 know that DEA -- that Cardinal employees were in

- 1 touch with DEA, and I know that those orders
- were shipped, because the requirement was an
- 3 end-of-month report. There was -- there wasn't
- 4 another requirement on that.
- 5 So when you say, "Was it a
- 6 suspicious order shipped," I don't know that,
- 7 but it could be, because it was -- it was -- it
- 8 was an end-of-month report, was the requirement.
- 9 That's what I know of that system.
- MR. FULLER: So let's pull back up
- 11 4915.
- 12 BY MR. FULLER:
- Q. So, Mr. Hartman, this is the
- 14 regulation --
- MR. PYSER: Do you want to give
- 16 him the exhibit number so he can find it
- as well.
- 18 Q. 4915. P5, Number 5.
- Do you have that in front of you,
- 20 Mr. Hartman? It's also on the screen.
- 21 A. Yep.
- 22 Q. So point to the jury to where that
- 23 regulation says that you can monthly report
- 24 suspicious orders.

```
1
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
 2
                   MR. FULLER: No, it's not 16.
 3
             It's 15, please. No, no, no -- yes, I
             want the suspicious order requirement.
 4
 5
             It's 4915. Thank you.
 6
                   MR. PYSER: Then you've given the
 7
             witness the wrong exhibit number.
 8
             Q.
                   Four.
 9
                   So, Mr. Hartman, I want you to
10
     explain to the jury where it says that we can
    delay reporting suspicious orders to the end of
11
12
     the month. Where does this regulation say that?
13
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
14
                   I'm not the expert in this.
             Α.
15
                   MR. FULLER: I've got the -- thank
16
             you.
17
    BY MR. FULLER:
                   Again, and we'll solidify that you
18
             Ο.
     are not the expert in anti-diversion or supply
19
     chain integrity, correct?
20
21
                   Never tried to be and haven't been
22
    an expert in any role I've had. I lead
23
    organizations and we take on the work that we
```

have to do and we do it well.

24

- 1 Q. Now, Mr. Hartman, just yes or no.
- 2 Are you a expert in supply chain integrity or
- 3 anti-diversion?
- 4 MR. PYSER: You can answer the
- 5 question as you'd like.
- 6 O. Yes or no.
- 7 A. I would not label myself as an
- 8 expert.
- 9 Q. Fair enough.
- 10 A. Nor have I in any job I've ever
- 11 had.
- 12 Q. So does this regulation indicate
- 13 that you can delay reporting or does it say that
- 14 it has to be reported when discovered?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. Legal
- 16 conclusion.
- 17 A. All I'm telling you, at the time
- 18 when I stepped into role, what I came to
- 19 understand were the changes that were made by
- 20 the DEA, and we were operating with DEA guidance
- 21 prior to my time, according to my team and those
- that were there, and that's what they told me
- 23 about in December of 2007 was one of the major
- 24 changes that we had to address.

```
What DEA guidance are you
 1
             Ο.
    referring to?
 2
 3
                   Are you sure? Did you
    double-check your team?
 4
 5
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
 6
             Ο.
                  Did you double-check your team,
 7
    Mr. Hartman?
 8
            A. I don't recall.
 9
                  Did you call the DEA and ask them
10
    yourself?
11
                  Many times. I tried to -- I tried
12
    to talk to them, and I know my team did talk to
13
    them.
14
                  Who -- who did you call at DEA?
             Q.
15
                  Let's see. The names that I
             Α.
16
    recall are Larry Cody, was the principal
    attorney that Cardinal worked with at the DEA.
17
    I think his supervisor was Linden Barber. There
18
    were --
19
20
                   Who now works for Cardinal, right?
             0.
21
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
22
             Q.
                  No, McKesson. No, Cardinal.
23
                   Do you know if he works for
```

Cardinal now?

24

```
MR. PYSER: Please allow the
 1
 2
             witness to finish his answer before you
             cut him off. It keeps happening. You
 3
             got to let him finish his answer.
 4
 5
                   MR. FULLER: He's got to answer
 6
            the question being asked, too.
                   I'm aware of that.
 7
            Α.
 8
             Q.
                  Okay.
 9
                  And there were a couple -- I'm
10
    trying to answer the last question.
11
             0.
                   I'll strike it.
12
                   So were you provided with the
    letters from the DEA when you came into your
13
14
    role that set out what they expected?
15
                   I reviewed the ones that were
             Α.
16
    earlier from my -- before my time frame.
17
                 Okay. You reviewed those?
             Q.
                  Well, yeah, I read through them,
18
    but then there was another one that came pretty
19
    soon after I was in role.
20
21
             Q. Fair enough. So let's go to 4050.
22
23
          (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 12 marked.)
24
```

```
BY MR. FULLER:
 1
 2
            Q. And if you'll turn to the second
    page.
 4
                  MR. PYSER: What exhibit number
 5
            are we on?
                  MS. SHIVERS: It's 12.
 6
 7
                  MR. PYSER: Thank you.
 8
    BY MR. FULLER:
 9
                  This is Joe Rannazzisi's letter to
    all the wholesale distributors, September of
10
    2006.
11
12
                  Do you see that?
13
            A.
                  I see it.
14
                  This would have been one of those
            Q.
15
    that you reviewed, correct?
16
            A. It certainly would have. I -- I'm
17
    sure I did.
18
                  This was sent to the Lakeland
            0.
19
    facility distribution center.
20
                  Do you see that?
21
            A. Yes. I see it.
22
            Q. It's a distribution center
23
    Cardinal owned. It's one that got its license
```

suspended twice over the past decade, correct?

24

- 1 A. I believe that's right.
- Q. So if you'll turn to page 3.
- 3 And do you see in the middle of
- 4 the page where it talks about, "The DEA
- 5 regulations require all distributors to report
- 6 suspicious orders of controlled substances."
- 7 Do you see that, Mr. Hartman?
- 8 A. Counsel, I see it on the screen.
- 9 MR. PYSER: Counsel, I think
- there's some confusion. You're saying,
- page 3 using 4050.3. The witness may be
- on the third page of the document.
- Q. Page 3, upper right-hand corner,
- 14 Mr. Hartman.
- MR. PYSER: Use the right-hand
- corner so we're all on the same page.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. You've got the page now?
- 19 A. Ask me the question because I
- 20 wasn't finding the comment that you were talking
- 21 about. DEA --
- Q. Let me see. Yes, you're on the --
- 23 so in the upper right-hand corner you're at
- 24 4050.3 now, right?

- 1 A. I see it.
- Q. Is that correct? You're on the
- 3 right page?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. So do you see there,
- 6 Mr. Hartman, where the DEA -- it says, "The DEA
- 7 regulations require all distributors to report
- 8 suspicious orders of controlled substances"?
- 9 Did I read that correctly?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And then it says, "Specifically
- the regulation states, " and it cites 21 C.F.R.
- 13 1301.74(b), which is the same exact regulation
- 14 that we've already looked at, correct?
- 15 A. It looks that way.
- Q. And then it says, what we already
- 17 established, does it not, that it bears emphasis
- 18 that, "The foregoing reporting requirement is in
- 19 addition to and not lieu of the general
- 20 requirement under 21 U.S.C." -- which is the
- 21 United States Code we looked at earlier,
- 22 823(e) -- "that a distributor maintain effective
- 23 controls against diversion, doesn't it?
- Isn't that what it says,

- 1 Mr. Hartman?
- 2 A. That's what it says.
- Q. And read the next sentence aloud
- 4 to us.
- 5 A. Where are you?
- 6 O. "Thus, in addition to."
- 7 A. "Thus, in addition to reporting
- 8 all suspicious orders, a distributor has a
- 9 statutory responsibility to exercise due
- 10 diligence to avoid filling suspicious orders
- 11 that might be diverted into other than
- 12 legitimate medical, scientific, and industrial
- 13 channels."
- 14 Q. You have to do your due diligence
- or not ship your suspicious order; isn't that
- 16 what it's saying, Mr. Hartman?
- 17 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 18 A. I'd have to review the whole
- 19 document. I mean, this is before my time. I
- just told you, what I focused on when I went
- into the role in December of 2007, that one of
- the major changes that we had to address was the
- 23 not ship component.
- Q. Right. And you also said that you

- 1 reviewed the earlier letters, which this was,
- from the DEA and that it was a -- your people,
- 3 your people, told you it was a new requirement
- 4 that you understood in December of 2007, a new
- 5 guidance by the DEA, which is set out in this
- 6 letter sent in September of 2006 --
- 7 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 9 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 10 Misstates the testimony.
- 11 A. It said it was sent in 2006.
- 12 Q. You don't dispute that, do you?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. If it was sent in 2006 and
- 15 Cardinal received it in 2006, in September, they
- 16 knew then that they shouldn't be -- if they
- 17 didn't know it before, shouldn't be shipping
- 18 suspicious orders; we can agree with that, can't
- 19 we?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 21 A. I wasn't there. I don't know what
- 22 the --
- Q. You were there. You were at
- 24 Cardinal during this time.

- 1 A. I wasn't in the department.
- 2 O. If this letter was sent to
- 3 Cardinal, doesn't this give them the warning
- 4 they need?
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 A. I wasn't in the department. I
- 7 didn't receive the letter at that time.
- Q. I'm not asking you if you did.
- 9 I'm asking you to use common sense. And does
- 10 this letter tell them exactly what you said they
- weren't told about until December of 2007?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 13 Argumentative. Asked and answered.
- 14 A. Better answered by those that were
- in place. I don't have an opinion on it.
- 16 Q. I'm not asking those that were in
- 17 place. I'm asking you, because you've testified
- 18 the regulations or guidance changed. You
- 19 testified that Cardinal didn't know about it
- 20 until December 2007?
- 21 A. I did not say "didn't know about
- 22 it." I said that was one of the major
- 23 requirements that we had to address.
- Q. And you testified that your people

- 1 told you that it was new as of December 2007.
  - 2 The record will speak for itself.
  - I want to know --
- 4 A. It was new to me.
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 Q. Well, of course it was.
- 7 MR. PYSER: Object to form. Give
- 8 me a chance to object. Object to form.
- 9 Misstates testimony.
- 10 Q. So you don't think this letter of
- 11 2006 from September puts Cardinal on notice?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
- for a legal conclusion.
- 14 A. I don't know what the regulatory
- department was doing at that time. So I don't
- 16 know what their reaction to it was or what was
- 17 discussed or what they did.
- Q. Well, they weren't doing much
- 19 because they only had five people.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 21 Argumentative.
- 22 Q. So my question is, prior to
- 23 December of 2007, was Cardinal shipping
- 24 suspicious orders, yes or no, or I don't know?

- 1 A. Before my time.
- Q. Do you know?
- 3 A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. You don't know whether Cardinal
- 5 was shipping suspicious orders before your time,
- 6 even though you came into this department, you
- 7 looked into all these issues and these different
- 8 distribution centers where licenses were
- 9 suspended, and you don't know if they were
- 10 shipping suspicious orders or not?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. Asked
- 12 and answered.
- 13 A. Prior to my time. We talked about
- 14 the environment we were in. We talked about the
- 15 issues that we had and whether we were
- 16 calling -- or these accusations were accurate or
- 17 not. I was brand new in role and trying to
- 18 figure out how to go forward. That's what I
- 19 did.
- Q. And you've testified that you
- 21 reviewed these guidance letters, these letters
- 22 from the DEA, right?
- 23 A. I know I read them at some point
- 24 in there early in my entry into the role. I

- 1 don't -- I didn't spend time on them, nor did I
- 2 try to decipher them, other than to understand,
- 3 what do we need to do at Cardinal?
- 4 Q. Absolutely. Absolutely.
- 5 And the letter tells you what you
- 6 need to do, right?
- 7 A. And that's what we would have
- 8 pulled off of that, put it into a Gantt chart
- 9 and a format and gone after it.
- 10 Q. And it says that you need to do
- 11 due diligence before you ship suspicious orders,
- 12 and if not, you need to stop them, correct?
- 13 A. And in my time -- I'm sorry.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 15 O. Yes or no?
- 16 A. In my time frame, that's exactly
- 17 what we went out to do.
- 18 Q. Should it have been done before
- 19 your time frame?
- 20 MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
- 21 for speculation.
- 22 A. I wasn't in the department. I
- don't know exactly what was going on and what
- 24 the guidance was from the DEA, other than the

- 1 changes that I was made aware of.
- Q. I'm not asking you what was going
- on. I'm not asking you whether you were in the
- 4 department. I'm asking you, should they have
- 5 been stopping suspicious orders before that time
- 6 frame?
- 7 MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
- 8 for a legal conclusion.
- 9 Q. Yes or no?
- 10 A. They should have been applying our
- 11 system to the guidance that we had from the DEA
- 12 in following that job.
- 13 Q. That's not my question.
- 14 My question -- I'm going to ask it
- one last time -- is, should they have been
- 16 stopping suspicious orders even prior to the
- 17 time that you came into the department, yes or
- 18 no?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. Asked
- and answered.
- 21 A. Prior to my time, Cardinal Health
- team, I wasn't in charge of and didn't have
- 23 involvement with. They were conducting
- themselves, what they felt and they told me was,

according to the DEA guidelines, and that's how 1 they conducted themselves. 2 3 Ο. That's not my question, and I'm 4 going to move on and certify that question, and 5 we'll ask the special commissioner to rule that 6 you have to answer the question I'm asking and not one of your own choosing. 7 8 MR. FULLER: So with that, we'll 9 take another break. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 11 2:10. We're going off the record. 12 (Recess taken.) 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 14 2:28. Back on the record. 15 MR. PYSER: Addressing the comment 16 from counsel right before the break. 17 Two points. The first one is that 18 Mr. Hartman has been answering the 19 questions, so I think the complaint and 20 the threat to go to the special master 21 is inappropriate. 22 The second, I direct counsel's 23 attention to a discovery dispute between 24 Walmart and the Plaintiffs, dated

1	August 31st, 2018, in a letter Walmart
2	wrote which discussed the very type of
3	testimony that Plaintiffs are seeking
4	here about the interpretation with the
5	Dear Registrant letters from the DEA.
6	And, in particular, Special Master
7	Cohen's ruling dated September 3, 2018,
8	in which Special Master Cohen ruled that
9	Plaintiffs are entitled to obtain
10	testimony regarding compliance with
11	these subtopics, including the letter
12	that Plaintiffs were asking about here.
13	But not regarding past, present
14	interpretation agreement and/or
15	disagreement.
16	We again submit that you're asking
17	for legal conclusions, and Special
18	Master Cohen has already ruled those
19	inappropriate.
20	MR. FULLER: No. Special Master
21	Cohen, in that specific order, has rated
22	it to a 30(b) depo.
23	BY MR. FULLER:
24	Q. Last I checked, you weren't a

- 1 30(b) designee, were you?
- 2 A. You'll have to explain that to me.
- Q. It's above my head, too,
- 4 sometimes.
- 5 MR. FULLER: Okay. That's fine.
- 6 We'll let Mr. Cohen decide it.
- 7 BY MR. FULLER:
- 8 Q. So, Mr. Hartman, according to your
- 9 testimony earlier today, before we took the
- 10 break, when you came in to the compliance
- 11 department in December of 2007, you were
- informed by your specialty experts, subject
- 13 matter experts, as to what the status of
- 14 regulatory issues were, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you were informed at that time
- 17 that there was some sort of new requirement from
- 18 the DEA that suspicious orders not be shipped?
- 19 A. That's my recollection.
- 20 O. And who -- which of your subject
- 21 matter experts -- I'm assuming it was either
- 22 Mr. Moné or Mr. Reardon, that explained that to
- 23 you?
- A. I don't recall who it was, but

- 1 I -- I suspect both were in the room at the same
- time with, perhaps, other people as we talked
- 3 about this.
- 4 Q. So whose purview would that have
- 5 fell under, because they're two separate
- 6 departments, right?
- 7 A. We operate --
- 8 Q. Supply chain integrity?
- 9 A. Yes, that's right.
- 10 Q. And regulatory compliance?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. So whose purview would that have
- 13 fallen under?
- 14 A. In regards to this situation, we
- 15 were operating as one team at that point. They
- 16 were running their individual departments. When
- we met and collaborated, it would have generally
- been with both Michael Moné and Steve Reardon,
- 19 and other attendees as well. So I can't answer
- 20 who all was in the room.
- But whose purview was it under?
- 22 In this regards, I suspect it was Steve Reardon
- 23 explaining to us where they had been and where
- 24 we were. And Michael Moné, brand-new enroll --

- 1 well, pretty new enroll, a little before me --
- 2 O. Sure.
- 3 A. -- probably interpreting for me,
- 4 to help me understand, what do we need to do.
- 5 And then I set out with, how do we get there?
- 6 How do we -- how do we start putting the
- 7 strategy in place and move forward with these
- 8 quidelines?
- 9 Q. And when you say it was likely
- 10 Mr. Reardon, that was because he was regulatory
- 11 compliance; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you say, how do we get
- 14 there? The job you were tackling is how to
- implement a system that would prevent the
- 16 shipment of suspicious orders?
- 17 A. How do we improve and build out
- 18 our system to meet these requirements, on top of
- 19 the system that was already in place.
- Q. Right. And the new requirement, I
- 21 think as you have portrayed it, was the shipping
- or not shipping of suspicious orders, correct?
- A. Well, there were many elements of
- 24 this. There was the Know Your Customer piece

- 1 and the training that would go along with that.
- 2 An IT system that we were -- you know, we now
- 3 needed to build upon and add more analytics to
- 4 it. So to answer your question, there were
- 5 several pieces that we immediately set sail on
- 6 to work on.
- 7 Q. Right.
- A. To improve upon.
- 9 Q. And one of those was not shipping
- 10 suspicious orders, correct?
- 11 A. In our system, when we came upon a
- 12 suspicious order, then to ensure there was -- we
- 13 did not ship it.
- Q. Okay. And again, that is part of
- 15 the new system that you were trying to roll out
- and implement during this first part of 2008; is
- 17 that right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Misstates the testimony.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Let's go to 4689.
- MR. FULLER: Did I get that right?
- MS. SHIVERS: No.
- MR. FULLER: 3892. I'm sorry.

```
This is going to be Plaintiff's
 1
 2
            Exhibit --
 3
                  MS. SHIVERS: 13.
                  MR. FULLER: Yes, Plaintiff's 13.
 4
 5
         (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 13 marked.)
 6
 7
 8
    BY MR. FULLER:
 9
            Q. Mr. Hartman, have -- well, this
10
    e-mail has your name on it, so I'm assuming
11
    you've seen it before, correct?
12
            A.
                  I -- sure. I'm sure I have.
13
            Q. And you see the subject matter is
14
    "UT Presentation"; is that right?
15
            A.
                  Yes.
16
            Q. It relates to a presentation that
    you did at the University of Tennessee; is that
17
18
    correct?
19
            A. Yes.
20
                  And if you turn to the next page,
21
    I believe it will be page 2. There's the
22
    exhibit that was part of that e-mail.
23
                  Does that look familiar to you?
24
            Α.
                  Presentation I gave at that time.
```

- 1 Q. And what was it that you were
- 2 doing? This says "Supply Chain Integrity,"
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. And this is dated November 6 of
- 6 2008. Was that your understanding, the date of
- 7 the presentation?
- 8 A. It probably was, but I -- I had
- 9 May in my mind, like another six or seven months
- 10 later, but I don't recall when the presentation
- 11 was given.
- 12 Q. Okay. Well, at least this
- 13 document that we've found indicates it's
- 14 November 6 of 2008 --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- right?
- 17 A. I see that. Yep.
- 18 Q. Okay. Now, other than your
- 19 memory, do you have any other reason to dispute
- 20 that this presentation at least was given at
- 21 some point in that time frame?
- 22 A. I'd have to go through the
- presentation, but, yeah, it looks like it's the
- 24 presentation that I delivered at a University of

- 1 Tennessee meeting of some sort, and I don't
- 2 recall what it was.
- Q. Okay. So -- and that was going to
- 4 be my next question. What kind of meeting was
- 5 this?
- 6 A. It was an industry meeting. A lot
- 7 of concerns around supply chain integrity. So
- 8 the more focused nuance of this meeting was
- 9 around shipping of products and so forth, if I
- 10 recall, and I was talking about, from our
- 11 perspective, all of the issues that could exist,
- 12 and I can't remember if I -- I must have talked
- about like things we were doing and how we were
- 14 trying to improve upon the system.
- 0. Sure. Sure.
- And this was, again, other
- industry individuals attending this meeting, to
- 18 the best you can recollect?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. So if we go to page 3, I think
- 21 you'll see it indicates there Cardinal Health?
- 22 A. Yes.
- O. It says one of the -- the "Leading"
- 24 provider of products, services and technologies

- 1 for the healthcare industry/headquartered in
- 2 Dublin, Ohio."
- 3 Right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's where the headquarters
- 6 still is today; isn't that true?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. It says, "No. 19 on the
- 9 Fortune 500, and 43,500 employees in more than
- 10 25 countries."
- 11 Correct?
- 12 A. That's what it says. That's
- 13 right.
- Q. And you believe that information
- to be true or you wouldn't have put it in the
- 16 PowerPoint, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And at this time we know you have
- 19 maybe quadrupled the size of the regulatory
- team, at least in corporate, and were up to
- 21 about 12 or 15, right?
- 22 A. Well, again, on the anti-diversion
- 23 team, that's where we were. But as I said, as a
- 24 department, the regulatory affairs piece and

- 1 anti-diversion, we were acting pretty closely as
- 2 one department.
- Q. Sure.
- 4 A. And in the regulatory affairs
- department, we would have had 30-some employees.
- 6 O. You think you had 30-some
- 7 employees in regulatory affairs?
- 8 A. That's how -- I don't know the
- 9 exact number. But we had one in every DC as a
- 10 compliance officer, and then we had our
- 11 headquarters staff.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. We had licensing people. We had
- 14 other folks in the regulatory affairs group, not
- 15 just working on anti-diversion. So to answer
- 16 the number, I don't know, but we were operating
- 17 pretty closely as one team together on our
- 18 regulatory affair issues.
- 19 Q. So that 30-plus number that you're
- 20 referring to, that's counting all the DCs --
- 21 A. The distribution -- yeah --
- 22 Q. -- or the individuals that you had
- out at the individual distribution centers,
- 24 correct?

- A. So, again, under anti-diversion,
- we built out Michael Moné's team. And then the
- 3 piece that we built under Steve Reardon's team
- 4 was a compliance officer at each of the
- 5 facilities.
- Now, the responsibilities were --
- 7 while we put them in there was predominantly,
- 8 out of the blocks, for anti-diversion, but they
- 9 also were there to support other regulatory
- 10 affairs in the building -- in the distribution
- 11 center, I should say.
- 12 Q. So -- and, again, if we go back
- 13 just to corporate, we're still looking at maybe
- 14 20 people, right?
- 15 A. Well, at corporate?
- 16 O. Yes.
- 17 A. Some number like that.
- Q. Fair enough.
- So -- and then you also indicate,
- 20 "Headquartered in Dublin, Ohio, Cardinal is an
- 21 \$87 billion global company."
- 22 87 billion, at least at this time,
- 23 during 2007, correct?
- A. That's what it says.

- 1 Q. And this is your presentation that
- 2 you gave, right?
- 3 A. Yeah. I think perspective here
- 4 is, I also worked for Pepsi-Cola and I ran
- 5 similar, you know, few billion divisions where
- 6 our profits were higher than the kind of profits
- 7 that we earn at Dublin.
- 8 So, you know, in my world, it was
- 9 always kind of perspective around how many
- 10 people do you have, and we had 5,000 employees
- in the pharmaceutical side of the business. The
- 12 revenues that we generated was for the whole
- 13 corporation, not just pharma. They were -- they
- 14 were the biggest piece. But the employee count
- was actually probably on the smaller side
- 16 because you tried to be very, very efficient.
- So to your point, just to clarify
- 18 a little bit, a distribution company has
- 19 incredibly thin margins. So when we use the
- 20 revenue number in these aspects, the reality is,
- 21 that's to kind of show our size, but it's really
- 22 about the profit side, which is much thinner.
- Q. What you put in your presentation
- is that you're a \$87 billion company with over

- 1 40,000 employees, right?
- 2 A. To present to these people on the
- 3 whole Cardinal Health, not just pharmaceutical.
- 4 Q. Absolutely. Top to bottom.
- 5 That's what's all included, and that's how much
- 6 money we generate?
- 7 A. Yes, that's right. That's how
- 8 much we -- how much revenue that we take in.
- 9 Q. How much money we generate, right?
- 10 How much we bring in?
- 11 A. And then you got to add in, when
- 12 you talk about these scenarios in a business
- 13 context, you have to talk about what's the
- 14 profitability of an organization.
- Q. When you were giving this
- 16 presentation, did you talk about the
- 17 profitability?
- 18 A. In this one, I didn't.
- 19 Q. Okay. Let's go to page 4.
- 20 A. At least in -- I don't think I
- 21 did.
- Q. I wasn't there. I didn't get the
- 23 invite.
- A. I don't remember it specifically

- 1 either. Do I need to go through it, though,
- 2 if --
- Q. If you want to go off the record
- 4 and flip through it, you're more than happy.
- 5 It's your presentation.
- 6 MR. PYSER: You can go through it
- on the record, if you want, as much as
- you need to answer the questions.
- 9 MR. FULLER: He will not take my
- 10 time.
- 11 A. Okay. Well, let's just move on
- 12 and if I get to a spot, you'll give me a second
- 13 to look it over.
- Q. Fair enough. Fair enough.
- So this is your picture, right?
- 16 Mr. Hartman, Mark Hartman, senior vice
- 17 president, supply chain integrity and regulatory
- 18 operations. Joined Cardinal in 1998.
- 19 A. That's a younger me.
- O. Not much. You look the same.
- 21 A. Come on.
- Q. It says, "Oversight to Cardinal's
- 23 health, pharmaceutical, anti-diversion controls
- 24 and pedigree efforts," correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
  2 Q. "Regulatory oversight for
  - 3 Cardinal's 27 pharmaceuticals and 50 medical
  - 4 distribution centers nationally."
  - Across the entire country, right?
  - 6 A. Yes.
  - 7 Q. And let's talk about that. When
  - 8 you were building out this new system, which,
  - 9 obviously, you had accomplished some by the time
- 10 we get to this presentation, your system was
- 11 being devised to be applied across the entire
- 12 country, wasn't it?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. All distribution centers?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. It was a systemic application
- 17 from, like we talked about earlier, Auburn,
- 18 Washington, all the way down to Lakeland
- 19 Florida?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And you wanted that because you
- 22 wanted everybody operating under the same rules?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Everybody performing the functions

```
the same way?
 1
 2
                   Consistency and commonality is
    what we were after.
 3
 4
             Q. Absolutely.
 5
                   Let's go to page 5.
                   This is entitled "Supply Chain
 6
 7
     Integrity."
 8
                   Do you see that?
 9
             Α.
                   Yes.
10
             Q.
                   And there you go again. "Supply
    Chain Integrity is a holistic approach to the
11
12
     supply chain ecosystem of an industry aimed at
    creating a safe and secure supply chain from
13
14
    manufacturer to end user."
15
                   And let's talk about that just for
16
    a second, because this supply chain that you're
    working with is what is known as a "closed
17
    system," isn't it?
18
19
                   I think that's the term we used,
            Α.
20
    yes.
21
                   It's also the term the legislature
             0.
22
    used in creating the CSA as well. Okay?
23
                   But do you know or have an
```

understanding what that means?

24

- 1 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 2 A. Give me an explanation so that I
- 3 can understand better what you're asking me.
- 4 Q. Closed system. We have a closed
- 5 system of distribution. It's not open to
- 6 everybody, is it?
- 7 A. Well, a closed system, I assume
- 8 that's correct. And in our world, that's right.
- 9 MR. FULLER: Can we flop to the
- 10 ELMO, please.
- 11 BY MR. FULLER:
- 12 Q. So the closed system that you work
- in starts with the manufacturers, doesn't it?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 O. That's who makes the medication,
- 16 the pills, the controlled substances that
- 17 Cardinal sells and distributes; is that fair?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. The manufacturers sell to the
- 20 wholesale distributors, right?
- 21 A. Predominantly.
- Q. There's some exceptions. Some
- 23 manufacturers are also licensed distributors and
- 24 may distribute directly; is that fair?

- 1 A. Yes, yes.
- 2 O. So we have the manufacturers to
- 3 the distributors. So far, unless you're a
- 4 licensed registrant, you don't get to play in
- 5 this game, do you?
- 6 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 7 A. That would be correct.
- 8 Q. The distributors -- you have to be
- 9 careful who you sell to, don't you, very careful
- 10 who you sell to when you're dealing with
- 11 controlled substances, particularly, correct?
- 12 A. Who are you referring to? What's
- 13 your question?
- Q. Whoever you sell to. Whoever
- 15 you're selling controlled substances to. Don't
- 16 you do a lengthy investigation of those
- individuals to make sure they're a registrant,
- 18 that you know your customer, so forth and so on?
- 19 A. I believe we do those elements,
- 20 yes, in our system.
- Q. Okay. And those would be,
- 22 generally speaking, drugstores and pharmacies?
- A. Yeah, generally -- well, no. You
- 24 know, drugstores, pharmacies, hospitals,

- 1 long-term care.
- Q. Basically an end user, the way the
- 3 system is designed, right?
- 4 A. Yeah. I think that's right.
- 5 Q. And we'll add hospitals there and
- 6 long-term care, LTC; is that okay?
- 7 A. Sure.
- Q. And, basically, when we talk about
- 9 it being a closed system, means unless you are
- 10 licensed as one of these entities, you can't
- 11 play ball, doesn't it?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 13 A. I don't know that -- I don't know
- 14 the definition that you're using in "closed
- 15 system." I know how we operated, and I don't
- 16 recall any nuances to that. That's a general
- 17 description, and I don't know if there's --
- 18 Q. Can you sell to someone that's not
- 19 a registrant a controlled substance?
- A. No, I don't think so.
- Q. You don't think so or you don't
- 22 know?
- 23 A. No, I'm not --
- Q. Are you sure?

- 1 A. Well, in our world, we sold to our
- 2 customers who we had done our diligence on to
- 3 have in our system.
- 4 Q. To make sure that they are a
- 5 registrant and that you can legally sell to
- 6 them, right?
- 7 A. That would be probably how we did
- 8 it.
- 9 Q. Well, let's hope so, correct?
- 10 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 11 Q. I mean, do you know if you sold to
- 12 people who aren't registrants?
- 13 A. I have no knowledge of any of
- 14 that. I -- no.
- Q. And do you know why you operate in
- 16 the closed system?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. Legal
- 18 conclusion.
- 19 A. To protect the supply chain.
- Q. And that's important, isn't it?
- 21 A. Sure.
- Q. And it's important because we're
- 23 dealing with substances that are -- if they're a
- 24 control II, labeled as being dangerous and

- 1 highly addictive, correct?
- 2 A. I guess I would suggest that it's
- 3 beyond that because we have other
- 4 pharmaceuticals that are equally as important to
- 5 be protected in the supply chain for the
- 6 handling, their care, to get to the right place
- 7 at the right time.
- 8 Q. So according to Mark Hartman, we
- 9 do this to protect the supply chain.
- 10 And as of December 2007, you were
- 11 the one for Cardinal overseeing the protection
- of the supply chain; is that fair?
- 13 A. December 2007?
- 14 Q. Yes, sir.
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Up until February of 2010 when you
- 17 left the company?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You were the one, the man in
- 20 charge of ensuring supply chain integrity?
- 21 A. Through my teams, that's what we
- 22 did.
- 23 Q. You had people that worked for
- 24 you. You weren't doing it alone, right?

- 1 A. Yep.
- Q. But as the captain of the ship, it
- 3 was your ship to sail, right?
- 4 A. That's what we did.
- Q. Okay. And let's go back to 3892.
- 6 It says here, "Cardinal began work in Supply
- 7 Chain Integrity as a response to leaks within
- 8 the pharmaceutical value chain."
- 9 And that's because when you were
- 10 brought in, Cardinal had leaks in their system?
- 11 They had leaks in the supply chain, right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And that was part of what you were
- 15 there to help correct, isn't it?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, we're going to bounce around
- 18 to a couple different documents, Mr. Hartman.
- And -- now, let's talk about it.
- 20 You have -- and I think you've alluded to it.
- 21 You have extensive experience in business,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And you know for a system,

- 1 whatever system, supply chain system,
- 2 engineering systems, whatever it is, if we have
- 3 people we're supervising, we need to give them
- 4 the resources, training, system, and enough
- 5 people to do the job, right? Correct?
- 6 A. That would be a principal role.
- 7 Q. That's an important aspect, making
- 8 sure our people are competent, are educated,
- 9 trained, and have the resources they need to
- 10 perform whatever tasks we give them, correct?
- 11 A. That's an important role for sure.
- 12 Q. So, for example, at Cardinal, you
- 13 guys had what's referred to as pickers.
- Do you know what a picker is,
- 15 Mr. Hartman?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Tell the jury what a picker is.
- 18 A. So in the distribution centers, of
- 19 course, you had a staff of people in order to
- 20 run the distribution center. So you had to have
- 21 people who received orders. You had to have
- 22 people who picked orders, went to the
- transportation people who loaded the orders, and
- 24 then drivers, of course, where we would take it

- 1 to the customers.
- 2 So the picker part of this would
- 3 be in the evenings generally so that we could
- 4 pick, pack, and ship some 30- or 40,000
- 5 deliveries that next day to get those drugs into
- 6 our customers' hands so that we could help them
- 7 reduce inventories, be more efficient and for
- 8 them to have a better practice in their
- 9 business. And the picker is a key part because
- 10 they put the right products into our delivery
- 11 mechanisms --
- 12 Q. Totes?
- 13 A. -- to get -- see, you know -- you
- 14 know this. You're just seeing if I do.
- 15 Q. Some of your people have taught me
- 16 a little bit.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. So you have pickers that pick and
- 19 place things in totes, and you have checkers
- that double-check what pickers are picking,
- 21 don't you?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's all part of the supply
- 24 chain in getting things out, and like you

- 1 mentioned, we have a huge volume going out of
- 2 our distribution centers?
- A. Yes, we do.
- 4 Q. For example, Mr. Baranski -- do
- 5 you know Mr. Baranski, Wheeling distribution
- 6 center?
- 7 A. I probably met him. I -- the name
- 8 is familiar to me, but I don't -- I don't
- 9 know -- I don't remember him real well.
- 10 Q. He was the -- or is still the
- 11 director of operation over the Wheeling
- 12 distribution center.
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. And he testified that they had
- about 1.7 million orders going out every month,
- 16 every month, and I forget the geographical area
- 17 that he covered, but it was a number of states:
- 18 West Virginia, Ohio, part of Pennsylvania, I
- 19 think some others as well.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. Don't hold me to that.
- But he covers a huge number of
- 23 pharmacies and customers, right?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. As do all your distribution
- 2 centers, because you have -- I mean, at least
- 3 according to your presentation -- about 27 to
- 4 cover the entire country, correct, at least on
- 5 the pharmaceutical side?
- 6 A. Yeah. A little interesting tidbit
- 7 for you is that we located them in places so
- 8 that we would not have anybody further out than
- 9 six hours from a distribution center, and then
- 10 we had overlaps that we insured our customers
- 11 were supplied every day even if we had to
- 12 expedite an order to them so they had the
- 13 pharmaceuticals to serve the patients that are
- in that drugstore to get their drug -- needed
- 15 drugs the next day.
- 16 O. So --
- 17 A. So that's the reason for the
- 18 number of distribution centers.
- 19 O. So -- and let me make sure I'm
- 20 understanding. So you strategically placed your
- 21 distribution centers so you could reach any
- location in the country within six hours
- 23 driving?
- A. That was the desired approach. We

- 1 didn't accomplish that to 100 percent, but
- 2 pretty much we were in that -- we were in that
- 3 performance pattern.
- 4 Q. So let's go back to 4765,
- 5 Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 8, that you have in
- 6 your stack there, Mr. Hartman. You can keep
- 7 your presentation out because we're going to go
- 8 back and forth from that.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. If you'll turn to page 15 of that,
- 11 again, the upper right.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. Page 15.
- 14 And this, again, is that
- presentation that we looked at earlier, and we
- were back on page 64, talking about the staffing
- 17 issues related to regulatory.
- 18 You recall that earlier?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 0. It's the same document. It's just
- 21 an earlier page. Okay?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And if you look, it says, "Fiscal
- 24 Year 2005 Current State and Estimated Regulatory

```
Training Costs."
 1
 2
                   Do you see that there?
 3
            A.
                  Yes.
 4
            Q. And "PTS."
 5
                   What is "PTS"?
                   I can describe it. I can't
 6
            Α.
 7
    remember -- I can't -- I cannot remember the
 8
    acronym.
               Fair enough. Let's just roll
 9
            Ο.
10
    through this real quick.
11
                   "PTS - Inconsistent training
12
    across sites."
13
                  Do you see that there?
14
            A.
                   I see that.
15
                   Then it goes through the next one,
            0.
16
    "MPS/PD - Redundant training across sites; high
    potential for resource sharing."
17
18
                   We want to be as economical as we
19
    can with our resources, don't we?
20
            Α.
                   Oh, yes. Yeah.
21
                   Then we go down to the next slide
            0.
22
    at the bottom of the page, and it says, "Current
23
    State - Problem/Issues."
24
                   It says, "Lack of corporate."
```

- 1 Do you see that there?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Read that to us, if you don't
- 4 mind, Mr. Hartman.
- 5 A. "Lack of corporate sponsorship for
- 6 training; authority (if there is any real
- 7 accountability) rests at individual sites in
- 8 most cases; in most cases no repercussions for
- 9 problems in training (not showing up; not
- 10 completing; failing testing)."
- 11 Q. And what does the next line read?
- 12 A. "Training process is non-existent
- in some sites."
- Q. And if we're talking about
- 15 regulatory, regulatory compliance, we need to
- 16 make sure, throughout the entire company, all
- 40,000-plus employees are properly trained on
- their obligations in that regard, don't we?
- 19 A. That's the objective.
- Q. And it wouldn't be acceptable if
- 21 we had non-existent training at some of our
- 22 sites, would it?
- A. That's why we have reviews and
- then we put together plans to go address that.

- 1 Q. If you read, "Numerous
- 2 483 references." Read that for us.
- 3 A. "Numerous 483 references to
- 4 insufficient training (one of the root causes or
- 5 symptom of causes: Staff not trained; trainers
- 6 not qualified, effectiveness was not measured;
- 7 training not provided in any timely manner;
- 8 curriculum not developed or no minimum training
- 9 requirements.)"
- 10 Q. If that was the case -- and during
- 11 2005, you weren't involved in regulatory and the
- 12 training for regulatory, were you?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. If that was the case, that would
- 15 be concerning, would it not?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 17 Speculation.
- 18 A. Again, this is a comment in a
- 19 review that we would have to understand what the
- 20 comment is directed at. I don't know what
- 21 particular -- as you can see, there's four big
- 22 divisions there. I don't know what the
- 23 reference is to, where these comments are
- referenced to, what division or which part of

```
the company.
 1
 2
             Ο.
                   Sure.
 3
                   But if it's going on in any part
    of the company, it's not acceptable, you would
 4
 5
    agree?
 6
                   Yes, I would.
             Α.
 7
                   Then it goes --
             Ο.
 8
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
 9
             Ο.
                   Then it reads, "Redundant training
     across most segments with little or no resource
10
11
     sharing."
12
                   Do you see that?
13
             Α.
                   Yes.
14
                   Now, we talked about pickers, for
             Q.
15
     example. Even with pickers, we've got to make
16
     sure they're properly trained as it relates to
17
    regulatory compliance, don't we?
18
             Α.
                   Yes.
19
                   Pickers, checkers, everybody in
             0.
20
    our distribution centers, it's vitally important
21
    because those are the people sending out the
22
    pills, isn't it?
23
             Α.
                   Yes.
```

And if they're not given the

Q.

24

- 1 resources or the education to do their job, it's
- 2 a recipe for disaster, isn't it?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 4 A. No, I don't agree with that.
- 5 The --
- 6 O. So it's okay if we don't give
- 7 them --
- A. Well, they're picking products off
- 9 of shelves based on the specific number, a
- 10 location. So at that point, then, that picker
- 11 turns it over to a checker, so that to your
- 12 point, if we did make a mistake, if we did pick
- 13 the wrong product, if we picked too many, we had
- 14 a checking station that was very accurate to
- 15 make sure that we got the right products and the
- 16 right quantities going to the right place.
- 17 Q. Well, you say the picker is
- 18 picking by numbers -- or by, I guess, SKU maybe,
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. So is a checker checking by SKU?
- 22 A. When I was there, the way our
- 23 checking system was -- worked, was automated.
- 24 The tote would come --

- 1 Q. It was done by computer, is what
- 2 you're telling me?
- 3 A. It was a -- yes.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. It was a somewhat manual yet
- 6 automated check process. So I don't think it's
- 7 confidential anymore. We held it highly
- 8 confidential for a long time. But we would have
- 9 a picking route which we knew was the fastest
- 10 and best way for a human being to move through a
- 11 distribution center section, not the whole
- 12 thing. And they would have an order and they
- would have a cart with many totes, and they
- would actually go to the specific location.
- 15 Early on, it was on paper. Later on, it's
- 16 automated.
- 17 Q. Computerized somehow?
- 18 A. Computerized.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. And those products would then go
- 21 into the totes, which are for specific
- 22 customers.
- Q. Each tote is for a specific
- 24 customer, is what you're saying?

- 1 A. Each tote, as I recall. I don't
- 2 know if they changed process on me or -- but
- 3 each tote. So then the tote would serpentine
- 4 through fast, efficient, get the right products
- 5 to the right customer, would go to a checker
- 6 station.
- 7 Those checkers would either dump
- 8 the products out on a table or out of the tote,
- 9 scan every one, and the device would actually
- 10 tell them if it was a wrong item for that order.
- 11 O. Got it. It would make sure that
- 12 they had picked whatever was ordered, whatever
- 13 the item was?
- 14 A. Our job was to get the exact right
- 15 products and the exact quantities to the
- 16 pharmacy. They needed them for the next day for
- 17 those patients and customers coming in. So,
- 18 yes, we were -- we were pretty anal about that.
- 19 Q. But, again, we need to make sure
- 20 pickers and checkers are properly trained so
- 21 they can perform their functions?
- 22 A. Well, of course. But in the
- 23 spirit of that, in the distribution center,
- 24 mistakes are going to get made. We -- I think

```
we've even commented on that.
 1
 2
                   So pickers were in doing their job
    and the checking station -- while we had trained
    people, the device, the automation, was the
 5
    thing that assured us the right products and the
    right quantities were getting into the right
 6
 7
    totes for the right customers.
 8
                  Fair enough. Fair enough.
             Q.
 9
                   So let's continue this issue of
    leaks.
10
11
                   MR. FULLER: I need 4444, please.
12
13
         (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 14 marked.)
14
15
    BY MR. FULLER:
16
             Q. Mr. Hartman, if you look at 4444,
    it's an e-mail with an attachment. I believe if
17
18
    you go to the third page, it indicates this is
19
    July 27, 2006.
20
                   Do you see that?
21
                  You said third page?
            Α.
22
                  Yes, sir. I'm sorry.
             Q.
23
                  Yes. July 27, '06.
             Α.
24
                   And it says "confidential" up at
             Q.
```

- 1 the top?
- 2 A. Yes. I see that.
- 3 Q. This is supposed to be secret,
- 4 right?
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 Q. Somebody indicated it was supposed
- 7 to be confidential?
- A. I think your term "secret" is a
- 9 wholly different -- you know, I think you
- 10 commented on my military background. Secret is
- 11 a completely different category.
- 12 Q. Confidential clearance versus
- 13 confidential clearance?
- A. Confidential -- well,
- 15 "confidential" just means that we keep it
- in-house to our -- whoever needs to see it. It
- would be, 1 guess, my descriptions, I don't
- 18 recall. I don't know. But that's how I saw
- 19 confidential. You just don't leave them around.
- You don't hand them out to everybody. It's who
- 21 needs to see it.
- Q. Fair enough.
- 23 And this is regulatory compliance
- 24 review, June 2006, pharmaceutical distribution,

- 1 Birmingham, Alabama, right?
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. You guys had a distribution
- 4 center, or do have, in Birmingham, Alabama,
- 5 right?
- A. Yes, we do.
- 7 Q. And if you'll turn to the section
- 8 on "Significant Issues" on page 4.
- 9 Do you have that in front of you?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Have you seen these type of
- 12 reports before? I would assume in your
- 13 regulatory department you would review these
- 14 regularly?
- 15 A. And in my early career jobs on the
- 16 pharma side, I certainly saw them.
- 17 Q. Fair enough.
- "Significant Issues, DEA." And go
- down to where it says "There is no system."
- 20 Do you see that?
- 21 "There is no system to determine
- 22 excessive or suspicious ordering by customers of
- 23 controlled substance products."
- A. Yes, I see it.

- 1 Q. That's not a good regulatory
- 2 system, is it?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 4 A. Again, you have these kind of
- 5 audits in order to put together plans and
- 6 execute against them to improve systems and
- 7 processes.
- 8 Q. Absolutely. Absolutely.
- 9 This was an ongoing process at
- 10 Cardinal, right?
- 11 A. What?
- 12 Q. This sort of audit review system.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. It wasn't something new in 2006?
- 15 A. I don't think so. I think this
- 16 was an ongoing process that we exercised.
- 17 Q. So for some period of time,
- 18 unbeknownst to us sitting here today, the
- 19 Birmingham, Alabama, facility had no system to
- 20 determine excessive or suspicious orders of
- 21 controlled substances.
- 22 Can you and I agree, Mr. Hartman,
- that that would mean that the Birmingham, as a
- registrant, would be breaking the law based on

```
1
    the regulations we read earlier?
 2
                  MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
 3
            for a legal conclusion.
 4
            Α.
                  No.
                  It wouldn't be breaking the law?
 5
            Q.
 6
            A. No.
 7
            0.
                  Why not? Strike that.
 8
                  MR. PYSER: You asked the
 9
            question.
10
                  MR. FULLER: And I struck it.
11
                  MR. PYSER: He can answer the
12
            question.
13
                  MR. FULLER: No, he can't. I
14
            struck the question.
15
                   MR. PYSER: If you want to answer
16
            the question, go ahead.
17
                  MR. FULLER: No, I struck the
18
            question.
19
                  The reality is that, exactly as I
            Α.
    told you in the prior systems, we were operating
20
21
    in a manner that we believed we were conducting
22
    ourselves as the DEA required us to, which
23
    meant --
24
            Q. Well, you already told us --
```

- 1 A. Headquarters was providing reports
- 2 to the DEA on a monthly basis that we were
- 3 required to report. And the DEA agreed with
- 4 that. They told us we were doing a good job.
- 5 That's what I know about the prior system. I
- 6 don't know a lot about it. Others will be able
- 7 to tell you much more, but that's what I know.
- 8 Q. So, Mr. Hartman, you testified
- 9 earlier that the regulation required -- the
- 10 regulation and statute required three things.
- 11 One, to operate a suspicious order monitoring
- 12 system, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. This says Birmingham, as a
- 15 registrant, has no suspicious ordering system,
- 16 doesn't it? I mean, isn't that what it says
- 17 here?
- 18 A. That's what the document --
- Q. No system?
- 20 A. -- says.
- Q. So that means they're breaking the
- 22 law.
- 23 A. I don't know that -- I don't know
- 24 if that -- if that included or didn't include

- 1 the actions on the part of the headquarters for
- 2 that facility.
- Q. We're going by what this document
- 4 says, because it doesn't say --
- 5 A. I see what it says, and I'm
- 6 responding to the fact that I don't know. I
- 7 wasn't there. I'm just giving you my comment.
- Q. Sure.
- 9 And it says "no system," right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 11 A. It says "no system."
- 12 Q. And the law requires you to have a
- 13 suspicious order monitoring system, doesn't it?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form. Calls
- for a legal conclusion.
- 16 O. Yes or no?
- 17 A. The code calls for us to operate
- 18 and maintain a suspicious order monitoring
- 19 system.
- 20 O. Fair enough.
- So let's go to page 7.
- You see "Controlled Substance
- 23 Order Filling" --
- A. Yes. I see it.

- 1 Q. -- at the bottom of the page?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. It says there "Observation." Read
- 4 the observation to us, Mr. Hartman.
- 5 A. "There is no system in place to
- 6 determine excessive purchasing of controlled
- 7 substance products."
- 8 O. And what is the Corrective Action?
- 9 It's going to "Create a system to determine
- 10 excessive purchasing by customers of controlled
- 11 substance products and report any excessive
- 12 purchases to the DEA on a monthly basis, "right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. That's the same system you said
- 15 was being done out of corporate?
- 16 A. Well --
- 17 Q. Isn't it?
- 18 A. -- what I understand is the
- 19 corporate system rolled up the information from
- 20 each of the field sites in order to put the
- 21 report together, which then went to DEA, which
- 22 provided the information around the reports that
- were required at the time. That's how the
- 24 system, I believe, worked.

- Q. Well, this says that they weren't even reporting the monthly basis. And it says,
  - 3 "Post charts of products and dosage limits in
  - 4 the crates and vaults."
  - 5 Part of the corrective action,
  - 6 right?
  - 7 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
  - Q. Is that what it says, Mr. Hartman?
  - 9 A. You said something about crates.
- 10 Q. I'm sorry. Cage and vault.
- 11 A. Oh. I'm sorry.
- Okay. "Post charts of products
- and dosage limits in the cage/vault."
- Q. And then, "Remind vault personnel
- they should be policing and identifying
- 16 individual orders that appear excessive in
- 17 relation to what other customers are buying
- 18 and/or customer purchase history."
- Isn't that what it says?
- 20 A. I see it.
- Q. And that was a policy that
- 22 Cardinal had across the board, isn't it?
- A. To my understanding, it was.
- Q. And those are the pickers and the

checkers, aren't they? 1 2 Who picks the products, Mr. Hartman? 3 4 Α. See, I --5 MR. PYSER: Object to form. 6 Α. Yeah. So part of this is the 7 other side of the team, too. So I don't know 8 what they're referring to here, if it's 9 everybody or if it's the receiving team is the day team as well. I wasn't there. I don't 10 11 know. 12 Q. And then it says, "When a narcotic order appears excessive, have a supervisor 13 14 approve the DEA Form 222 before it's filled." 15 Isn't that what it says? 16 Α. That's what it says. 17 It doesn't say report it, does it? Q. 18 Does it say report it there? 19 Α. It does not say report. 20 It says "have a supervisor approve 0. 21 the 222 form before it's filled." 22 MR. PYSER: Object to form. Asked 23 and answered.

Q.

Right?

24

```
That's what it says.
 1
             Α.
 2
             Q. Okay.
 3
                   MR. FULLER: Give me 4722.
             Actually, give me 4631.
 4
 5
             Α.
                   Now, I suspect the context of that
 6
     last question is to have a supervisor approve so
 7
    that they can review the order.
8
                   MR. FULLER: Go ahead and give me
             4722 and have her pull that. Thanks.
9
10
                   MS. QUEZON: What exhibit number
11
             are you on?
12
                   MR. FULLER: This is 15. It's
13
             going to be Plaintiff's 15.
14
15
         (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 15 marked.)
16
    BY MR. FULLER:
17
18
             Q. Mr. Harman -- excuse me --
19
    Hartman. You have number 4722, which is now
    Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 for purposes of this
20
21
    deposition.
22
                   This appears to be an e-mail; is
23
    that right?
24
             Α.
                   Yes.
```

```
From a Bob Kurtz. Do you know
 1
             Ο.
    Bob Kurtz from down in Florida?
 2
 3
             Α.
                   It doesn't ring a bell.
                   To Rafael Varela?
 4
             Ο.
                   I don't remember.
 5
             A.
                   And this is dated what?
 6
             0.
 7
                   December 5, 2007.
             Α.
 8
                   Okay. And if you'll go down to
             Q.
 9
     the third paragraph/line there. It starts "The
10
    manual process."
11
                   Do you see that?
12
             Α.
                   Yes.
13
                   It says, "The manual process we
             Q.
14
    perform now with the discovery of suspected
15
     excessive purchase being left up to the keyer
16
    notifying myself or a picker/double checker/QCer
    questioning the amount being purchased -- or
17
18
    excuse me -- processed seems to leave ample
19
     opportunity to failure -- excuse me -- for
20
     failure."
21
                   Did I read that right?
22
             Α.
                   Yes.
23
             Ο.
                   So the process that we've already
24
     talked about, at least according to this
```

```
employee of Cardinal's, leaves --
 1
 2
                   MR. FULLER: And underline that
 3
             for me, if you would --
                   -- "leave ample opportunity for
 4
     failure."
 5
 6
                   Then it goes on to say, "A system
 7
     generated flag would be more complete or
 8
     thorough method of determining spikes or
 9
     excessive quantities that we want -- excuse
    me -- than what we are currently performing."
10
11
                   Do you see that there?
12
             Α.
                   Yes.
13
                   And it says, "As you know, I've
             Q.
14
     investigated many accounts. I track their
15
     ordering history and have reached out for
16
    guidance and directions. But without 'someone'
    bringing a suspected excessive quantity order to
17
    our attention, many, many more could be going
18
19
     out the door" -- what does it say?
20
                   "Under our noses."
             Α.
21
                   MR. FULLER: If you'll underline
22
             that.
23
    BY MR. FULLER:
24
                   "Going out the door under our
             0.
```

- 1 noses."
- 2 This is coming from somebody
- 3 that's working in Lakeland during the time that
- 4 Lakeland got its license suspended, right?
- 5 That's this time frame, isn't it?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And they have concerns about,
- 8 apparently, the system that was in place back
- 9 then relying on pickers and checkers. That's
- 10 what their voicing, correct?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 12 Misstates evidence.
- 13 A. It looks like he's calling out
- 14 some inefficiencies that he felt needed to be
- 15 worked on.
- 16 Q. Now, you know that the policy and
- 17 procedure relied on pickers and checkers to
- 18 identify excessive orders in the distribution
- 19 centers, correct?
- 20 A. That's my recollection, yes.
- Q. Now, so these pickers and
- 22 checkers, these people that are policing our
- 23 excessive orders in the distribution centers, do
- 24 you know what kind of qualifications that these

- 1 pickers would have to have, these people that we
- 2 have policing our highly dangerous controlled
- 3 substances? What kind of -- I mean, do they
- 4 have DEA backgrounds; do you know?
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- A. Not that I'm aware of, unless
- 7 somebody just hired in that happened to have
- 8 that.
- 9 Q. Do you know if they have any sort
- of law enforcement experience?
- 11 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 12 Q. Do you know if they have any sort
- of diversion experience?
- 14 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 15 Q. And these are the -- at least part
- of the system that Cardinal was relying on on
- 17 these pickers is policemen, basically, to pick
- 18 up on excessive orders, at least according to
- 19 this person.
- MR. FULLER: Let's go to 3879.
- 21 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 22 - -
- 23 (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 17 marked.)
- 24 - -

BY MR. FULLER: 1 2 3879, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 16 --3 4 MS. SHIVERS: 17. 5 Q. -- 17, is Cardinal Health job description. 6 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Yes. 9 Cage and vault clerk. These are our pickers for the cage and vault; is that 10 right, Mr. Hartman? 11 12 The vault is where we store our controlled IIs, right? 13 14 Cage and vault, yes. Α. 15 Schedule IIs, our oxycodones, our 0. 16 hydrocodones, all the opioids are going to be 17 stored in there, correct? 18 Α. That's right, yes. 19 This is our cage and vault clerk. 0. This is our policeman for excessive orders. 20 21 "Summary: Responsible for restocking shelving 22 and/or case lines according to stock advisory

information in an accurate and effective manner

in order to avoid shortages or mispick of any

Golkow Litigation Services

23

24

- 1 product according to the laws and regulations
- 2 for handling controlled substances."
- And let's go down to the bottom
- 4 under "Education and/or Experience" and see what
- 5 Cardinal Health required for these policemen.
- 6 Tell the jury what Cardinal's
- 7 requirements were to be a policeman for the
- 8 controlled substances or for people who are
- 9 supposed to be policing excessive orders at all
- 10 the distribution centers across the country.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 12 A. "Qualifications. To perform this
- job successfully, an individual must be able to
- 14 perform each essential duty satisfactorily. The
- 15 requirement listed below are representative of
- 16 knowledge, skill, and/or ability required. The
- 17 reasonable accommodations may be made to enable
- individuals with disabilities to perform the
- 19 essential functions."
- 20 O. And what's the education and
- 21 experience requirement that Cardinal Health has
- 22 for these employees?
- A. High school diploma or equivalent,
- 24 warehousing or distribution experience

- 1 preferred.
- 2 Q. A high school diploma or
- 3 equivalent. No special training on diversion,
- 4 at least according to this, right?
- 5 A. This is an old logo. I can't even
- 6 imagine the time frame this was from. This is
- 7 pretty far back. So I have no idea, first of
- 8 all, if this is what exists today or later.
- 9 I -- this is an old -- this is a very old
- 10 document.
- 11 Q. Mr. Hartman, it was part of what
- 12 the lawyers and Cardinal produced to us in this
- 13 regard. This is what I have to go off of.
- A. So there's not a time frame on
- 15 this?
- 16 Q. It was produced in 2007 to the
- 17 DEA. You can tell that by the Bates number.
- 18 MR. PYSER: If we're going to be
- interpreting that, that means it's from
- sometime before 2007.
- Q. So, Mr. Hartman, again, there's no
- 22 regulatory training or education required for
- 23 this position, right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.

- 1 A. Not according to this document.
- 2 O. There's no certification
- 3 requirement for this individual, correct?
- 4 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 5 A. Certification in regards to --
- 6 Q. Anti-diversion, supply chain
- 7 integrity, anything. It's a high school --
- 8 A. I don't know that. I wasn't -- I
- 9 wasn't there. I don't know what qualifications
- 10 they then interpreted once they got the
- 11 candidates in the house to determine who was
- 12 going to be working in those roles.
- 13 Q. What is the educational experience
- 14 requirement, Mr. Hartman?
- 15 A. And in that cage/vault area, if I
- 16 recall correctly, we always -- we always looked
- 17 for our experienced and best people there. So
- 18 you would post the job description, so that was
- 19 not necessarily for external candidates alone.
- 20 That was for internal candidates who had worked
- in the distribution center perhaps for periods
- 22 of time or long periods of time.
- MR. FULLER: Well, I -- okay.
- Let's go to Baranski 1, video, please.

1 (Video played.) 2 "Q. Now, when we're going 3 to pick up a product 4 and let's deal with the 5 controls. 6 "A. Sure. 7 "Q. If you have and I 8 guess this depends on how 9 you get the order. If I'm 10 going to pick up the same 11 substances both in a brand 12 name and in a generic, how 13 do I know which to grab if 14 I'm the picker? 15 "A. The unit tells them 16 what location to go to. 17 "Q. And would the order 18 have specified whether it 19 was picking up a generic 20 you know, something made by 21 a particular manufacturer 22 versus a generic? 23 "A. We teach our people to 24 pick from location to tote.	- 1		
to pick up a product  4 and let's deal with the  5 controls.  6 "A. Sure.  7 "Q. If you have and I  8 guess this depends on how  9 you get the order. If I'm  10 going to pick up the same  11 substances both in a brand  12 name and in a generic, how  13 do I know which to grab if  14 I'm the picker?  15 "A. The unit tells them  16 what location to go to.  17 "Q. And would the order  18 have specified whether it  19 was picking up a generic  20 you know, something made by  21 a particular manufacturer  22 versus a generic?  23 "A. We teach our people to		1	(Video played.)
and let's deal with the  controls.  "A. Sure.  "Q. If you have and I  guess this depends on how  you get the order. If I'm  going to pick up the same  substances both in a brand  name and in a generic, how  do I know which to grab if  I'm the picker?  "A. The unit tells them  what location to go to.  "Q. And would the order  have specified whether it  was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		2	"Q. Now, when we're going
5 controls. 6 "A. Sure. 7 "Q. If you have and I 8 guess this depends on how 9 you get the order. If I'm 10 going to pick up the same 11 substances both in a brand 12 name and in a generic, how 13 do I know which to grab if 14 I'm the picker? 15 "A. The unit tells them 16 what location to go to. 17 "Q. And would the order 18 have specified whether it 19 was picking up a generic 20 you know, something made by 21 a particular manufacturer 22 versus a generic? 23 "A. We teach our people to		3	to pick up a product
"A. Sure.  "Q. If you have and I guess this depends on how you get the order. If I'm going to pick up the same substances both in a brand name and in a generic, how do I know which to grab if I'm the picker?  "A. The unit tells them what location to go to. "Q. And would the order have specified whether it was picking up a generic you know, something made by a particular manufacturer versus a generic? "A. We teach our people to		4	and let's deal with the
7 "Q. If you have and I 8 guess this depends on how 9 you get the order. If I'm 10 going to pick up the same 11 substances both in a brand 12 name and in a generic, how 13 do I know which to grab if 14 I'm the picker? 15 "A. The unit tells them 16 what location to go to. 17 "Q. And would the order 18 have specified whether it 19 was picking up a generic 20 you know, something made by 21 a particular manufacturer 22 versus a generic? 23 "A. We teach our people to		5	controls.
guess this depends on how  you get the order. If I'm  going to pick up the same  substances both in a brand  name and in a generic, how  do I know which to grab if  I'm the picker?  "A. The unit tells them  what location to go to.  "Q. And would the order  have specified whether it  was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		6	"A. Sure.
you get the order. If I'm  going to pick up the same  substances both in a brand  name and in a generic, how  do I know which to grab if  I'm the picker?  "A. The unit tells them  what location to go to.  "Q. And would the order  have specified whether it  was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		7	"Q. If you have and I
going to pick up the same  substances both in a brand  name and in a generic, how  do I know which to grab if  I'm the picker?  "A. The unit tells them  what location to go to.  "Q. And would the order  have specified whether it  was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		8	guess this depends on how
substances both in a brand name and in a generic, how do I know which to grab if I'm the picker?  "A. The unit tells them what location to go to. "Q. And would the order have specified whether it was picking up a generic you know, something made by a particular manufacturer versus a generic? "A. We teach our people to		9	you get the order. If I'm
name and in a generic, how  do I know which to grab if  I'm the picker?  "A. The unit tells them  what location to go to.  "Q. And would the order  have specified whether it  was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		10	going to pick up the same
do I know which to grab if  I'm the picker?  "A. The unit tells them  what location to go to.  "Q. And would the order  have specified whether it  was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		11	substances both in a brand
I'm the picker?  "A. The unit tells them  what location to go to.  "Q. And would the order  have specified whether it  was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		12	name and in a generic, how
"A. The unit tells them  what location to go to.  "Q. And would the order  have specified whether it  was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		13	do I know which to grab if
what location to go to.  "Q. And would the order  have specified whether it  was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		14	I'm the picker?
"Q. And would the order  have specified whether it  was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		15	"A. The unit tells them
have specified whether it  was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		16	what location to go to.
was picking up a generic  you know, something made by  a particular manufacturer  versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		17	"Q. And would the order
you know, something made by a particular manufacturer versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		18	have specified whether it
21 a particular manufacturer 22 versus a generic? 23 "A. We teach our people to		19	was picking up a generic
versus a generic?  "A. We teach our people to		20	you know, something made by
"A. We teach our people to		21	a particular manufacturer
		22	versus a generic?
24 pick from location to tote.		23	"A. We teach our people to
		24	pick from location to tote.

```
1
                   I don't even care if they
 2
                   know the product. I want
 3
                   them to know the location
 4
                   to tote."
 5
                   (Video stopped.)
                   MR. PYSER: Objection to form.
 6
 7
             Vague as to time frame.
 8
    BY MR. FULLER:
 9
             Q. He doesn't even care if they know
    the product. "Location to tote." That's the
10
11
     individual running the Wheeling distribution
    center for about a decade.
12
13
                   If a picker doesn't even know the
14
    product, it makes it very hard to point out
15
    excessive orders, doesn't it?
16
                   MR. PYSER: Objection to form.
             Vague as to time frame.
17
18
                   MR. FULLER: Counsel, I'm going to
19
             ask you one more time, please stop the
20
             speaking objections. You're continuing
21
             to do it, and you're trying to direct
22
             the witness.
23
                   MR. PYSER: I'm not trying to
24
             direct the witness.
```

1	MR. FULLER: And I've had enough
2	of it. If it happens again, I will
3	suspend. Just letting you know. You
4	know, I was very polite at first. I
5	then cited the depo protocol, and then
6	you continue to not comply with the
7	requirements.
8	MR. PYSER: I disagree with what
9	you're saying.
10	MR. FULLER: Just state objection
11	to form.
12	MR. PYSER: If you want to explain
13	why I disagree
14	MR. FULLER: I don't need your
15	explanations.
16	MR. PYSER: I'm happy to have
17	Mr. Hartman leave the room and explain
18	to you why I think it's appropriate.
19	MR. FULLER: I don't need your
20	explanations. I don't need your
21	explanation. If you want to cross the
22	witness on any of this, as you know, you
23	are more than welcome to do it. If you
24	want if you think I'm misrepresenting

```
something, that's your opportunity to
 1
 2
             clean it up.
                   MR. PYSER: If you don't want the
 3
             explanation as to why what you're doing
 4
             is misleading, that's fine. Continue.
 5
 6
                   MR. FULLER: I absolutely don't
 7
             care. You're right. Don't.
 8
    BY MR. FULLER:
 9
             Q. Mr. Hartman, you saw
10
    Mr. Baranski's testimony. He doesn't even care
     if the pickers know what they're picking,
11
    according to what he said, correct?
12
13
                   MR. PYSER: Same objections.
14
                   MR. FULLER: Strike that.
15
            0.
                  Let me ask it differently.
16
                   You have to know what you're
    picking to be able to pick up on excessive
17
    orders, right?
18
19
                   MR. PYSER: Same objection.
20
                   I don't agree with that. Systems
             Α.
21
    have been put in place now.
22
                  Now, or back then?
23
                   I don't know the time frame we're
24
     talking about. I --
```

- 1 Q. Okay. So let's back up and let's
- 2 clarify that.
- 3 So prior to 2007, pickers need to
- 4 know what they're picking to be able to spot
- 5 excessive orders, correct?
- 6 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 7 A. Prior to my role in the regulatory
- 8 group is what you're asking me?
- 9 Q. Yes, sir.
- 10 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 11 A. It would depend on if we had
- 12 systems in place to actually identify if they
- 13 were wrong or excessive orders, and I don't know
- 14 that.
- Q. We saw the e-mail --
- MR. FULLER: Bring back up for him
- 4722, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 15.
- 18 BY MR. FULLER:
- 19 Q. An e-mail says an employee is
- 20 telling Cardinal that they're afraid -- third
- 21 paragraph -- fourth paragraph down, "Many, many
- 22 more could be going out the door under our
- 23 noses."
- 24 These are people at your

- 1 distribution centers with concerns about the way
- 2 the system is operating. You get that, right?
- 3 Do you understand that that's a
- 4 concern that this individual has and he's trying
- 5 to bring it to someone's attention?
- 6 A. The question is?
- 7 Q. Do you get that this person, in
- 8 Exhibit 15, is trying to bring to the attention
- 9 of someone that there's problems with the
- 10 system, that --
- 11 A. That could exist.
- 12 Q. -- many, many, more pills could be
- 13 going out right underneath our own noses?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 15 A. That could exist.
- 16 O. Yes. And Florida's license was
- 17 suspended at this time because of failure to
- 18 comply with suspicious order reporting
- 19 requirements, right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 21 A. During this time frame, that's
- 22 correct.
- Q. Clearly, it couldn't exist or
- 24 maybe could exist. It did exist?

```
1 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
```

- Q. Do you not find any problems with
- 3 a system that relies on a high school-educated
- 4 individual being the policeman for Cardinal
- 5 related to excessive orders?
- 6 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 7 A. So you're asking me if I don't
- 8 think a high school graduate can look at an
- 9 order and pick the product as they're directed?
- 10 I don't agree with that, that a high school
- 11 graduate can't do that job.
- 12 Q. That is not the question. If you
- 13 would listen to the question, Mr. Hartman.
- 14 We've been going through this all day long.
- 15 Listen to the question and answer the question I
- 16 ask and not the one you want to answer. Okay?
- My question is, this e-mail isn't
- 18 complaining about high school graduates picking,
- 19 is it? Look at 4722, Exhibit 15. Are they
- 20 complaining and raising a concern about pickers
- 21 not being able to pick?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. Yes or no, Mr. Hartman.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.

- 1 A. It appears he's calling out some
- 2 situations and issues that he sees in his
- distribution center that he's looking, again, to
- 4 get some program and some strategies in place to
- 5 resolve.
- 6 O. And his concern is with the
- 7 pickers being the policemen to identify
- 8 excessive orders.
- 9 Let's read what he says. He says,
- 10 "The manual process we perform now with the
- 11 discovery of suspected excessive purchases being
- 12 left up to keyer notifying me or a picker or
- 13 double-checker or QCer questioning the amount of
- 14 the process seems to leave ample opportunity to
- 15 failure."
- Right? Is that how it reads?
- 17 A. It appears that he's calling out,
- 18 as an individual, a person -- a issue that he
- 19 sees of multiple people involved, and he's
- 20 saying that presents a problem. I don't know if
- 21 it is or isn't.
- Q. Well, we know --
- A. And I, quite frankly, don't think
- that we should stop from hiring a high school

- 1 diplomaed employee to do jobs in our
- 2 distribution centers.
- Q. Absolutely agree with you.
- 4 What I think we should do, is we
- 5 should have sufficient systems to spot
- 6 suspicious orders so we comply with the law,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. We should have --
- 9 Q. Right? Should we have sufficient
- 10 systems to spot suspicious orders?
- 11 A. I wasn't there at the time to
- 12 comment on this.
- Q. No, no. I'm not asking if you
- 14 were there at the time. I'm asking you if it"s
- 15 your understanding that we should have
- 16 sufficient systems to spot suspicious orders;
- 17 yes or no?
- 18 A. As I got into role --
- 19 O. Yes or no?
- 20 A. -- we put a system together to
- 21 enhance our program to involve not only
- 22 analytics and more IT work, the Know Your
- 23 Customer piece and training, and that's what I
- 24 did.

- 1 Q. So, Mr. Hartman, tell the jury
- 2 whether the law requires Cardinal to have a
- 3 sufficient system to spot suspicious orders --
- 4 suspicious order in place.
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 A. I don't know that that's an exact
- quote, but I think that's the law that we abide
- 8 by.
- 9 Q. And you agree that Cardinal has an
- 10 obligation to prevent diversion the best they
- 11 can, right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 13 A. Cardinal Health has a
- 14 responsibility to identify suspicious orders, to
- monitor those, to have a program in place, to
- 16 make its best evaluation, and if they determine
- 17 a suspicious order, to report that to the DEA so
- 18 the DEA has all the information that we believe
- is relevant to a potential problem.
- 20 O. And is Cardinal still able to ship
- 21 that suspicious order?
- 22 A. Under my time frame, we were not.
- 23 The rules had changed.
- Q. And you believe prior to, you

- 1 could, at least according to what you've been
- 2 told?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 4 Q. Right?
- 5 A. Told my team and I talked about,
- 6 that's the changes I walked into the job that
- 7 they outlined for me.
- Q. And it was your understanding,
- 9 based on what they explained to you, that prior
- 10 to that time, that wasn't a requirement?
- 11 A. The not ship piece is what
- 12 changed.
- 13 Q. Right.
- 14 A. Because we were sending in reports
- 15 that the DEA approved. That was their guidance,
- 16 and Cardinal was sending those in on a monthly
- 17 basis, as they aggregated them, from the
- 18 distribution centers, supplied them to the DEA
- 19 so they had all of the information that we had,
- and they could identify where those problems
- 21 existed. That's what we did, to my recollection
- 22 and knowledge, prior to me going into the role.
- Going into the role, I went
- 24 forward to enhance and improve our system;

- 1 better analytics, a better IT system for us to
- work with, an opportunity for us to expand on
- 3 Know Your Colleagues -- Know Your Customer, the
- 4 training for that, as well as I expanded the
- 5 team and investigators.
- 6 Q. And my question was a simple one.
- 7 You say that that's the part that changed, and
- 8 you're referring to the shipping requirement,
- 9 right, or the not shipping requirement?
- 10 A. How I recall it in that time
- 11 period.
- 12 Q. Right. And so it's your
- 13 understanding that prior to that change, you
- 14 could ship a suspicious order, correct, or do
- 15 you know?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 17 A. Sorry.
- MR. PYSER: Go ahead.
- 19 A. The only part that I'm aware of --
- or I think what was going on is that our team
- 21 felt they were abiding by the DEA guidelines,
- 22 which meant that they aggregated data on a
- 23 monthly basis. So when you asked me, "Can you
- 24 ship a suspicious order?" the reality is, is

- 1 that the orders were already out of the
- 2 distribution center as the reports went into the
- 3 DEA.
- 4 That's what I recall the team
- 5 telling me about how it was operating, and I
- 6 come into role and I put down some pretty strong
- 7 measures for us to take the DEA's guidance and
- 8 to move forward.
- 9 Q. And let me just make sure I
- 10 understand. That's because the orders were
- 11 shipped the next day after the order was made,
- 12 generally speaking, right?
- 13 A. Generally, that's right.
- Q. And as you described earlier, it
- was to be able to get the medications, whatever
- it may be, to the drugstore as quickly as
- 17 possible to keep down inventory and all that
- 18 kind of stuff that we talked about earlier,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. This is an efficient supply chain.
- 21 We're trying to take costs out of the system,
- 22 certainly for -- for the pharmacies who, if --
- 23 before these supply chains were operated in that
- 24 capacity, they were getting deliveries maybe one

- 1 or two times a week. You had to carry a lot
- 2 more inventory, much higher costs.
- Q. Sure.
- 4 A. Costs are passed on.
- 5 Q. Sure.
- 6 A. So you work hard to get a very
- 7 efficient system in place.
- 8 Q. So the way the system was working
- 9 when you came in, is that the suspicious orders
- 10 reports, this monthly reporting was done at the
- 11 end of the month, or, I'm assuming, the
- 12 beginning of the next month, whenever it was
- 13 compiled, right?
- 14 A. That's what I -- that's how I
- 15 recall it being described to me. Again, brief
- 16 detail. As I told you earlier, I didn't spend a
- 17 lot of time trying to go backwards.
- 18 Q. You were looking forward?
- 19 A. I put a stake in the ground to go
- 20 forward. What is the DEA requiring us? We
- 21 tried to call them. We tried to talk to them.
- 22 We tried to understand, what is it we need to
- 23 do? It's changed.
- Q. And because the report is not

- 1 going out until the end of the month, all the
- 2 month -- orders made during the month were
- 3 already gone?
- 4 A. That's my understanding. Well,
- 5 the orders were gone, for sure.
- 6 O. Yeah.
- 7 A. My understanding was the report
- 8 went in at the end of the month.
- 9 O. Sure.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Can I ask if we take
- just a really quick break?
- MR. FULLER: Oh, no, no. Fine.
- Absolutely. We've been going a while.
- 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
- 3:35. We are going off the record.
- 16 (Recess taken.)
- 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
- 18 3:55. Back on the record.
- 19 BY MR. FULLER:
- Q. Mr. Hartman, we bounced off your
- 21 presentation, but let's go back to your
- 22 presentation on page 6.
- 23 A. 13?
- MR. PYSER: It's the one with 3892

```
1
            at the top.
 2
                  MS. SHIVERS: 13.
                  Yeah, it's 13. 3892 up at the
 3
            0.
 4
    top.
 5
            A. Okay.
 6
            Q. You got that one?
 7
            Α.
                  Yes.
                  All right. If you look at page 6.
 8
            Q.
9
    Let's continue on.
10
                  You see this where it says we
11
    all -- "We are all responsible"?
12
            A. Oh, at the top?
13
            Q. Yes, sir.
14
            A.
                  I see.
15
                  Hold on. It will blow up on the
            0.
16
    screen in front of you.
17
                  Okay. You see there where it
    says, "We are all responsible"?
18
19
            A.
                  Yes.
20
                  As you mentioned, this was a
            0.
21
    meeting with other individuals in the supply
22
    chain, correct?
23
                  Several industry supply chains,
    not pharmaceutical. All sorts of supply chains
24
```

- 1 in the room. All sorts of participants. I
- 2 don't remember the number, but it was a pretty
- 3 big group.
- 4 Q. Fair enough.
- 5 And at this point you're talking
- 6 about diversion issues, correct?
- 7 A. No, I'm talking about -- in this
- 8 presentation, supply chain integrity, I'm
- 9 talking about all of the ways that I saw that a
- 10 supply chain could get affected by many, many
- 11 different aspects of a supply chain from
- 12 distribution center to in transportation to
- 13 just --
- Q. But it's all forms of diversion,
- 15 right, either stealing, problems with
- 16 delivery --
- 17 A. Oh, no, no, no. No, I was -- no.
- 18 This is much, much broader. This is talking
- 19 about the integrity of the whole supply chain
- 20 from things like, you know, a wrong order going
- 21 to a wrong store. Nothing to do with diversion.
- 22 You know, a keyed script from a -- and they're
- 23 getting a large order of some drug -- you know,
- 24 all of those were what I was talking about in

- 1 the supply chain integrity for this broad
- 2 audience that we need to pay attention to so
- 3 that we don't have gaps and we don't lose
- 4 product. We don't have problems inside of that
- 5 supply chain.
- 6 Q. So you weren't talking about
- 7 diversion at all in this?
- 8 A. One element of diversion was in
- 9 this. That was one comment in -- well, it was
- one of my, if you will, bubbles that I popped up
- 11 as a potential problem in the supply chain.
- 12 Q. Sure. Let's talk about this.
- It says, "We are all responsible."
- 14 And what did you say in the bottom in the notes?
- 15 A. I said, "I don't know about you,
- 16 but this stuff scares me.
- Our kids are obviously
- 20 finding ways to get drugs and in many cases,
- 21 it's coming from illegitimate means."
- Q. Illegitimate means. It's not
- 23 keying something wrong, right?
- A. No, no. It could be coming right

```
out of the cabinet at home.
1
2
                   Due to the glut of pills available
             Ο.
    in the country, right?
4
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
5
             Q.
                   Right? There's over-excessive
6
    pills in the country at this point in time.
    We're in the middle of an opioid epidemic, would
7
8
    you agree?
9
                   See, I have a much -- I
10
    100 percent agree with you on the opioid
11
    epidemic. And my narrative is much broader than
```

- 12 the one you're trying to portray here because
- 13 the FDA approves drugs. The DEA approves the
- 14 ingredients for all of that volume that you're
- 15 talking about. And a licensed doctor prescribes
- 16 them and a licensed pharmacy dispenses them.
- 17 So my narrative for the whole
- time, from when I stepped into role, until 18
- 19 today, remains the same.
- 20 MR. FULLER: So let's play the
- 21 Hartman video, please.
- 22 (Video started.)
- 23 "This yellow one, this
- 24 is my postpartum depression.

1	This one, sciatica, whatever
2	that is. I got these after
3	my hysterectomy or my
4	prostatectomy or some -ectomy.
5	And this guy is for the pain
6	from my last hip replacement.
7	This orange one, it is
8	"Getting drugs can be easy as
9	opening your medicine cabinet."
10	MR. PYSER: Before we continue,
11	Counselor, I'd ask that any video
12	(Video stopped.)
13	MR. FULLER: No, no. Go ahead and
14	finish the video, please.
15	(Video started.)
16	"I've taken maybe four
17	different types of pills.
18	I took Xanax, which was a
19	majority of what the pills
20	were. I took a roxy. I
21	took Percocets.
22	"But once you're in,
23	all the different pills are
24	dumped into a pile or a
1	

1	bowl, something kids call
2	trail mix.
3	"We put it on the table
4	and mix it up and whatever
5	you got is what you got.
6	"And what kids get are
7	fistfuls of pills."
8	"Go on and slip me two
9	Xanax bars. I'm ready to get
10	full. 5th of Crown to wash
11	it down. I'm downtown snapping
12	rules. Ain't no shame up in
13	my game. In fact, I'm mentally
14	deranged. Oxycontin in my
15	system. Man I'm feeling kinda
16	strange.
17	Watch me
18	Go on and slip me two Xanax
19	bars. I'm ready to get full.
20	5th of Crown to wash it down.
21	I'm downtown snapping rules.
22	Ain't no shame up in my game.
23	In fact, I'm mentally deranged.
24	Oxycontin in my system. Man

```
1
                   I'm feeling kinda strange.
 2
                   Watch me choke about this dope.
 3
                   Blueberry from Texas ...
                   (Video stopped.)
 4
 5
    BY MR. FULLER:
 6
                   Mr. Hartman, that video was part
 7
    of your presentation, wasn't it?
 8
                   MR. PYSER: Before we continue,
 9
             Counselor, I'd ask that any exhibit --
10
             any video that's watched during the
             deposition be marked as an exhibit and
11
12
             be provided to all counsel.
13
                   MR. FULLER: That's fine.
14
    BY MR. FULLER:
15
                   I mean, that was part of your
16
    presentation, wasn't it, Mr. Hartman?
17
             Α.
                   Yes.
18
             Q.
                   That was attached to your
    PowerPoint, correct?
19
20
             Α.
                   Yes.
21
                   So if counsel provided the
22
    PowerPoint, he already has the video, right?
23
             A.
                   I don't -- I've no clue.
24
                   Well, that's where we got it.
             Q.
```

I don't know. 1 Α. 2 I got it from him. Ο. 3 Α. I don't know if he's got it or 4 not. And you were concerned. You said 5 Q. 6 this stuff scares you, And it's a 9 reality that with the opioid epidemic, this stuff is available. It is easily accessible 10 11 because of the issues that have presented itself 12 and the availability of these medication across all lines. 13 14 And one of the things that you 15 were striving to do is trying to ensure that 16 Cardinal wasn't contributing to that, correct? 17 MR. PYSER: Object to form. I had a distinct passion around 18 Α. 19 the job I was in. 24 Absolutely. Q.

3 So when you ask me about this job, what I did, why would you even go into that, 5 it's because I have a very distinct passion 6 around it. And that's that side. 14 So I appreciate you asking. But I 15 hope it was in reflection of the fact that I 16 showed those videos in this as one piece of the supply chain for those of us that work in it, 17 that must do everything we can to prevent it and 18 19 stop it. And this is how the kids were getting their drugs in a big way back in those days. I 20 21 showed those videos. 22 Q. Absolutely. 23 And it wasn't the only way kids 24 were getting their drugs. There were legal

- 1 Internet pharmacies with no patient/doctor
- 2 relationships, and those prescriptions were
- 3 being filled, too, weren't they, and that was
- 4 part of the 2007 suspensions?
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 Mr. Hartman, do you need a minute
- before we continue, or are you okay?
- 8 THE WITNESS: I'm fine. I'm good.
- 9 BY MR. FULLER:
- 10 Q. But there were multiple ways --
- 11 A. Yes. There were multiple ways
- 12 that drugs were being diverted into the street,
- into people's hands. Yes, I agree with that.
- Q. And let's start with the basic
- 15 premise that -- we talked earlier about this
- 16 closed system. We wrote out this chain, if you
- 17 will, the integrity supply chain.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. These drugs aren't like meth that
- 20 can be cooked in a trailer. These drugs have to
- 21 come out of this supply chain somewhere, whether
- it's mom and dad's cupboard, whether it's a
- legal script, and you have recognized in your
- 24 presentation that the wholesale distributors are

- 1 a chokepoint. They are the middle man between
- 2 the manufacturers and the pharmacies.
- And when they go as far as
- 4 applying for and receiving a registration to be
- 5 able to deal in these substances, they take on
- 6 certain statutory obligations; we can agree, can
- 7 we not?
- 8 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And they need to be held
- 11 accountable for ensuring those obligations are
- 12 carried out, don't they?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 15 Q. And that obligation didn't start
- 16 with Cardinal when you took on this role, did
- 17 it? This obligation with Cardinal goes back to
- 18 the beginning when they first started dealing in
- 19 controlled substances. And I say dealing. When
- 20 they first started providing the distribution of
- 21 controlled substances well before you came in
- this role, correct?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- A. Yeah, and the narrative is much

- 1 broader than that, because our government
- 2 agency -- and it's a narrative I've had from
- 3 when I walked in the job to the day that I'd sit
- 4 in front of you today. Our government agencies
- 5 failed us. It was a failed strategy to come
- 6 after the distributors.
- 7 It was a failed aspect of this
- 8 whole consideration of the opioid crisis to
- 9 leave out of the narrative how those ingredients
- 10 get to be the manufacturers to make these
- 11 volumes of drugs so that distributors, the
- 12 little piece of this, the distributors, are
- 13 fulfilling legitimate orders at pharmacies in
- 14 almost all regards for them to dispense them,
- written by licensed DEA doctors. That's my
- 16 narrative. That's how I see it.
- 17 Q. So let's approach it that way.
- 18 You say we're going after distributors. Many
- 19 distributors have admitted to breaking the law.
- 20 Are you aware of that?
- 21 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 22 A. I'm not that close to it. It's
- 23 been ten years since I've been in this role.
- Q. Okay. Well, they have.

- 1 And you would agree with me that
- they should be held to their obligations under
- 3 the law, should they not?
- 4 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 5 Q. Yes or no?
- 6 A. I -- you know, I don't know who
- 7 has made comments or who's made admissions. I
- 8 know that we've undergone accusations at the
- 9 time period that I'm at the company, and we
- 10 responded to those. And we put our system in
- 11 place to deal with this problem.
- 12 And I personally made sure that we
- did everything that we knew to do to be in
- 14 compliance with how the DEA required us to act
- 15 as a company in Cardinal Health. And please
- 16 remember, I didn't do that because I was in a
- 17 job alone. I did that out of a personal
- 18 passion.
- 19 Q. So, again, let me go back to my
- 20 question.
- 21 My question is, should they be
- 22 held to complying with the laws that they
- 23 undertook to fulfill when they became a
- 24 registrant?

- 1 A. Any registrant should be holding
- 2 themselves to the law.
- Q. Let me ask, you have a driver's
- 4 license, don't you?
- 5 A. Of course, I do.
- 6 Q. And you drove here today, correct?
- 7 A. You know what time I left?
- Q. I have no idea what time you left.
- 9 A. 5:30. I was worried about the
- 10 weather. I wanted to make sure you and I met.
- I was here in this building about 6:30.
- 12 Q. Well, I was up working at that
- 13 point, too. And it would have been in the
- 14 building we would have met at other than having
- 15 to come over here.
- 16 A. I did drive here.
- 17 Q. And if you sped, if you broke the
- 18 speed limit on the way here, just because you
- 19 got caught doesn't mean -- or didn't get caught,
- 20 doesn't mean it's okay, does it?
- 21 You should abide by the law
- whether or not you get caught, correct?
- A. Yes, I agree with that.
- Q. Speeding rules, speeding limits

are one of the safety rules we have in our 1 country to try to keep people safe on the 2 street, fair? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. The statutory obligations that we looked at earlier are the safety rules to try to 6 7 keep us safe when we're dealing with controlled 8 substances. Can we agree with that? 9 MR. PYSER: Object to form. 10 Α. Oh, I understand that. 11 And that's part of what you tried 0. 12 to do at Cardinal, is ensure compliance with 13 those, correct? 14 No. I did do that. I didn't try. Α. 15 MR. FULLER: So let's pull up the 16 Congressional testimony, clip 6. 17 (Video started.) 18 "MS. WALTERS: Now, when 19 Cardinal began setting 20 threshold limits for 21 pharmacies in 2008, the 22 company set family 23 discount's hydrocodone 24 threshold at 27,000 doses

1	a month. In a little over
2	a year, Cardinal adjusted
3	the pharmacy's threshold 14
4	times. And by August 2009,
5	it was cleared to receive
6	110,000 hydrocodone pills a
7	month. The pharmacy's
8	threshold for hydrocodone
9	reached a peak of 150,000
10	dosages a month in
11	January 2010, a level it
12	remained at for a year and
13	a half before Cardinal
14	officials reviewed and
15	reduced it.
16	"Mr. Barrett, when a
17	pharmacy goes over its
18	monthly drug threshold,
19	does Cardinal inquire about
20	the reason for the higher
21	drug order?
22	"MR. BARRETT: Thank you,
23	Congressman.
24	"Today if an order
I .	

1	reaches its threshold, it
2	simply stops. So the process
3	is, the threshold is set, and
4	the threshold is set based
5	on a number of factors; the
6	size of the community it
7	serves not just the
8	population but the community
9	it serves. Other factors.
10	Does it serve a hospice
11	center or a surgical center,
12	et cetera.
13	"If an order reaches
14	that threshold, that limit,
15	it simply stops.
16	"MS. WALTERS: But in the
17	past, did it did it
18	question it before today's?
19	"MR. BARRETT: So as I look
20	back at some of the historical
21	documents, I think the
22	thresholds probably should
23	have been set with a different
24	set of eyes. I've mentioned
I.	

	1	this notion of asking
	2	different questions, and
	3	I think today would probably
	4	set those quite differently.
	5	"But I think at the time
	6	of those pharmacies you
	7	referred to, thresholds probably
	8	should have been adjusted down
	9	more quickly.
	10	"MS. WALTERS: Did they did
	11	Cardinal make an assessment
	12	as to whether the explanation
	13	for increasing the threshold
	14	made sense and verified it in
	15	any way?
	16	"MR. BARRETT: It's hard for
	17	me to answer that fully.
	18	Again, this is part of the
	19	history. I have no reason
	20	to question the good intent
	21	of those doing that kind of
	22	assessment. They were
	23	professionals.
	24	"I think they were
-1		

```
1
                   looking at the incoming order
 2
                   of prescribing. I think now
                   we know some of that
 3
                   prescribing was driven by
 4
 5
                   some behavior that we would
 6
                   have liked to have caught
 7
                   in the physician world.
 8
                   And today that simply could
 9
                   not happen."
10
                   (Video stopped.)
11
    BY MR. FULLER:
12
             0.
                   So that was during your watch.
     2008 to 2010, did a pharmacy in Mount Gay, West
13
14
    Virginia -- do you know how big Mount Gay is?
15
             Α.
                   I do not.
16
                   Less than 2,000 people.
             0.
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
17
                   They have thresholds for
18
             Q.
    hydrocodone of 27,000 a month for that pharmacy
19
20
    that went up to over 100,000 per month and
21
    remained there, at least according to the
22
    congressional testimony, for over a year.
23
                   Does that cause you any concern
24
     that that was happening in the system that you
```

- 1 put in place?
- 2 A. You know --
- Q. 14 threshold increases over a
- 4 year's time.
- 5 A. The system I put in place was with
- 6 some subject matter experts. I relied on them.
- 7 They did their jobs, and in our system we used a
- 8 threshold approach to monitor each and every
- 9 order. And then we used a judgment factor,
- 10 Michael Moné and his team, to look at those
- 11 orders. Was there something more we needed to
- 12 know?
- Is that area a bigger area than
- 14 the 2000 you're referring to in the town? Were
- 15 they near a hospital? Were they near -- were
- 16 there other pharmacies that aren't in that
- 17 location? So I don't have any recollection of
- 18 the account. I don't have any recollection of
- 19 getting involved in it. Michael and team were
- 20 handling that part of our business.
- I was working very hard at making
- 22 sure we were responding to the DEA, setting up
- 23 our systems, making sure we're getting trained
- 24 and to move forward so that we could restore our

- 1 supply chain, the distribution center license
- 2 suspensions.
- Q. And that was a priority, is
- 4 getting the license reinstituted?
- 5 A. The priority was to put a system
- 6 in place as fast as we could that abided by the
- 7 DEA guidance that we now knew, when I walked
- 8 into the role, that we now knew, as fast as we
- 9 could. And, yes, that that hopefully would
- 10 result in the restoration of our licenses for
- 11 those distribution centers.
- 12 O. But over --
- 13 A. So the priority was to get the
- 14 system in place that we were now working
- 15 against, the guidance from the DEA, to improve
- ourselves, to make it better, and to do the
- 17 appropriate jobs as they were now requiring of
- 18 us.
- 19 Q. But your system, that new and
- improved system, allowed hundreds of thousands
- of pills into a small -- used to be mining town
- in southern West Virginia. There's no big
- 23 hospital around there. There's no big cancer
- treatment center around there. It's a small

- 1 town with 2,000 people, Mr. Hartman, and they
- were getting over 100,000 pills a month from
- 3 your company under your new system.
- 4 Your CEO even admitted that the
- 5 thresholds were too high. It would be done
- 6 differently now. That's the system that was in
- 7 place 2008 to 2010, right?
- 8 A. What I just described to you is
- 9 the system we had. We put a system in place
- 10 that depended on an enhanced capability, at that
- 11 time what we called "predictive analytics," and
- 12 today they call it AI, so that we could monitor
- 13 each and every order.
- We established thresholds, which,
- 15 frankly, were -- I believe were based off of DEA
- 16 guidance. DEA guidance. And then we put that
- in place so that we could monitor those orders
- 18 coming in. And in our system, the one we chose
- 19 to implement, included professionals like
- 20 Michael Moné and his team -- or it was his team,
- 21 I should say, not like him. It was him and his
- 22 team to look at each of those orders that hit a
- threshold and then determine what we were going
- 24 to do about it.

- 1 Q. So you knew Michael Moné was
- 2 subsequently demoted because of quality and
- 3 regulatory issues, correct?
- 4 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 5 A. I'm not aware of that. He was a
- 6 vice president under me. Is he not a vice
- 7 president today?
- 8 Q. Have you not seen the internal
- 9 report that was done based on the 2012, 2013
- 10 investigation where it says he was too
- 11 subjective for that role and he was transferred
- 12 out of there?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. Have you not seen that?
- 15 A. I haven't seen that.
- 16 O. You mentioned the threshold
- 17 system. What was this sophisticated threshold
- 18 process you went through? Was that when IBM was
- 19 hired or Deloitte or Dendrite to assist with
- 20 developing a threshold system?
- 21 A. Deloitte worked closely with us as
- 22 we developed our systems. I came into role. We
- 23 had them as external consultants to work side by
- 24 side with us.

- 1 Q. And they worked with your
- 2 regulatory team?
- 3 A. They did.
- Q. What about IBM? Were they also
- 5 retained?
- 6 A. I -- I don't have any recollection
- of IBM, whether they were there or not or why
- 8 they would have been there. Of course we're
- 9 working with our IT group hand-in-hand to do,
- 10 you know, what we had wanted to get done. I
- 11 don't have a recollection of IBM.
- 12 Q. And was Deloitte drafting or
- 13 designing this threshold system?
- 14 A. Well, Deloitte had, I recall, two
- or three -- well, probably three or four people
- on the team who worked very closely with us.
- 17 There was a couple of young IT types analy- --
- 18 with an analytical background that assisted
- 19 Michael, who was working with our IT department.
- 20 I don't recall who was front and center for us.
- 21 I just -- I don't recall who that person was to
- 22 build this system.
- Q. But that was in Michael Moné's
- 24 sort of wheelhouse, right?

- 1 A. It was, and it was Michael and
- these two assistants, and then we had a more
- 3 senior manager from Deloitte that was working
- 4 primarily with me --
- 5 Q. Who was that?
- 6 A. -- on the broader system.
- 7 O. Who was that?
- A. Mike Deloso.
- 9 Q. Mike Deloso.
- 10 And then you mentioned that the
- 11 DEA provided some sort of approval to this
- 12 threshold process?
- 13 A. I'm referencing the fact that when
- 14 we -- you know, our whole -- you know, during my
- 15 time, that whole mission was, get that system in
- 16 place, respond to the DEA guidance that we now
- 17 have. Because I walked in in December of 2007.
- Let's do everything we can to get
- 19 that system up and in place, which included the
- 20 analytics from an IT perspective, the Know Your
- 21 Customer piece for training, for our field
- 22 representatives, the investigators with their
- 23 processes and practices.
- 24 And I'm sure I'm not addressing a

- 1 couple of the big -- you know, other areas, but
- 2 that was our whole world, was get that done as
- 3 fast as possible. And I say approval so that we
- 4 could get to a point that we knew was going to
- 5 happen, at least in discussions, were going to
- 6 be inspections to approve our system.
- 7 So if I recall correctly, the --
- 8 in November, we got our licenses back through an
- 9 agreement, and we went through a series of
- 10 inspections. There was a time frame around it,
- and I recall February of '09. So when I say
- 12 approval, that's what I'm referring to, as to
- 13 how they came back and allowed us to have our
- 14 licenses back and we went back and got to the
- 15 business of our supply chain.
- Q. And so you're not suggesting that
- they specifically provided guidance on how to
- 18 create these thresholds. You're suggesting
- 19 that, we got our license back so we complied
- with whatever they were asking us to do?
- 21 A. Yeah. What I was referring to
- 22 there, if I -- if I recall right -- and, again,
- 23 Michael Moné is going to be the expert on this
- 24 to be able to talk to you about that. But in

- 1 the early days, DEA had provided a multiplier of
- 2 2 and 8.
- And as I recall, as we came in,
- 4 Michael instituted that -- a process that, you
- 5 know, as you're looking at an account to set the
- 6 threshold, you use the multiplier, and he
- 7 included a 5 in that to try to have even a
- 8 broader, more detailed approach, not just 2 and
- 9 8, but 2, 5, and 8 as the multipliers. That's
- 10 what I recall.
- 11 And that was the guidance, I
- 12 believe -- again, I'm not the expert. We went
- off of -- from the earlier days with the DEA, in
- 14 setting thresholds or multipliers around, you
- 15 know, suspicious orders and those kind of
- 16 things.
- 17 Q. Let's go to page 22 of your
- 18 presentation, Mr. Hartman.
- Let me know when you're there.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. It's blown up in front of you
- 22 because I know it's small.
- A. Yeah.
- Q. This is where you indicate that in

- 1 2005 to 2006, the DEA began a series of informal
- 2 discussions with the supply chain, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you're aware that during that
- 5 time frame, they actually met personally with
- 6 Cardinal, correct?
- 7 A. So in this time frame, when I
- 8 delivered this presentation, and it still sticks
- 9 in my mind that it's further out than this date.
- 10 I don't know that. It seems like it was. I
- 11 gathered a lot of inputs around the supply chain
- 12 discussion from many different industry folks to
- 13 provide them all the ways that we were thinking
- 14 about leaks, meaning how the supply chain gets
- interrupted. That's the term "leaks" that I
- 16 use, was how does it get interrupted.
- So, yes, I wrote this in here. I
- 18 certainly -- I know I had probably seen those
- 19 memos before. I was gathering data. Quite
- 20 frankly, this presentation, I got great
- 21 assistance on from the Deloitte folks, because I
- 22 think it was a Deloitte-sponsored meeting at the
- 23 University of Tennessee.
- Q. And you indicate the message was,

- 1 "Anti-diversion is everyone's responsibility,"
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And that "The uptake was not as
- 5 rapid and robust as the administrative
- 6 wanted" -- excuse me -- "as the administration
- 7 wanted, " correct?
- 8 A. That's what I said.
- 9 Q. And it's meaning that the DEA
- 10 wasn't happy with it as quickly as everyone was
- 11 responding to their guidance?
- 12 A. I'm pretty sure that --
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. Correct?
- 15 A. That's probably what I meant
- 16 there.
- 17 Q. And then you mentioned in 2006 to
- 18 2008, and this is the relevant time frame where
- 19 you come into the picture, the DEA began a
- 20 series of regulatory actions to promote change.
- 21 And that regulatory action did
- institute change at Cardinal, didn't it?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. It brought you into this role to

```
develop this new system for Cardinal, correct?
 1
 2
             Α.
                   Yes.
 3
                   MR. FULLER: All right. We're
             going to take another quick break, and
 4
 5
             Ms. Quezon is going to take over and ask
             you some questions for a while if that's
 6
 7
             okay, and probably even if it's not
 8
             okay.
 9
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
10
             4:25. We're going off the record.
11
                   (Recess taken.)
12
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
13
             4:35. Back on the record.
14
15
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
16
    BY MS. QUEZON:
17
                   Mr. Hartman, when you and
    Mr. Fuller just kind of finished up speaking, I
18
    know that one of the subjects that y'all touched
19
    upon was the epidemic. And when it was
20
21
    initially broached early in your deposition, I
22
    think you agreed that -- that it's been going on
23
    for some time now.
24
                   Was that your testimony earlier?
```

```
I think so.
 1
            Α.
                   Okay. And if we could pull up
 2
             0.
           And I'm not sure if you're familiar with
 3
     this particular document, Mr. Hartman, but this
 5
     is the -- it's an OAG -- a GAO report -- pardon
 6
    me -- from 2003. And this is Plaintiff's
 7
    Exhibit 21.
 8
 9
          (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 21 marked.)
10
11
    BY MS. QUEZON:
12
             Q. And I guess the first question is,
13
    are you familiar with this particular report?
14
             Α.
                   No.
15
             0.
                   Okay. We're not going to go
16
     through the whole thing, but if I can just point
    out a couple of things to you and just see
17
18
    whether you agree or were aware of some of the
19
    descriptions of what was happening in the United
     States. And this is back, again, in December of
20
21
     2003.
22
                   And if we can go to page 10. And
23
    about three quarters of the way down that first
24
     full paragraph, beginning with the sentence,
```

- 1 "The rapid growth in OxyContin sales, which
- 2 increased the drug's availability in the
- 3 marketplace, may have made it easier for abusers
- 4 to obtain the drugs for illicit purposes."
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A. I do.
- 7 Q. And it also talks about some
- 8 states that may have been predisposed to
- 9 diversion.
- 10 Do you see that as well?
- 11 A. Diversion that may have
- 12 predisposed some states. Yes, I see that.
- Q. Okay. Well, let me first ask you,
- 14 would you agree that the availability of a
- 15 controlled substance in the marketplace would
- 16 increase the likelihood for diversion?
- 17 A. The availability?
- 18 Q. Yes, sir.
- The more of the drug that is out
- in the marketplace, the higher the likelihood
- 21 that the drug could be diverted and abused?
- 22 A. Well, that seems logical. The
- reality is that, you know, going back to this
- time frame, and as I've already stated from my

- 1 narrative, the DEA continues to increase
- 2 ingredients for manufacturers to make more and
- 3 more of the controlled substances.
- 4 So when we say "availability to be
- 5 diverted," the reality, as I see it, as a
- 6 distributor, or at least at the point when I was
- 7 in the job, these are prescriptions that are to
- 8 be written by DEA-licensed doctors. So the
- 9 presumption is they're being dispose -- they're
- 10 being dispensed by a DEA-licensed pharmacy going
- into patients' hands to go home for whatever
- 12 medical treatment they needed.
- So it makes it difficult to say,
- 14 would I agree with it's all going or it's more
- 15 likely for diversion. In my world, it's a
- 16 medical supply chain that's being fulfilled for
- 17 a patient that has a legitimate prescription
- 18 from a doctor.
- 19 Q. Well, you started by saying it
- 20 seems logical. So -- and I'm not going to argue
- 21 with you that there are many factors that may
- 22 have gone into where we find ourselves today.
- 23 But on a simplistic level, would you agree that
- 24 the -- that the glut of the -- of the controlled

- 1 substance, the more there is out there, that
- 2 it's going to increase the likelihood for
- 3 diversion and abuse?
- 4 A. Again, it seems logical on the
- 5 surface, but we have to look beyond that.
- 6 O. And we will, but can you agree
- 7 that that would be one factor to consider, the
- 8 amount of the controlled substance available?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And let's go, if we can, to
- 11 page 35, and I think this is sort of a different
- 12 way of stating it, but it leads into a chart
- 13 that I want to take a look at, if we can. It's
- 14 that bottom paragraph there, sir. I'll wait
- 15 until you get there.
- Once again, just simply states,
- 17 "The large amount of OxyContin available in the
- 18 marketplace may have increased opportunities for
- 19 abuse and diversion. Both DEA and Purdue have
- 20 stated that an increase in drugs availability in
- 21 the marketplace may be a factor that attracts
- interest by those who abuse and divert drugs."
- Sort of a different way of saying
- 24 it, but would you agree with both Purdue and DEA

- 1 in that -- in that conclusion?
- 2 A. Yes. I see where they may have.
- Q. Okay. And if we can go to the
- 4 following page, page 36. And so this is just
- 5 simply a table that tracks from 1996, which if
- 6 you're not familiar, is when OxyContin was
- 7 released into the marketplace, up until 2002.
- And do you see, sir, there the
- 9 increase in the number of prescriptions rising
- 10 from 316,000, about 317,000 if we round up, in
- 11 the year 1996, to 7,234,204 by the year 2002?
- 12 A. I see that.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, this period of time
- 14 was well before you were brought in to
- 15 regulatory or any type of compliance at
- 16 Cardinal, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. And this was the
- 19 period of time when suspicious orders, at least
- 20 according to your previous testimony, were being
- identified by Cardinal but shipped, correct?
- 22 A. What -- to my understanding.
- 23 Q. Sure.
- A. And, again, I am not an expert on

- it, and I'm sure you'll talk to people that are.
- 2 The Cardinal system was following the DEA
- 3 guidance and instructions that we were to submit
- 4 monthly reports. So when you say I said
- 5 suspicious orders were being shipped, if I said
- 6 that, certainly what I meant was, they could
- 7 have been because those were monthly reports,
- 8 and any order that prior month that is now being
- 9 reported at the end of the month was already out
- 10 the door.
- 11 Q. Right. But you weren't reporting
- 12 every single order to the DEA? I mean, in ARCOS
- 13 you were, but this was something separate,
- 14 right, this monthly report?
- 15 A. My recollection is there was
- 16 ARCOS -- please don't ask me to define what that
- 17 is -- and ingredient limit report.
- Q. And those are the ones -- I'm
- 19 sorry. Go on.
- MR. PYSER: Finish your answer.
- 21 A. And those -- and those were the
- 22 ones that were required to go to the DEA. There
- 23 might have been others, but -- and that
- 24 specifically spelled out and showed the DEA

- 1 where the products were going.
- 2 Q. The suspicious products were
- 3 going, not every product, right, the limit
- 4 reports?
- 5 A. Limit reports, yes. I'm not real
- 6 clear on that -- these reports. That was my
- 7 understanding as I took the role as to how we
- 8 thought we were complying with -- we feel
- 9 changes happened, hence we pushed forward in
- 10 changes that the DEA had imposed on us.
- 11 Q. Sure. You thought that the
- 12 requirement was to report suspicious orders to
- 13 the DEA. And then when you came in, your team
- 14 explained to you that the DEA had changed the
- 15 rules, according to them, and that now not only
- 16 did you have to report, but you had to stop
- 17 shipment?
- 18 A. My time frame -- so December -- my
- 19 understanding is, what changed is -- well, a lot
- of things changed. But, yes, now it was a
- "don't ship a suspicious order."
- 22 Q. Right. Not just --
- 23 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Not just identify and report, but

- identify, report, don't ship?
- 2 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Okay. So, again, during this
- 4 period of time when we go from 300,000 oxies --
- 5 316,000 oxies in 1996 to 7,200,000 in 2002, that
- 6 was during the period -- during the period of
- 7 time that Cardinal was identifying, reporting,
- 8 and shipping?
- 9 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 10 A. Again, I'm -- I don't have a -- I
- don't have a clear understanding of that prior
- 12 system. As I took over, the team that I had
- 13 felt that they were meeting the obligations. So
- 14 I'm just going to say I don't know.
- 15 Q. But you knew that the change that
- 16 they explained to you --
- 17 A. In December of 2007.
- 18 Q. -- is that "we can't ship
- 19 anymore"?
- 20 A. That, I understood.
- Q. Okay. Let's go to page 14, if we
- 22 can. And, sir, this is in regards to the -- how
- long sort of this epidemic has been building.
- 24 And the report to Congress here

- 1 says, "Media reports of OxyContin abuse and
- 2 diversion began to surface in 2000. These
- 3 were -- reports first appeared in rural areas of
- 4 some states, generally in the Appalachian
- 5 region, continued to spread to other rural areas
- 6 and larger cities in several states. Rural
- 7 communities in Maine, Kentucky, Ohio,
- 8 Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia were
- 9 reportedly being devastated by the abuse and
- 10 diversion of OxyContin."
- 11 And then if we go on to the next
- 12 page, sir, about halfway down, that first
- paragraph, "The media also reported on deaths
- 14 due to OxyContin. For example, a newspaper's
- 15 investigation of autopsy reports involving
- oxycodone-related deaths found that OxyContin
- 17 had been involved in over 200 overdose deaths in
- 18 Florida since 2000."
- 19 Were you aware, sir, that there
- were certain parts of the country that were
- 21 being affected more significantly than other
- 22 parts of the country when it came to the opioid
- 23 epidemic?
- A. During what time period?

1 Any time period. Q. 2 At this time period that you're reading from, I was not. When did you become aware? Q. I started to become much more informed in 8 understanding this problem in December of 2007. if we can, to the time frame just before December of 2007. 22 23 MS. QUEZON: And it's P14195, 24 please. Exhibit 22.

```
1
 2
          (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 22 marked.)
 3
                   This isn't this document.
 4
             Α.
 5
             Q.
                   No. And you can just set that in
 6
     front of you. Oh, sorry. Here's both of them.
 7
    My bad.
 8
                   MS. QUEZON: Sorry, Steve.
 9
            Α.
                   Okay.
10
             Q.
                   Have you seen this e-mail before?
11
             Α.
                  Prior to my time in role, and I
    don't recall it.
12
13
                  Okay. Attached to this, sir, is a
             Q.
14
     suspicious order monitoring system that was put
15
     in place by AmerisourceBergen.
16
                   Have you -- are you familiar with
17
     that?
                   When I came in role, looking back,
18
             Α.
    didn't do a deep dive. I know I must have
19
20
     looked at documents. I suspect I looked at that
21
    document, and for what I was doing, we simply
22
    marched forward at Cardinal around developing
23
    and improving those aspects of the new
24
     requirements and improving the system that we
```

- 1 had. So I might have looked at it. I don't
- 2 recall using it other than probably reviewing
- 3 it.
- Q. Okay. Let's take a look at what
- 5 Mr. Reardon has to say about it, if we can.
- 6 And, again, this is in September of 2007. And
- 7 it starts, "HDMA," and can you tell the jury who
- 8 that is, for the record.
- 9 A. You're on the front page?
- 10 Q. Yes, I am. I'm so sorry.
- 11 A. Your question?
- 12 Q. HDMA, the e-mail begins with those
- 13 initials.
- 14 A. Healthcare Distributors, at that
- 15 time, Manufacturers Association, I believe.
- 16 Q. All right. And is that like a
- interest group, like an industry group?
- 18 A. It was an industry association.
- 19 Q. All right. "Met with DEA
- officials last Friday to discuss the Agency's
- 21 current policy position on suspicious orders of
- 22 controlled substances. A summary highlighting
- the key points are attached for your review.
- 24 They're setting a new standard with which we

- 1 must comply. This is all coming about as a
- 2 result of the problems with Internet pharmacies
- 3 and controlled substance diversion.
- 4 "Recently, they suspended an ABC
- 5 registration and used the suspension to get them
- 6 to implement a complex and onerous suspicious
- 7 order monitoring program that meets the criteria
- 8 spelled out in the HDMA meeting summary."
- 9 In your -- and I know you said
- 10 didn't do a deep dive of it. Did you find ABC's
- 11 suspicious order monitoring program to be
- 12 onerous and complex?
- 13 A. I don't recall, but I suspect that
- 14 given the role I went into and the way I conduct
- 15 myself and the way I entered the position to get
- 16 whatever I needed, at the time I walked in the
- job, I wouldn't have cared what complexity was
- in front of me. We were going to do it.
- 19 Q. And in fairness, this was prior to
- any of the Cardinal suspensions, correct?
- 21 A. Yes -- well, yes, if it's
- 22 September, then we were, what, in November and
- 23 December and January following on months.
- Q. Now, it looks like in the second

- 1 paragraph, it says "Additionally, I'm aware that
- 2 McK" -- McKesson, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- "is in ongoing negotiations
- 5 with the DEA related to an Order to Show Cause.
- 6 An Order to Show Cause affords a registrant the
- 7 opportunity to argue where why a registration
- 8 should not be suspended or revoked. I think it
- 9 would be safe to assume the DEA will use this
- 10 opportunity to get McKesson to implement an
- 11 ABC-like program."
- 12 And then finally at the end, it
- 13 says, "We need to be proactive and implement a
- 14 program that we develop that will satisfy DEA
- 15 expectations and is not dictated to us by the
- 16 agency pursuant to regulatory action. The ABC
- 17 program is not customer friendly and results in
- delayed filling and delivery of controlled
- 19 substance orders to the customer."
- Now, you weren't brought in in
- 21 September when there was at least some
- 22 acknowledgment that a system needed -- a program
- needed to be implemented and developed, right?
- 24 A. No.

- 1 Q. It wasn't until after the -- at
- 2 least one suspension of a distribution center
- 3 that Cardinal corporate turned to you and asked
- 4 you to come into that role?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Now, Mr. Fuller talked to you a
- 7 little bit about threshold limits, and I want to
- 8 talk to you a little bit more about them.
- 9 MS. QUEZON: If we could pull up
- 10 3859, please.
- 11 BY MS. QUEZON:
- 12 Q. And while we do that, I take it
- 13 you entered into some discussions with Deloitte,
- 14 was it, that helped you with the threshold
- 15 program?
- 16 A. Deloitte assisted us in the
- 17 mechanics of putting together the program that
- 18 was designed -- you know, that it was designed
- 19 by Michael Moné, and, I suspect, some of his
- 20 other team member pharmacists. Deloitte would
- 21 have been on the -- what I would say is the
- 22 tactical side of the pieces that we needed to
- 23 work on to get after it.
- O. Okay. So it would have been

- 1 Moné's theory or general idea of what needed to
- 2 be put in place to establish the thresholds and
- 3 then the mechanics of it were handled by
- 4 Deloitte? Is that --
- 5 A. Well -- well, I would just say,
- 6 the Deloitte support guys for that would have
- 7 assisted other people at Cardinal to put those
- 8 pieces in place. So there were Cardinal players
- 9 in this beyond the two Deloitte tactical
- 10 gentlemen that we had, with Moné instructing
- 11 them.
- But also there would have been
- 13 people from IT working on this and taking the
- information to work the program, to put the
- 15 right algorithms in, to -- you know, to do those
- 16 components. It wasn't just Deloitte.
- 17 Q. And who would have come up with
- 18 the algorithms?
- 19 And if it was a team effort, tell
- 20 me that, but give me who was heading up the
- 21 team.
- 22 A. Michael Moné.
- Q. Okay. Okay. All right. Are you
- 24 familiar with the SOP or the standard operating

- 1 procedure or process to establish SOM threshold
- 2 limits that I've handed to you?
- A. I'm recalling it as you've handed
- 4 it to me.
- 5 Q. Okay. And, again, this would have
- 6 been -- and specific questions about it, they
- 7 would likely be better put to Mr. Moné?
- 8 A. In this case, I think both
- 9 Mr. Moné and -- well, definitely, Mr. Moné.
- 10 Q. Okay. All right. And we can see
- 11 by its issue date there on the front that this
- was issued in December of 2008, so that would
- 13 have been approximately a year after you took
- 14 the position, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And under Previous Issue, it
- 17 states clearly that this is a new process to
- 18 establish suspicious order monitoring threshold
- 19 limits, correct?
- 20 A. Yeah. That word "new," I don't
- 21 know about.
- Q. All I'm saying -- sorry.
- 23 A. Our policy -- it says -- I'm
- 24 sorry. You have another question?

- 1 Q. No, no, go on. You finish. I
- 2 didn't want to interrupt you.
- A. Yeah, so it's the only thing I'm
- 4 looking at that bothers me, because we had
- 5 already established things, at least in my time,
- 6 plus back in the earlier times with Cardinal
- 7 under Steve Reardon, I suspect there were
- 8 policies and procedures in place.
- 9 So I don't know why "new" gets in
- 10 there. At the time Cardinal's going through --
- 11 as I've said, we go through lots of changes.
- 12 Corporations do this all the time. You change
- 13 headers. You put new requirements on what has
- 14 to be on a document for a policy. So, again, I
- don't know what the word "new" implies here
- 16 other than it's saying new here and I --
- Q. You don't know what "new" means?
- 18 A. I don't. I -- because we would
- 19 have had policies and practices before.
- 20 O. All right.
- 21 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. Well, let's go ahead and look at
- the methodology that begins at 4.2 in the bottom
- of that page, and it states that this is going

- 1 to be the methodology.
- And then the next page, "4.2.1,
- 3 Extract and format list of customers and
- 4 historical sales data. .2, Differentiate
- 5 customers through segmentation. Segmentation of
- 6 customers is preferred but is an optional step.
- 7 3, Evaluate historical controlled substance
- 8 sales data per drug family, per month for each
- 9 customer segment to establish appropriate
- 10 threshold limits."
- And then under A and B and on
- through, "We're going to use that historical
- data, calculate a threshold limit and then we're
- 14 going to multiply that" -- and I'm on 6 now,
- 15 small Roman numeral 6 -- "multiply the monthly
- 16 quantity for each segment by a factor of 3, 5,
- 17 or 8."
- 18 So for the 3 factor is for
- 19 Schedule II drugs: Hydrocodone, oxycodone,
- 20 alprazolam, phenetamine drug families, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. So if I'm
- 23 understanding this correctly -- and please tell
- 24 me if I'm wrong -- we're going to figure out

- 1 what the -- over a year-long historical sales
- 2 data, if we have it -- unless it's a new
- 3 pharmacy, obviously -- but if we've got a
- 4 12-month historical sales data, we're going to
- 5 take all that, figure out exactly how much, on
- 6 average, oxycodone is being sold or hydrocodone
- 7 is being sold by the pharmacy.
- 8 We're going to take that average
- 9 and then we're going to multiply it by 3 and
- 10 that's going to be the threshold. Is that a
- 11 simple summary of how these thresholds were set?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 13 A. Michael will have to walk you
- 14 through the exact specific steps. I was not the
- 15 subject matter expert. I admit it. Michael
- 16 was. I relied on him. The 3, 5, and 8, I
- 17 distinctly remember. And what's here in your
- 18 description was something that we -- in my
- 19 recollection, is something that we continued to
- use as DEA guidance from the prior, previous to
- 21 my coming in and building out and improving this
- 22 system. The 5 came from Michael inserting a new
- level to be used as a multiplier.
- Q. All right.

```
1 A. That's what I recall.
```

- Q. But we know that we are looking at
- 3 historical sales data to at least get our base,
- 4 and then we use the multiplier?
- 5 A. If that says this here, then I
- 6 have to -- I agree with that.
- 7 Q. All right. And since this is, at
- 8 least according to the document, a new standard
- 9 operating procedure for the setting of the
- 10 thresholds, we're going to be setting that base,
- 11 that average sale level, in 2008 going forward?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 13 A. Yes, taking that -- those
- 14 averages, that data, and the multiplier would
- 15 establish it for a particular customer.
- MS. QUEZON: Okay. Let's pull up
- 3800, please, P13800.
- 18 - -
- 19 (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 23 marked.)
- 20 - -
- 21 BY MS. QUEZON:
- Q. Now, I'll give you a minute to
- take a look at this, but I'll explain basically
- 24 what this is. This is a summary from the ARCOS

- 1 data for Cuyahoga County, Ohio. The next page
- 2 is Summit County, Ohio. And the final one is a
- 3 combination of the two counties, of both Summit
- 4 and Cuyahoga.
- 5 And this is -- if you look in the
- 6 first column, obviously, it's the years. 1996
- 7 is when OxyContin came out into the market until
- 8 2017. And the second column is the total dosage
- 9 units.
- 10 And do you see there in 1996 for
- 11 oxycodone and hydrocodone combined, there was
- 12 1,324,262 total dosage units?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, I want us to move up to when
- we're going to be setting our thresholds.
- MR. PYSER: Counsel, can you
- 17 clarify, is this Cardinal Health data
- 18 or --
- MS. QUEZON: Yes, just Cardinal
- Health.
- MR. PYSER: Thank you.
- 22 BY MS. QUEZON:
- 23 Q. So now we're going up to where
- 24 we're going -- where Cardinal is going to be

- 1 setting their thresholds, their base before they
- 2 multiply it by 3 in 2008.
- 3 And now we're at 13,337,200 total
- 4 dosage units, correct?
- 5 A. That's what it says.
- 6 O. And that's where we're setting our
- 7 base for thresholds, right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 9 A. We're setting our base for
- 10 12 drugs. Is that what I'm reading?
- 11 Q. This is oxy and hydrocodone.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 13 A. Okay. You asked me about 2000 --
- 14 did you say 13,337,000 --
- 0. -- 200 total dosage units. Yes,
- 16 sir.
- 17 A. And is that for 12 drugs? Was it
- 18 12 drugs?
- 19 Q. That's for the drugs that contain
- 20 oxycodone and hydrocodone.
- 21 A. Just different forms of it?
- Q. Yes. Yes, sir.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- A. Okay. I'm sorry. Your question

- 1 was?
- Q. My question was, that's going to
- 3 be our base number in -- the 2008 number is
- 4 where we're going to start setting thresholds,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. If this data is accurate and it's
- 7 Cardinal Health's, I would agree that's probably
- 8 right --
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. -- for threshold setting.
- 11 Q. Let's go to the two counties
- 12 combined, if we can.
- So in 1996, Cardinal distributed
- total dosage units of 2,022,506 total dosage
- units into both Summit and Cuyahoga combined.
- 16 By 2008 -- that -- it's a 988.08 percentage
- 17 change to 20,184,956 total dosage units. And
- it's at that year where we're going to be
- 19 setting our thresholds, correct?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 21 A. Of course, because those are the
- 22 products that are available based on the DEA
- 23 approving ingredients for these drugs to be
- 24 manufactured to licensed DEA doctors to write

- 1 prescription for Cardinal's slice of the
- 2 distribution chain to move legitimate pharma --
- 3 pharmaceuticals -- and this being a small piece
- 4 of that, overall pharmaceutical picture to
- 5 DEA-licensed pharmacies who are dispensing these
- 6 drugs.
- 7 Q. Now, you were eventually told,
- 8 sir, that sending a bunch of limit reports at
- 9 the end of a month did not fulfill your
- 10 responsibility under the Controlled Substances
- 11 Act, correct?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 13 A. I don't know that we were told not
- 14 to send them. I still believe we were sending
- 15 them. What we were told is that the guidance
- 16 from the DEA changed, and we had to respond to
- 17 that. And that's when I stepped into role. And
- 18 I can't claim that I began all of the change. I
- 19 suspect some was happening prior to, and others
- 20 can talk about that. But that's where I stepped
- in, took over, and began doing what I did.
- 22 Q. And prior to you taking over and
- doing all the things that you discussed with
- 24 Mr. Fuller, the only thing that you were aware

- 1 that Cardinal was doing -- and I understand you
- 2 got this information from your team because you
- 3 weren't in that section. You weren't in
- 4 regulatory at the time. But the only thing that
- 5 you were aware that Cardinal was doing was these
- 6 monthly end-of-the-month reports that identified
- 7 suspicious orders that they had already shipped?
- 8 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 9 A. Again, I don't claim to have great
- 10 recollection on the forms that were sent in.
- 11 It's what I'm recalling today as you're talking
- 12 to me. Yes, that was elements of it. But there
- were other parts and pieces to the team, to the
- 14 regulatory body, the group of people working on
- 15 it who did other things, who did other
- 16 inspections, and so forth. So it wasn't -- I
- don't think it was only the reports that went
- in. I think there were other -- there's
- 19 certainly other activity in that regulatory body
- 20 in regards to this. I don't -- I don't recall
- 21 what all that was or what it was. All I know is
- 22 what happened once I stepped into role, is my
- 23 clearest -- my clearest recollection of where we
- 24 went.

- 1 Q. And after you stepped into that
- 2 role, I think you mentioned to Mr. Fuller a
- 3 number of times that the main thing you were
- 4 concentrating on is the new -- as you call it,
- 5 the new guidance of the stop shipment?
- A. Yes. That was an element of it.
- 7 O. Sure.
- 8 A. There were many -- there were
- 9 other pieces. I mean, there was the Know Your
- 10 Customer, you know, the whole idea that they
- 11 came out with that guidance. To your point, the
- 12 ABC system certainly gave indications. I don't
- 13 recall all of what was in there, but this robust
- 14 system, we certainly grabbed on to that and we
- took off trying to develop and build our own.
- Q. And started reporting suspicious
- orders and not shipping them if they were
- 18 suspicious?
- 19 A. Well, again, I'm not going to
- 20 comment. I don't -- I don't have the -- I don't
- 21 have the visibility or knowledge of the prior
- 22 life as reporting suspicious orders, but, yes,
- 23 under our system, we built and enhanced -- we
- 24 built an analytics system. We enhanced and

- 1 improved our prior systems and reported
- 2 suspicious orders under that.
- MS. QUEZON: Let's go to 4699
- 4 please. Theirs is prettier than mine.
- 5 BY MS. QUEZON:
- 6 Q. I'll give you a minute to take a
- 7 look at it.
- 8 Are you familiar with this type of
- 9 summary report?
- 10 A. I recall this, seeing this.
- 11 Q. Okay. And at the top there, it
- 12 says, "February 2009 Summary."
- Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 0. And it looks like that these are
- 16 different suspicious order monitoring events per
- 17 distribution center, different types of summary
- information for 2008 from March of 2008 to
- 19 February of 2009, correct?
- 20 A. It looks like that.
- Q. All right. Let's go to page 2
- 22 of 3. And what I want to look at is that -- is
- that table at the right top corner, "Suspicious
- 24 orders reported to the DEA."

```
And this is throughout all of
 1
 2
    Cardinal, correct?
 3
                   If that's what the report is
            I don't recall what -- I suspect it is.
 4
    about.
 5
            Q.
                  All right. And do you see, sir,
    that in August of 2008, September of 2008, and
 6
 7
    October of 2008, there were zero suspicious
8
    orders reported?
 9
            A. Yes. I see that.
10
            Q.
                  A couple of months -- let's see,
    in June there were two throughout the entire
11
12
    United States. In December, there were two.
    And in February there were two.
13
14
                  Do you see that?
15
                  I see that.
            Α.
16
                   MS. QUEZON: Okay. Let's go to --
17
            let's go to 3842, please.
18
19
      (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibits 24 - 26 marked.)
20
21
22
                   MS. QUEZON: Oh, I'm sorry. What
23
            exhibit number are we on?
24
                   MS. SHIVERS: 3859 is
```

```
Plaintiff's 24. Plaintiff 4699 is
 1
 2
            Plaintiff 25. 3842, which is this last
            one, is Plaintiff's 26.
 3
 4
    BY MS. QUEZON:
 5
            Q. Okay. Now, sir, this is
    Cardinal's oxy sales to Summa health system
 6
 7
    in -- on the Akron campus. And do you see from
 8
    September to October of 2008, there was
9
    143 percent increase in oxycodone?
10
                  Do you see that?
11
            A. I see it.
12
            Q. All right. And as we just saw in
    the last Cardinal summary, zero suspicious
13
14
    orders reported for that particular month,
15
    correct?
16
            A. That's what it says.
17
            Q. Let's go to 3834. What number is
18
    that?
19
20
         (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 27 marked.)
21
22
                  MS. SHIVERS: 27.
23
                  MS. QUEZON: 27.
24
```

```
BY MS. QUEZON:
 1
            Q. It says, "Cardinal Oxycodone Sales
 2
    to CVS #03355."
 3
 4
                  There is a 1,250 percent increase
    from October to November of 2008 with
 5
    O suspicious orders reported.
 6
 7
                  Were you aware of that?
8
            A. I don't recall if I was at the
9
    time or not.
10
            Q. Let's go to 3796.
11
12
        (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 28 marked.)
13
14
   BY MS. QUEZON:
15
            Q. Are you familiar with due
    diligence files?
16
17
            A. I recall that we had due diligence
    files, yes.
18
19
                  I'm going to hand you what has
            0.
    been provided to us as the entire due diligence
20
21
    file for that last CVS. And this is a site
22
    visit in March of 2016.
23
                  Now, sir, we can agree --
24
                  This is when?
            Α.
```

- 1 Q. March of 2016.
  2 A. March of 2016?
  3 Q. Mm-hmm. Yes, sir.
  4 A. Okay. So I have left Cardinal.
  5 Q. Oh, yeah.
  - 6 We can agree, sir, that with a
  - 7 1,250 percent increase in oxycodone in a month,
  - 8 that at the very least, there should have been
  - 9 some due diligence; can we not?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 11 A. Our system was one where we
- 12 employed analytics, thresholds, and a
- 13 professional team to review any order that hit
- 14 those thresholds and make determinations around
- 15 them. And that was Michael Moné's team, and I
- 16 relied on them, and they used other data that
- 17 they may find. And I have no recollection or
- 18 would know what's in due diligence files then or
- 19 back in 2010.
- Q. I'm going to ask you as head of
- 21 that regulatory division, can we at least agree
- with a 1,250 percent increase in a month, that
- there should be some explanation?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.

```
And, again, my professional team
 1
             Α.
    with pharmacists would review those orders if
 2
     they had hit thresholds and would have done some
    due diligence if they felt required.
 5
                   MS. QUEZON: Let's do 3837.
 6
 7
          (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 29 marked.)
 8
 9
    BY MS. OUEZON:
10
                   Plaintiff 29.
             Q.
11
                   Sir, this is the OxyContin sales
12
    to CVS 03083. And so we're clear, these are all
     in Ohio in Cuyahoga or Summit County.
13
14
                   Again, we have a 434 percent
15
     increase from August to October 2008. There
16
    were 0 suspicious orders reported. And not --
    and no due diligence file.
17
18
                   Can we agree that that is
19
     inappropriate?
20
                   MR. PYSER: Object to form.
21
             Α.
                   The system that we were working
22
    with was one where we had built an
    anti-diversion team that had analytics and a
23
24
    process that anytime they hit thresholds, to
```

then review and determine if actions were needed 1 to be taken. If they were, they were brought to 2 my attention. I don't recall what happened here or anything about the account. 5 Q. Let's take a look, Mr. Hartman, if 6 we can, how the system worked before you got -you were appointed to that position, during the 7 8 time that you were appointed to that position, 9 and after in the State of Ohio. 10 11 (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 30 marked.) 12 13 MR. FULLER: Let's take 3865, 14 please. 15 BY MS. QUEZON: 16 Q. Now, I want to remind you real quick the -- that Ohio specifically was one of 17 the states that in 2003, the GAO report to 18 Congress said, this is one of the states that is 19 getting hit harder than others for whatever 20 21 reason. 22 In your position, did you ever 23 look at those specific states when you became

aware in December of 2007 that this state was

24

- 1 getting hit harder than others in the United
- 2 States? Did you ever compare it to what was
- 3 maybe happening in Illinois or in California?
- 4 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 5 A. I don't recall whether I did that
- 6 or not.
- 7 Q. Do you know if anyone from your
- 8 team did that?
- 9 A. I know we went through a
- 10 tremendous amount of analytics, comparisons
- 11 looking at the system. I can't tell you that I
- 12 know specifically that that happened, but I do
- 13 know that we worked very hard at trying to
- 14 compare the data that we had as to what we were
- 15 going to do.
- And, of course, we're working on
- 17 the whole United States with a system that we
- were putting in place to be in compliance with
- 19 the DEA guidelines that we felt were new, that
- 20 we had to respond to, and make sure it was
- 21 rolled out across the country.
- Q. Well, let's look --
- A. So, no, I don't know that we
- 24 actually compared state by state. It might have

```
been done.
 1
 2
                   Well, let's do it. And I picked
     Illinois for a reason. Because Illinois is
 3
    pretty close geographically to Ohio, and
 4
 5
     Illinois has a million more people in its
    boundaries than Ohio does. But they're pretty
 6
 7
    comparable when it comes to geography and to
 8
    population. If you can look with me in 2006.
 9
                   MS. OUEZON: And if we can do
10
             the -- let's do the PowerPoint.
11
                   All this is is just a
12
             demonstrative aid of the actual numbers
13
            here. If we can look in 2006 in
14
             Illinois, there were 4,964,195 dosage
15
             units of oxycodone shipped only by
16
             Cardinal into Illinois. In that same
17
            year, 67 million pills shipped into
             Ohio.
18
19
                   Now, I understand this is during
20
     the period of time when suspicious orders, at
21
     least according to what you were told, were not
22
    being halted. They -- that requirement was not
    yet there. But let's keep looking.
23
24
                   In 2007, about 6 million pills
```

- 1 into Illinois, and into Ohio almost 73 million
- 2 pills with a population of a million fewer
- 3 people.
- 4 Did you know this was going on?
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 6 A. I personally became aware --
- 7 Q. Around 2007?
- 8 A. -- in December 2007.
- 9 Q. Well, let's go ahead and get
- 10 there.
- In 2008, 6 million pills into
- 12 Illinois. 75 million pills -- 75.5 million
- pills into Ohio. In 2009, about 7 million
- 14 pills. 85 million pills into Ohio.
- Now, sir, if we -- if we go back
- 16 to our simple -- our simplified premise that the
- more pills that had flooded into a community,
- 18 the higher the likelihood of abuse and
- 19 diversion, can you start to get a picture of why
- 20 Ohio suffered so much more greatly than other
- 21 places?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- A. What I do know is my own narrative
- about what's going on here, and it begins with

- 1 our government.
- Q. Do you take any responsibility?
- 3 Any responsibility, sir?
- 4 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 5 Please let the witness answer the
- 6 question.
- 7 Q. This isn't the government putting
- 8 the pills into Ohio. This is your company.
- 9 MR. PYSER: Object to the form.
- 10 A. These pills -- these pills are
- 11 available because the DEA, who is the licensee,
- 12 sets the quotas for a distributor to fulfill
- orders from licensed DEA pharmacies for
- 14 prescriptions written by licensed DEA doctors.
- 15 That's what I know at the time, other than my
- 16 head was down, banging away at trying to get my
- 17 company in a position that we had responded to
- 18 the DEA's immediate suspension orders, to get
- 19 our systems in place in response to those so
- 20 that we could get our licenses back, serve our
- 21 customers -- that's what I know.
- Q. Mr. Hartman, had you known in
- 23 2007, had you done this analysis when you came
- in, or 2008, and you knew that for a state with

- 1 a million fewer people, you were sending in ten
- times the amount of oxycodone, would you have
- 3 done something differently?
- 4 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 5 A. I don't know.
- MS. QUEZON: Can we total this?
- 7 BY MS. QUEZON:
- When all is said and done, sir,
- 9 Cardinal sent in to the State of Ohio
- 10 800 million pills, and into Illinois, with a
- 11 million more people in it, 76 million pills?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- MS. QUEZON: Could we take just
- about five minutes, and I may be just
- about through.
- 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
- 5:27. We're going off the record.
- 18 (Recess taken.)
- 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
- 5:35. Back on the record.
- 21 BY MS. QUEZON:
- Q. Mr. Hartman, I just have a couple
- 23 more questions.
- 24 First of all, and if it's for

- 1 personal reasons, you can just say it's for
- 2 personal reasons. But why did you end up
- 3 leaving Cardinal?
- 4 A. Another job.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now, you've made it very
- 6 clear that you are upset with our government
- 7 over the DEA quotas. Let me start off by
- 8 asking, do you understand what those quotas
- 9 actually mean and how they're set by the DEA?
- 10 A. If I did, I don't recall. I
- 11 couldn't state it today.
- 12 Q. Do you know whether or not the DEA
- 13 relies upon information given to them by
- 14 manufacturers and distributors in setting the
- 15 quotas?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 17 A. That would certainly seem right.
- 18 Q. So if the DEA has mistakenly set
- 19 quotas, it would be as a result of information
- 20 given to them by the distributors and the
- 21 manufacturers?
- 22 A. No, I don't agree with that at
- 23 all.
- MR. PYSER: Object --

- 1 Q. Do you know one way or the other,
- 2 sir; do you know even how they're set? You told
- 3 me you didn't.
- 4 A. Well --
- 5 MR. PYSER: Object to form and
- 6 same objection on the last question as
- 7 well.
- A. At the point in time we're at, and
- 9 when we look at the fact that DEA never cut
- 10 quotas until -- what? 2014? And even at that
- 11 time, they maintained, I believe, an article
- 12 said 25 percent contingency. It seems to me, my
- 13 narrative, that there was plenty of pure
- 14 evidence to suggest this crisis going on that
- 15 you're talking about.
- 16 O. Sort of like increasing
- 17 thresholds, right?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 19 A. Our process was to review and set
- 20 thresholds according to pharmacies dispensing
- 21 legitimately written scripts from DEA-licensed
- 22 doctors at DEA-licensed pharmacies.
- Q. And the DEA was relying on the
- 24 manufacturers and the distributors to set the

- quotas, weren't they? 1 2 MR. PYSER: Object to form. 3 Α. The DEA had a set of quidance that they had provided to us, I learned about in 4 5 2007, December, and then we set out to get after that process of setting those thresholds in my 6 7 time frame. 8 Q. I'm talking about the quotas. 9 Just like you were trying to explain to me that you relied on the dispensers, on the pharmacy to 10 11 set your thresholds, the DEA relied on information from the distributors and the 12 manufacturers to set the quotas; didn't they? 13 14 MR. PYSER: Object to form. 15 I don't know. That's what you're Α. 16 telling me. 17 MS. QUEZON: Okay. I don't have 18 anything else. 19 MR. PYSER: I've got some 20 questions.
- 21 MS. QUEZON: Want to switch?
- MR. PYSER: Given the cameras, why
- don't we switch.
- MS. QUEZON: Sure.

```
1
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
 2
             5:39. We're going off the record.
 3
                   (Pause in proceedings.)
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
 4
 5
             5:40. Back on the record.
 6
 7
                   REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 8
    BY MR. PYSER:
 9
                  Good afternoon, Mr. Hartman.
10
    name is Steve Pyser. I represent Cardinal
11
    Health. I have a few questions for you
12
    following up on the examination from Plaintiffs.
13
                   You spoke a little bit about your
14
    background, but I wanted to make sure we got the
15
     full picture. Can you just introduce yourself
16
     to the jury and tell us about your education.
17
                   Okay. I'm Mark Hartman.
             Α.
     formal education was at United States Military
18
    Academy, West Point. I was there from 1975 to
19
20
     1979, and I consider greatly part of my
21
     leadership training also included that I'm an
22
    airborne ranger and attended those schools and
23
     earned their -- and earned those credentials.
24
                   After you graduated West Point, I
             0.
```

- 1 assume you served in the Army for a period of
- 2 time?
- 3 A. I did.
- 4 Q. About how long?
- 5 A. Five years.
- 6 O. And after you left the service,
- 7 what was your job experience before joining
- 8 Cardinal Health?
- 9 A. Quick run was I worked for
- 10 American Hospital Supply, a distributor, for
- 11 about four years. I worked for Pepsi-Cola for
- 12 ten years. I worked for one year for a auto
- 13 parts supplier, about one year, and then that
- 14 led me to Cardinal Health for my next 12-plus
- 15 years.
- 16 Q. Okay. And you may have already
- 17 said, but approximately what year did you join
- 18 Cardinal?
- 19 A. 1998.
- 20 O. And can you take me through --
- 21 you've talked a lot today about the job you took
- in December 2007, but can you take me through
- the lead-up to some of the other jobs that you
- 24 held at Cardinal before 2007.

- 1 A. And prior to Cardinal, I had a
- 2 fair amount of experience as a general manager
- 3 in the -- in a distribution world at Pepsi-Cola.
- 4 I came into Cardinal in a very similar kind of
- 5 job. I had at the time three distributions
- 6 centers, which we consolidated into one in
- 7 Aurora, Illinois. I had approximately
- 8 200 employees, and I had a staff that supported
- 9 me and a sales team, and we represented a chunk
- 10 of the Midwest region, and we did approximately
- 11 \$1.3 billion in revenue.
- 12 After that role for not quite --
- 13 well, maybe a little over a year, I was brought
- 14 to our headquarters as a senior vice president
- of operations. So in that role, I supported all
- 16 the field locations and those functions with
- 17 logistics, policies, procedures, and
- 18 efficiencies. We were doing a lot of building
- 19 at that point. I had a team of engineers where
- 20 we built the facilities. And then, of course,
- 21 helped them transition into them and so forth in
- 22 many of the various roles there, in that
- 23 operations role.
- 24 From there I went to -- I was

- 1 executive vice president of field sales and
- 2 operation. I reported to our president. I had
- 3 the part of the company where we had the -- a
- 4 general management structure with -- at that
- 5 point, the 24 distribution centers reporting in
- 6 through that team to me. 5,000 employees. I
- 7 think at that point, we were about \$40 billion
- 8 in sales.
- 9 And then I went into the EVP of --
- 10 I can't remember the title we had now. It's the
- 11 transformation. So I talked a little bit about
- 12 it about earlier today. We had a major
- transformation going on trying to reorganize and
- 14 make our back office much more efficient. And I
- 15 had various departments and people under me and
- 16 scrutiny on these transformations that were
- 17 going on in the various departments. And we
- 18 would try to work with them, consolidate,
- 19 counsel, advise.
- 20 And then I was -- I was -- I
- 21 went -- stayed in that role principally to this
- 22 role.
- Q. So fair to say prior to taking
- over the anti-diversion related role that you

- 1 had in December 2007, you've been at fairly high
- 2 levels of Cardinal Health?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. From your perspective, having
- 5 worked in different -- excuse me -- areas of
- 6 Cardinal Health, what is Cardinal Health's role
- 7 in the healthcare system in the United States?
- 8 A. Well, I can't remember our
- 9 moniker, but, I mean, principally it's to
- 10 deliver medications, you know, through our
- 11 supply chain to, you know, the licensed
- 12 pharmacies, hospitals, and any of the legal
- entities in a safe, secure way, in order to
- 14 ensure that those products are available for
- 15 patients when they need them.
- 16 Q. The portfolio of products that are
- 17 being shipped to hospitals and pharmacies and
- 18 other medical care facilities, are they broader
- 19 than the controlled substances that have been
- 20 talked about earlier today?
- 21 A. Much broader. There was --
- 22 Q. Does Cardinal Health also ship
- 23 medical supplies, in addition to
- 24 pharmaceuticals?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So everything from aspirin to
- 3 crutches; is that kind of the concept?
- 4 A. Band-Aids. Whatever is needed in
- 5 locations is generally something we would have
- 6 supplied.
- 7 Q. If you could, just give us a
- 8 little view of kind of what the team was that
- 9 you were working with in terms of the senior
- 10 leadership in an anti-diversion or QRA role in
- 11 December 2007 when you came into the role.
- 12 A. Michael Moné was where I met him,
- because he was in role just a bit prior to me
- 14 stepping into role. Of course, I got to know
- 15 Michael much, much better.
- But what I knew going in is that
- 17 Michael was a degreed pharmacist. He was a
- 18 degreed lawyer in the regulatory space, as I
- 19 recall remembering that. And the key part for
- 20 me was that he had come out of Cardinal from the
- 21 Medicine Shoppe business, but he had worked
- 22 prior to that on the Kentucky pharmacy board,
- 23 and he had put in place the Kentucky program to
- 24 monitor or for doctors, I believe, to use.

- 1 So I don't remember exactly what
- 2 interactions he had on that, but I know he
- 3 played a key role. But that was important to me
- 4 because he seemed to have all the credentials as
- 5 we were working in this anti-diversion space.
- 6 O. And when you took over, did you
- 7 have the budget that you needed to staff out the
- 8 regulatory department and anti-diversion team?
- 9 A. I -- everything that I needed, I
- 10 had, and I had that commitment from the CEO.
- 11 And even as that changed, we continued to have
- 12 that support.
- Q. Was there ever a time during your
- work with QRA and anti-diversion from 2007
- through 2010 where you asked for additional
- 16 resources and were told no?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Did you believe at the time when
- 19 you were working anti-diversion that the program
- 20 had adequate resources?
- 21 A. During my time frame?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. On the regulatory side

- of your work, was there someone else there in
- 2 addition to Michael Moné?
- 3 A. Steve Reardon.
- 4 O. And what were Mr. Reardon's
- 5 general job duties?
- 6 A. I got to know Steve when I -- he
- 7 was at Cardinal prior to me, and so, of course,
- 8 I worked with him from time to time, you know,
- 9 in his capacity in regulatory the whole time.
- 10 But prior to Cardinal, my understanding was
- 11 Steve was a Boston police officer for several
- 12 years. I don't remember if he retired from that
- job or not, but I know he worked at it for many,
- 14 many years.
- 15 Q. So coming in, did you believe that
- 16 both Mr. Moné and Mr. Reardon were qualified for
- 17 the positions they held?
- 18 A. I felt very comfortable about it
- 19 and I -- it's another aspect of -- a part that I
- 20 talked to our CEO about was that I wanted that
- 21 team in place.
- Q. When you were working in
- 23 anti-diversion, in order to understand what the
- 24 Controlled Substances Act required, did you

- 1 consider DEA policies and guidance in your work?
- 2 A. Prior to this role?
- Q. No. When you were working in
- 4 anti-diversion, in order to understand what the
- 5 Controlled Substances Act required, did you
- 6 consider DEA's policies and quidance from DEA?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. To your knowledge, did DEA's
- 9 policies and guidance change over the course of
- 10 time?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Prior to your time in
- 13 anti-diversion, what's your understanding of
- 14 what DEA's general requirements were for
- 15 anti-diversion?
- 16 A. Well, again, I'm -- I don't -- I
- 17 don't have great recollection on this. I didn't
- 18 study it closely, but the company was required
- 19 to submit reports monthly. They went in at the
- 20 end of the month. The headquarters location
- 21 would aggregate the data for the field
- locations, to my understanding, and then send it
- 23 in.
- Q. During the time that you were

- 1 running the anti-diversion team, did Cardinal
- 2 Health ship orders that it had made a
- 3 determination qualified as a suspicious order?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. But in earlier times, your
- 6 understanding, was Cardinal Health always
- 7 required under the Controlled Substance Act to
- 8 stop shipment of orders that it reported to DEA
- 9 as suspicious?
- 10 A. That's what I was made aware of,
- 11 was one of the major changes, this not.
- 12 Q. So the question of whether
- 13 Cardinal should or should not ship orders that
- 14 it had determined were potentially suspicious
- orders, is the answer to that question dependent
- on the time period we're talking about?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you know approximately when
- 19 Cardinal changed its system to ensure that
- orders were not shipped in addition to having
- 21 been reported to DEA?
- 22 A. That was prior to me stepping into
- 23 role.
- Q. So sometime prior to

- 1 December 2007?
- 2 A. That's what I understood.
- Q. While you were in the
- 4 anti-diversion role, did DEA ever conduct
- 5 inspections or site visits of Cardinal Health
- 6 facilities?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Were you present during some of
- 9 those?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Generally speaking, what were the
- 12 results of those visits from DEA during your
- 13 time in anti-diversion?
- 14 A. They were rigorous, in-depth
- inspections, and from them we had already
- 16 regained our licenses, so we were operating all
- of our distribution centers. The inspections
- 18 then take place. And from that, we had no
- 19 actions or issues running our complete supply
- 20 chain. So the results of the inspections, in my
- view, were we passed and did very well.
- 22 Q. You've talked today a little bit
- 23 about different pieces of Cardinal Health's
- 24 anti-diversion program at the time that you were

- 1 running it, but I don't know that we've kind of
- 2 put it together.
- 3 Can you just take me through, in
- 4 your mind, what the major elements of the
- 5 anti-diversion system were -- was during your
- 6 time at Cardinal.
- 7 A. I'm not sure I'll get it all,
- 8 because it was -- we had a lot to do to build
- 9 out and expand, and the key components were the
- 10 analytics system. So a system that we built
- 11 upon that allowed us to have thresholds across
- 12 the organization's customers, that had
- 13 thresholds attached, and then every order that
- 14 went through for a controlled substance would be
- monitored against that analytics system to
- 16 determine if a threshold was hit.
- 17 And then if a threshold was hit,
- then the team of professionals I had, they would
- 19 take a look at that -- they would look for other
- 20 information that may have caused some reason for
- 21 that threshold to hit, and then make a
- 22 determination on that. Sometimes I was brought
- into those conversations. Many times I wasn't,
- 24 as they made decisions as a professional team

- 1 with what I felt were strong pharmacists in
- 2 place.
- Q. Was there -- were there other
- 4 elements besides the analytics?
- 5 A. And then another big piece was the
- 6 Know Your Customer, and we designed training to
- 7 address a much broader Know Your Customer
- 8 component. We rolled that out across our system
- 9 to -- and we rolled it through the -- you know,
- 10 the sales management staff and then down to our
- 11 field staff.
- We rolled it through our
- distribution centers, certainly our compliance
- 14 officers that we talked about we had put in
- 15 place. It was in each of the distribution
- 16 centers. So Know Your Customer became a big
- 17 element of what we did.
- 18 Q. Did you also conduct site visits
- 19 during your time leading the anti-diversion
- 20 team? Not you personally but the team.
- 21 A. Yeah, the investigators that we
- 22 had put in place, along with compliance officers
- and, of course, the field staff that we had
- 24 would conduct site visits to determine if -- and

- 1 I'm pretty certain the term came from the DEA,
- 2 the red flags existed that would be reported
- 3 back into -- into us.
- 4 Q. So shifting gears a little bit.
- 5 Earlier today you were asked some
- 6 questions, and you don't need to look at the
- 7 exhibit but just to put it in context.
- 8 Exhibit 7 discussed a budget battle.
- 9 Do you recall that conversation?
- 10 A. Yes. Yes.
- 11 O. And there was a discussion in that
- 12 e-mail about head count.
- Do you recall that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. I don't think you were ever
- 16 asked how that issue resolved or if you know.
- How did that issue resolve, if you
- 18 know?
- 19 A. Personal exchange with Michael,
- 20 and he was going through the budget battle for a
- 21 head count. He maintained that head count.
- Q. It's a little bit corporate speak.
- 23 Can you explain what you mean by "he maintained
- 24 that head count."

- 1 A. It -- well, in the budget fight
- of, you know, do you lose a head count and it
- 3 goes to somebody else, if you will, he
- 4 maintained it. Exactly what he had and wanted
- 5 remained the same, as I understand what the
- 6 later e-mails that he had sent that, you know,
- 7 he had won the battle.
- 8 Q. You were asked -- also asked about
- 9 the potential of the QRA group being moved into
- 10 a position that it would be part of operations.
- Do you know whether QRA remained
- 12 separate from operations after your time at
- 13 Cardinal?
- 14 A. During my time frame, it certainly
- was, and I believe after I left, it remained
- 16 separate from the operating divisions reporting
- 17 to corporate.
- 18 Q. Earlier today you were also asked
- 19 some questions about particular distribution
- 20 centers, in particular, the Birmingham, Alabama,
- 21 distribution center and the Lakeland, Florida,
- 22 distribution center.
- Do you remember those questions?
- A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And there were some exhibits,
- 2 Exhibits 14 and 15 that concerned those -- those
- distribution centers actually before you were in
- 4 role, I believe.
- 5 Do you recall that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. In addition to whatever steps for
- 8 anti-diversion were being taken at the
- 9 distribution centers back in 2006 and 2007 when
- 10 those e-mails were being sent, was there also a
- 11 corporate anti-diversion system that was
- 12 monitoring orders?
- 13 A. To my knowledge, yes.
- Q. And looking at those two
- 15 distribution centers for a minute, in particular
- 16 the Birmingham, Alabama, and Lakeland, Florida,
- 17 distribution center, in your experience at
- 18 Cardinal Health, did either of those two
- 19 distribution centers ship medication to northern
- 20 Ohio?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. At any time while you were at
- 23 Cardinal, are you aware of an instance where the
- 24 sales staff or anyone else blocked the

anti-diversion team from taking an action 1 against a customer that your team wanted to 2 take? 3 4 A. No. Did you personally ever take steps 5 Q. to increase the number of opioids that would be 6 7 prescribed by doctors or used by patients? 8 A. No. 9 Did you ever speak to a doctor about controlled substances in your role? 10 11 Α. No. 12 0. In your experience at Cardinal Health, did you ever see Cardinal Health ship an 13 14 order that you believed would be diverted? 15 Α. No. 16 MR. PYSER: No further questions. 17 We can go off the record. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 19 5:59. Off the record. 20 (Recess taken.) 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 22 6:03. Back on the record. 23

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

24

- 1 BY MR. FULLER:
- Q. Mr. Hartman, you've testified
- 3 repeatedly about these limiter reports, right,
- 4 that were submitted monthly?
- 5 A. Yes, I've commented on them.
- 6 O. And you're aware, are you not,
- 7 that the limiter reports are only submitted for
- 8 those substances and entities that exceed their
- 9 limits, correct?
- 10 A. I don't recall exactly what these
- 11 reports were about prior to. I know what
- 12 reports were talked about, what the team
- 13 responded to to the DEA and were required to
- 14 submit. And then they did that on a monthly
- 15 basis, and it was at the end of the month that
- 16 those reports went in.
- Q. And who at the DEA said that was
- 18 okay; do you know, or are you relying on your
- 19 people to tell you that?
- A. My folks commented on that.
- 21 Q. So you have no knowledge of the
- 22 DEA approving that process, do you?
- 23 A. Prior to my time in role, I don't
- 24 have the conversations with the DEA. My

- 1 folks -- the people that worked for me at the
- 2 time did.
- Q. Fair enough.
- 4 So, again, I guess my question is,
- 5 no one at the DEA ever told you that that was
- 6 acceptable?
- 7 MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And then -- and I apologize
- 10 because I missed the first one.
- 11 When you first came to Cardinal,
- 12 what was it that you were doing, you told me
- 13 Pyser you were doing?
- 14 A. I was a regional vice president
- 15 for the Midwest region.
- 16 Q. How many people did you oversee?
- 17 A. Probably about 200, somewhere in
- 18 that range.
- 19 Q. And what was your budget?
- A. Budget for?
- Q. Your entire budget, the money you
- 22 had control of for the Midwest region.
- 23 A. I have -- I don't recall what the
- 24 budget was.

- 1 Q. So then you moved to senior vice
- 2 president of operations, right, support all the
- 3 field, had an engineering team underneath you --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- correct?
- 6 How many people did you oversee
- 7 then?
- 8 A. There were four or five different
- 9 components doing different things.
- 10 Q. Yes, sir.
- 11 A. I'm guessing that 20 to 25.
- 12 Q. Do you know what your budget was
- 13 for -- as a senior VP of operations?
- 14 A. I don't recall.
- 15 O. How about the executive vice
- 16 president of field sales and operations? You
- 17 reported to the president at that time, right?
- 18 A. Of pharmaceutical, yes.
- 19 Q. And then you were overseeing about
- 20 5,000 employees?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Generating revenue of over
- 23 \$40 billion in sales?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. What was your budget for that
- 2 department?
- 3 A. I have -- I have no idea what we
- 4 had at that point.
- 5 Q. And then you took on the executive
- 6 vice president role for transformation?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And how many people did you
- 9 oversee during that time frame?
- 10 A. Again, it was -- I -- I'm guessing
- 11 at somewhere between 10 and 15.
- 12 Q. Do you know what your budget was
- 13 for that department?
- 14 A. I have no recollection.
- Q. And, again, you would have gone
- 16 through that budgetary process we saw earlier
- 17 for all these different departments during those
- 18 respective years, correct?
- 19 A. Oh, yes. And all the jobs
- 20 previous to that and every other company. I
- 21 went through the annual budget process. It's
- 22 a -- it's a fun experience.
- O. And you testified, I think, that
- 24 the mantra for Cardinal was to deliver meds via

- 1 supply chain to pharmacies and hospitals in a
- 2 safe and secure way; is that correct?
- 3 A. Something like that. I don't know
- 4 the exact quote, but --
- Q. We won't hold you to you exactly.
- 6 A. Yeah, so --
- 7 Q. But certainly it was to do it in a
- 8 safe and secure manner, right?
- 9 A. Of course.
- 10 Q. Do you believe --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 O. Strike that.
- Cardinal didn't always do it in a
- 14 safe and secure manner, did they?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 16 Q. They had their license pulled
- 17 because it wasn't always in a safe and secure
- 18 manner.
- 19 A. Those -- I'm sorry.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- 21 Go ahead.
- 22 A. Those were the accusations that
- 23 the DEA leveled against us.
- Q. Then in 2013, they actually agreed

- 1 with the accusations when the Florida license
- 2 was suspended; are you aware of that?
- A. Not to my knowledge. It was after
- 4 my time.
- 5 Q. Were you aware that -- well, I
- 6 understand that. But when Mr. Pyser was asking
- 7 you questions, you responded -- and I'm assuming
- 8 honestly -- to questions after your time and
- 9 before your time in this role. So I would
- 10 expect the same courtesy for my questions.
- 11 A. I don't have any knowledge.
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- Q. Were you aware they paid a
- 14 \$35 million fine for their conduct?
- MR. PYSER: Object to form.
- A. Not 35 million.
- 17 Q. In 2013, based on the MOA that
- 18 was --
- 19 A. I'm sorry. You were -- I thought
- 20 you were -- during my time frame.
- Q. That was 13 million.
- 22 A. No. I think it was different than
- 23 that.
- Q. What do you believe it was?

- A. 34 million.
- Q. Fair enough.
- MR. FULLER: That's another time.
- 4 BY MR. FULLER:
- 5 Q. You testified to Mr. Pyser that
- 6 the DEA policy and guidance changed. And,
- 7 again, that was told to you by your
- 8 subordinates, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. That wasn't conversations you had
- 11 with the DEA?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. And then you mentioned something
- 14 that -- this was the first time I heard it, and
- maybe I misheard, that the stop shipping
- 16 requirement was instituted at Cardinal before
- 17 you came to the department.
- 18 A. That's what the team had put in
- 19 place and they told me. That was the major
- 20 change. I don't know when that took place, but
- 21 as I got into role, my understanding was they
- had begun that process, and I don't know when
- 23 that happened.
- Q. Well, they'd begun the process or

- 1 they had fully implemented it? Because when you
- 2 started implementing your Phase I and Phase 2,
- 3 it took over a year to fully implement it,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. I think that's accurate.
- 6 O. So I want to make sure when you
- 7 testified to Mr. Pyser and said they had already
- 8 implemented the stop shipping, they had not
- 9 implemented it across the board, correct?
- 10 A. I don't know that. I only know
- 11 when I got into role, that was the major -- that
- was one of the major changes that the DEA had
- 13 now guided on. And my understanding is they
- 14 stepped in the role in December, so mid time
- period, that somewhere before that, they had
- 16 begun the stop shipping piece of that guidance.
- 17 Q. They had begun it, is your
- 18 understanding?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- Q. Did -- had they completed it or do
- 21 you know?
- 22 A. I don't recall. I don't have a
- 23 recollection.
- Q. So you can't say that they had

- 1 already stopped shipping all suspicious orders?
- 2 A. I -- I don't know that.
- Q. Fair enough.
- 4 And then when you were talking to
- 5 Mr. Pyser about the major elements of this
- 6 suspicious order monitoring program that you
- 7 were implementing, also at the same time created
- 8 was a anti-diversion committee, correct?
- 9 Do you recall an anti-diversion
- 10 committee?
- 11 A. I think we had one in place for
- 12 some period of time. I don't -- I don't
- 13 remember much about it.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember who was on
- 15 the committee?
- A. When I came into role, it was a
- 17 pretty difficult time. So I remember there were
- 18 two meetings taking place each morning, pretty
- 19 early and then one right after. I stopped one
- of the meetings to consolidate and have one
- 21 meeting so we could get to the work.
- 22 O. Sure.
- A. And then there was -- there's some
- 24 point after that I believe that we -- and I --

- 1 and I just wanted everybody out of our way.
- 2 There were a lot of questions. You know, as you
- 3 can imagine with three suspension orders, there
- 4 was an awful lot of meetings people wanted to
- 5 have and we needed to get after the work.
- 6 So I believe there was -- I think
- 7 there's a committee we put together of senior
- 8 members so that I could update them where I --
- 9 where we were, what we needed or, you know, if I
- 10 needed things. Then that took place for -- I
- 11 can't remember. For a few months maybe?
- 12 Q. Do you remember who chaired that
- 13 committee?
- 14 A. I remember I think I did all the
- 15 talking.
- MR. FULLER: Let's pull up 4463.
- 17 A. I'm not sure what meeting you're
- 18 talking about.
- 19 BY MR. FULLER:
- 20 O. I'm not talking about a meeting.
- 21 I'm talking about committee. Who chaired the
- 22 committee.
- 23 And if you look at this
- 24 Exhibit 4463, which is Plaintiff's Number --

```
1
                  MS. SHIVERS: 31.
 2
                -- 31 for purposes of the
    deposition. This is an e-mail --
 4
                  MR. FULLER: Why does mine look
 5
            different?
 6
                   (Discussion off the record.)
 7
 8
          (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 31 marked.)
 9
10
    BY MR. FULLER:
            Q. I apologize about that,
11
12
    Mr. Hartman.
13
                  4463 is an e-mail from
14
    Jeff Henderson; is that right?
15
            A.
                  Yes.
16
            O. And Jeff Henderson was the CFO
17
    during this time frame; is that correct?
18
            A. Yes.
19
            Q. And the subject is what for this?
20
            A. "Anti-Diversion Steering
21
    Committee."
22
            Q. Okay. And Mr. Henderson says,
    "Effective immediately, we're putting into place
23
24
    an Anti-Diversion Steering Committee (ADSC)
```

- 1 composed of six of us, facilitated by
- 2 Mark Hartman and chaired by me."
- 3 Is that right?
- 4 A. That's what it says.
- 5 Q. "The group's basic objective is to
- 6 assume sole leadership for ensuring our
- 7 anti-diversion programs are comprehensive, being
- 8 implemented effectively, and are meeting our
- 9 basic mission of delivering safe supply chain
- 10 services to our customers."
- 11 Right?
- 12 A. That's what it says.
- 13 Q. And that "We also have the
- 14 responsibility of making decisions which impact
- the implementation of our diversion control
- 16 plan."
- 17 And then he attaches a couple
- 18 documents. I believe if you go to the page 2 --
- 19 actually, it's page 3, because page 2 is a
- 20 little jacked up. And that's a legal term.
- 21 So this page 3 says
- 22 "Anti-Diversion" --
- 23 A. Is it different than my jacked
- 24 up --

- 1 Q. No. Probably the same.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. So page 3 indicates it's the
- 4 anti-diversion steering committee chaired by
- 5 Jeff Henderson. And give us the committee
- 6 members.
- 7 A. Committee: Gary Dolch,
- 8 Mike Duffy, Steve Falk, Mark Hartman,
- 9 Scott Storrer. Optional attendees: Kerry Clark
- 10 and Ivan Fong.
- 11 Q. And who's Scott Storrer? Didn't
- 12 we talk about him earlier?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. Who is it that sent you an e-mail
- 15 that was outside of the company at the time?
- 16 A. Strat Sherman is who you're
- 17 referring to, I think.
- 18 Q. Got it. I apologize.
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 Q. And additional attendees,
- 21 Kerry Clark, who is at this time the CEO of,
- 22 we'll call it, "big Cardinal," over everybody,
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And who's Ivan Fong?
- 2 A. He was the head legal officer.
- Q. So he's a lawyer?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. At least we hope so.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And the charter, read the charter
- 8 to us.
- 9 A. "Charter: Oversee progress of
- 10 diversion control plan. Establish priorities
- 11 and align resources. Coordinate tone at the top
- 12 and culture of compliance training. Establish
- 13 policies as needed. Establish improvement
- 14 actions to address outcomes of third-party
- 15 audits and DEA requests."
- 16 Q. As indicated there, just below
- 17 that, Jeff Henderson is the chair.
- 18 Mr. Henderson is the chairman of
- 19 this committee, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. And then it lists the rest of the
- 22 members and sort of puts, I guess, job
- 23 descriptions underneath them; is that fair?
- MR. PYSER: Counsel, we're now

- well beyond the scope of the examination
  - that I did, so I'm going to object to
  - 3 this line of questioning.
- 4 MR. FULLER: Sure. Objection well
- 5 noted.
- 6 O. Go ahead. You can answer.
- 7 A. Your -- your reference to some
- 8 sort of call-out of jobs, I would say, is
- 9 accurate.
- 10 Q. Because under you it has
- 11 IT systems with Mr. Reardon, diversion control
- 12 and policies and procedures.
- I guess that's Michael Moné; is
- 14 that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And then QRA organization, you get
- 17 to boss yourself, right?
- 18 A. It appears that way.
- 19 Q. And Scott Storrer, sales force
- 20 alignment, business continuity.
- Is -- Mike Duffy, what was his
- role, do you remember, or position?
- A. At that time I believe he was
- 24 running operations for Cardinal. Now, I don't

- 1 recall if it was pharmaceutical, medical, or
- 2 pharmaceutical and medical.
- Q. Fair enough.
- 4 And then Steve Falk. Who is
- 5 Steve Falk?
- 6 A. Steve was a -- was -- I don't know
- 7 at this time, but he became general counsel.
- Q. Okay. Because it says
- 9 "Third-Party Audit & DEA Matters," right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And Gary Dolch, it really doesn't
- 12 give him anything.
- What was Mr. Dolch's position at
- 14 this time; do you remember?
- 15 A. I reported to him. I should. I
- 16 think it was too long ago. He was EVP,
- 17 regulatory affairs, for Cardinal Health. So,
- 18 again, like Kerry, the whole big company.
- 19 O. Got it. Got it.
- 20 And then if you turn, I believe,
- 21 another page. This is an outline of key actions
- 22 on anti-diversion.
- Do you see that?
- 24 A. I do.

- 1 Q. It was actually generated the day
- 2 before your announcement that you were coming
- 3 back. At least it has December 18th and we know
- 4 by the announcement that was sent out, it was on
- 5 the 19th?
- 6 A. It looks that way.
- 7 Q. Maybe you told them yes the day
- 8 before they sent the e-mail.
- 9 A. Maybe they anticipated it.
- 10 Q. That could be, too.
- So -- and we don't need to go into
- detail, but this sort of sets out the action, as
- well as the status of the action, that are going
- 14 to be taken in relation to this anti-diversion
- 15 steering committee that Mr. Henderson was
- 16 heading up, correct?
- 17 A. At that time, right. I think
- 18 that's right.
- 19 Q. And these meetings, if I -- I
- 20 believe I read somewhere they were -- was it
- 21 every morning or twice a week in the morning?
- 22 A. Not this meeting.
- Q. How about these meetings?
- A. These meetings. So as I came on

- 1 board, every morning there were two meetings. I
- don't remember exactly when they started. I'm
- 3 going to say the first one was 7:30 to 8:30, and
- 4 that was the Gary Dolch meeting.
- Q. When you say "Gary Dolch," just
- 6 give me a brief description of what you mean.
- 7 A. Gary Dolch called members together
- 8 to review where are we at, what are we doing.
- 9 Q. Fair enough.
- 10 A. And then there was another meeting
- 11 from 8:30 to 9:30, and it was a lot of the same
- 12 members, not Gary involved.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. As I recall it. So to kind of
- 15 complete this, when I came on board, I think it
- 16 was that very next week, I told Gary, let's stop
- 17 your meeting. It's just using our time up.
- 18 O. Just consolidate into one?
- 19 A. We need every hour we've got to
- 20 get after this. So we ended that meeting. I
- 21 took over the next hour meeting with everybody.
- 22 We'd review things. I honestly don't -- I'm
- 23 sure there's lots of things on here we
- incorporated into going forward. I don't

- 1 remember using this form again. I'm sure we
- 2 added much, much more to it.
- And at the committee meeting, I
- 4 think I ran those meetings, and they were
- 5 updates, and I told the executive team that you
- 6 can see was on that what I needed and what I
- 7 needed them to do. I don't recall any decisions
- 8 coming out of that meeting different than what I
- 9 came in and asked for.
- 10 Q. And these meetings, how often were
- 11 these meetings of this anti-diversion committee?
- 12 A. Well, as he calls out, I would
- 13 have to assume they did happen.
- Q. Do you recall them happening?
- 15 A. I -- yeah, I've got a recollection
- of a couple, and I can see myself in conference
- 17 rooms. They weren't -- they weren't top
- 18 priority to me. I'll be very frank. I was busy
- 19 getting after what had to be done, and they were
- 20 there to support me. I knew that, and -- but,
- of course, we needed to report to them what are
- 22 we doing, where are we going, what things do we
- 23 need to do strategy-wise, working with the DEA,
- 24 to putting systems in, to figuring out all the

- 1 elements of our -- of our improvements.
- Q. And do you know if there were
- 3 minutes kept of these meetings?
- 4 A. I don't remember, but I'm -- I --
- 5 I'm certain there weren't.
- 6 Q. Okay. And, again --
- 7 A. Because I probably would have had
- 8 to keep them.
- 9 Q. So if you go down on
- 10 Mr. Henderson's e-mail to the third paragraph.
- 11 It says, "Initially the intent, this group will
- 12 meet twice weekly."
- 13 A. I'm sorry. You're on --
- 14 Q. The first page.
- 15 A. Yeah. Okay. I'm sorry.
- 16 Q. "From 5:00 to 6:00 on Tuesdays and
- 17 Thursdays. This meeting will be added to your
- 18 calendar. Please make every effort to attend if
- 19 you can. Otherwise you'll get a call-in
- 20 number."
- 21 A. I don't remember that happening.
- 22 You know, long, with two nights a week. As I
- took over, and I think the confidence was pretty
- 24 high that I had -- was getting my handles on

- 1 it -- getting my handle on it, and pushing our
- 2 team to where we needed to go to respond to the
- 3 DEA guidelines for Cardinal. So I can't tell
- 4 you I remember meeting Tuesdays and Thursdays
- 5 for any extensive period of time.
- 6 Q. Now, earlier Mr. Pyser also asked
- 7 you that there -- whether there was or wasn't a
- 8 corporate anti-diversion group, right, in '06
- 9 and '07?
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Do you recall that testimony?
- 12 A. I -- you know, I think I do.
- 0. Okay. And we looked at an actual
- 14 organizational chart that set out there was a
- 15 corporate group, and there was five members of
- 16 that corporate group, and Mr. Reardon was at the
- 17 top of that chain.
- Do you remember that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. So that was the corporate
- 21 anti-diversion group, correct?
- 22 A. I believe that's right.
- Q. And they had to oversee all the
- 24 distribution centers country-wide, five of them,

```
one of them being a secretary, correct,
 2
    administrative assistant?
 3
            A. Wasn't it -- five in position.
 4
            Q. Correct. They were seeking more,
    but they had not gotten them?
 5
 6
            A.
                  I recall.
 7
                  (Reporter clarification.)
 8
            A. I said, "I recall."
 9
            0.
                  Okay.
                  MR. PYSER: Counsel, by my count,
10
11
            I think we're now at 18 minutes of your,
12
            I guess, recross.
13
                  MR. FULLER: Okay. Yeah. And I
14
            still had time on my initial seven. So
15
            I get my time.
16
                  MR. PYSER: As I read the
17
            deposition protocol, you're done,
18
            but ...
19
                  MR. FULLER: We can agree to
20
            disagree.
21
                  MR. PYSER: I'll put the objection
22
            on and ...
23
                  MR. FULLER: Fair enough.
24
```

BY MR. FULLER: 1 2 Q. Do you recollect before you came -- and you talked about automating the system, the metrics. Prior to '07 when you came in, anti-diversion was operating under a manual 5 system, correct? 6 7 MR. PYSER: Object to form. 8 I'm not sure what system they were Α. 9 operating under. 10 So you don't have any idea whether 0. 11 it's some sort of automated or whether it was a 12 manual system? 13 I don't recall. Α. 14 MR. FULLER: Fair enough. I don't 15 have anything further. 16 MR. PYSER: Nothing further. 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is now 6:27. 18 This concludes the deposition. We're 19 going off the record. 20 MR. PYSER: We can stay on the 21 record. We don't need the video. 22 Just two things real quick. I 23 want to make a request on the record

that any demonstratives or other

24

	1	documents that were shown to the witness
	2	or used today can be put into the
	3	record. So that's one request.
	4	Counsel, is that acceptable?
	5	MR. FULLER: That's fine.
	6	MR. PYSER: And the second is,
	7	when we were doing appearances, I don't
	8	think everyone in the room introduced
	9	themselves. I just wanted to make sure
1	_0	we get a record of everyone who was in
1	.1	the room.
1	_2	MR. FULLER: Sure.
1	_3	MR. PYSER: If you were here and
1	_4	didn't announce yourself as an
1	-5	attorney
1	-6	MR. FULLER: So everybody who's
1	.7	not an attorney, announce themselves.
1	_8	MS. SHIVERS: Karla Shivers for
1	_9	the Plaintiffs, litigation paralegal.
2	20	MR. VELDMAN: Gina Veldman with
2	21	Golkow Technology.
2	22	MR. FULLER: Twila?
2	23	MS. HULETT: Twila Hulett for the
2	24	Plaintiff.
1		

```
MR. FULLER: Edna?
 1
 2
                   MS. JAMISON: Edna Jamison for the
 3
            Plaintiff.
 4
                   MR. FULLER: I think that's it.
                   MR. PYSER: Thank you.
 5
 6
                   MR. FULLER: I'm sorry. And for
 7
            the record, we're also marking --
8
            Plaintiff's 32 was the other
9
            demonstrative drawing.
10
11
         (Cardinal-Hartman Exhibit 32 marked.)
12
13
                   MS. QUEZON: And Archie Lamb was
14
            here on behalf of the Plaintiffs as
15
            well. He was in and out, and I don't
16
            think he was here when we announced
17
            ourselves --
18
                   MR. FULLER: That's true.
19
                  MS. QUEZON: -- for the record.
20
                  (Signature not waived.)
21
22
               Thereupon, at 6:28 p.m., on Friday,
23
    November 15, 2018, the deposition was concluded.
24
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF OHIO :
	SS:
3	COUNTY OF FRANKLIN :
4	
5	I, MARK HARTMAN, do hereby certify that I
6	have read the foregoing transcript of my
7	cross-examination given on November 15, 2018; that
8	together with the correction page attached hereto
9	noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is
10	true and correct.
11	
	MARK HARTMAN
12	
13	I do hereby certify that the foregoing
14	transcript of the cross-examination of MARK HARTMAN
15	was submitted to the witness for reading and signing;
16	that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary
17	Public that he had read and examined his
18	cross-examination, he signed the same in my presence
19	on the, 2018.
20	
21	
	NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF OHIO
22	
23	My Commission Expires:
24	·

```
1
                       CERTIFICATE
 2
     STATE OF OHIO
                                   SS:
 3
     COUNTY OF FRANKLIN
               I, Carol A. Kirk, a Registered Merit
 4
    Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
 5
    Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby
     certify that the within-named MARK HARTMAN was by me
 6
     first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole
    truth, and nothing but the truth in the cause
 7
    aforesaid; that the deposition then given by him was
    by me reduced to stenotype in the presence of said
    witness; that the foregoing is a true and correct
 8
    transcript of the deposition so given by him; that the
 9
    deposition was taken at the time and place in the
     caption specified and was completed without
     adjournment; and that I am in no way related to or
10
     employed by any attorney or party hereto or
11
     financially interested in the action; and I am not,
    nor is the court reporting firm with which I am
12
     affiliated, under a contract as defined in Civil Rule
     28(D).
13
               IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
    hand and affixed my seal of office at Columbus, Ohio
14
     on this 20th day of November 2018.
15
16
17
18
                              CAROL A. KIRK, RMR
19
                              NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF OHIO
20
    My Commission Expires: April 9, 2022.
21
22
23
2.4
```

1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
2	I, MARK HARTMAN, have read the transcript
	of my deposition taken on the 15th day of November
3	2018, or the same has been read to me. I request that
	the following changes be entered upon the record for
4	the reasons so indicated. I have signed the signature
	page and authorize you to attach the same to the
5	original transcript.
6	Page Line Correction or Change and Reason:
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Date Signature